_	
1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2	
3	JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	In the Matter of the 2014-2015 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
6	
7	Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building
8	Albany, New York
9	February 10, 2014 1:03 p.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator John A. DeFrancisco Chair, Senate Finance Committee
13	Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr.
14	Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
15	PRESENT:
16	Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)
17	Assemblyman Robert Oaks
18	Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
19	Assemblyman Robin Schimminger Chair, Assembly Committee on Economic
20	Development, Job Creation, Commerce and Industry
21	Assemblyman William Scarborough
22	Assembly Committee on Small Business
23	Senator David J. Valesky
24	Assemblywoman Ellen C. Jaffee

```
2014-2015 Executive Budget
1
    Economic Development
 2
    2-10-14
 3
    PRESENT: (Continued)
 4
             Senator Timothy Kennedy
 5
             Assemblywoman Margaret M. Markey
 6
             Assemblyman William Colton
 7
             Senator Malcolm Smith
 8
             Assemblywoman Didi Barrett
 9
             Senator James Sanders Jr.
10
             Assemblywoman Jane L. Corwin
11
             Senator Martin Golden
12
             Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper
13
             Senator John J. Bonacic
14
             Assemblyman Raymond W. Walter
15
             Senator Simcha Felder
16
             Assemblyman Clifford W. Crouch
17
             Assemblyman Felix Ortiz
18
              Senator Elizabeth O'C. Little
19
             Assemblyman Francisco P. Moya
20
21
22
23
24
```

		<del></del>	<del></del>
1 2	2014-2015 Executive Budget Economic Development 2-10-14		,
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS		
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Kenneth Adams		
6	President, CEO & Commissioner Empire State Development	5	10
7	Kathryn Flacke Muncil Vice Chair of the Board		
8	NYS Hospitality and Tourism Association	118	122
9		110	122
10	Robert R. Puckett President		
11	NYS Telecommunications Assn.		
12	David Lamendola Director, Government Affairs	124	127
13	Verizon	124	121
14	Jo Wiederhorn President and CEO		:
15	Associated Medical Schools of New York	135	
16	Marnie LaVigne		
17	Associate Vice President for Economic Development		:
18	University at Buffalo	143	
19	Andy Reynolds Communications Organizer		
20	Coalition for Economic Justice	155	159
21	Anita Halasz Executive Director		:
22	Long Island Jobs with Justice	160	
23	Tomas Garduno Political Director		
24	Alliance for a Greater New York (ALIGN)	163	166
4	HOW TOTA (HELDH)		

. 1	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay, I'll now
2	call this meeting to order.
3	It's a joint budget hearing of the
4	Senate and the Assembly to discuss the topic
5	of economic development. It's a hearing set
6	by the State Constitution.
7	And we will hear various speakers and
8	ask various questions. There's a clock, so
9	please be mindful of it, and the legislators
10	as well.
11	With that said, I would like to have
12	Kenneth Adams start us off, president and CEO
13	of New York State's Empire State Development.
14	On deck is Kathryn Flacke Muncil.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: I've been joined by
16	Assemblywoman Marge Markey and Assemblyman
17	Ellen Jaffee.
18	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And from the
19	Senate standpoint, Senators David Valesky,
20	Tim Kennedy, and most valuable player
21	Malcolm Smith.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay, whenever
24	you're ready.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Good afternoon.

Chairman DeFrancisco, Chairman

Farrell, and distinguished members of the

Senate and Assembly, good afternoon. I

appreciate the opportunity to appear before
you today to discuss Governor Cuomo's

2014-2015 Executive Budget for Empire State

Development.

The Governor's Executive Budget contains several proposals for continued improvement of New York's economic climate, including corporate tax reform, the establishment of a 20 percent real property tax credit for manufacturers, elimination of the net income tax rate for upstate manufacturers, and the 18-a temporary assessment for industrial customers.

As part of his economic development budget, the Governor announced Round 4 of the Regional Economic Development Council competition, which will ensure that this initiative continues to generate investment and create jobs all across New York. The Executive Budget includes \$150 million in new

economic development capital funding and \$70 million in state tax credits that will be combined with a wide range of existing agency funding through the consolidated funding application process.

The community-based, performancedriven approach of the Regional Economic

Development Councils is enabling each region
of the state to shape its own economic
future, and it is working. As a result of
the first three rounds of awards,
\$2.2 billion in state funding has been
awarded to support more than 2,250 economic
development and community revitalization
projects that are creating or helping to
retain tens of thousands of jobs across
New York and generating billions in
private-sector investment to grow our state's
economy.

Moving forward, in the fourth round of the Regional Economic Development Councils the Governor has proposed launching a new initiative, Global NY, which will link the Regional Council and START-UP NY initiatives

to accelerate the state's success in growing
exports and attracting foreign direct
investment.

START-UP NY was launched by the

Governor on October 22, 2013. And under the Governor's leadership, ESD is continuing to work with a number of colleges and universities on their START-UP NY proposals. We have seen a great deal of interest in this bold new initiative that creates a network of tax-free zones initiated and managed by public and private universities in the state, and eliminates all taxes for businesses in the zones for 10 years.

Since the program's launch, we have had hundreds of companies inquire about the program through the START-UP NY website, and many others have approached the schools directly.

In this year's budget, Governor Cuomo has also proposed initiatives that continue to grow the state's tourism industry, especially in upstate New York. The tourism industry in New York State had an incredibly

successful year in 2013, and is stronger now than it has been in many years. Tourism spending has increased by an estimated \$4 billion from the prior year, and tourism employment in New York has increased by an estimated 25,000 jobs. I note that tourism is now the fifth largest employer in the state.

This year, as part of his commitment to tourism as a major economic driver for the state, the Governor is increasing awareness of the state's tourism destinations by launching the Path Through History, I Love New York and Taste NY signage campaigns to get residents and visitors alike off the roads and into our communities.

The Governor is also proposing a second summer special event, the 2014 Bass Master Classic, to showcase the state's unparalleled natural beauty and recreational opportunities.

Western New York has made great strides in creating jobs and attracting private investment through strategic actions outlined in the Buffalo Billion Investment
Development Plan, developed by the Western
New York Regional Economic Development
Council. With Governor Cuomo's commitment to
invest \$1 billion in the Buffalo economy,
New York State is helping the greater Buffalo
area realize immediate economic growth and
put Western New Yorkers back to work, while
setting the foundation for sustainable
economic opportunity for future generations.

In closing, Governor Cuomo has outlined an innovative plan, including extensive tax relief and reform, to create jobs and make New York State a better place to do business. Under the Governor's leadership and by working together with you, our legislative partners, I am confident we will have another great year of economic development success and achievement.

So thank you again for this opportunity to testify before you all this afternoon. I am happy to take any questions that you have. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator

1 Kennedy. Thank you, Ken. SENATOR KENNEDY: 2 Thank you for your leadership in economic 3 4 development across the state. Out in Western New York the Executive 5 Budget recommends an \$680 million 6 appropriation for the Buffalo Billion. 7 I want to say thank you for your work on that 8 initiative as well as the Governor's commitment. Between RiverBend, AMRI, and the 10 Genome Research Center, it seems to be 11 12 working. This is a commitment that is being We're seeing this create jobs in 13 made. Buffalo and Western New York. 14 Given that the Executive Budget 15 16 recommends appropriating the rest of the funds, can you just identify how this is 17 going to work, the \$680 million in the 18 19 budget? The \$680 million, COMMISSIONER ADAMS: 20 as you point out, Senator, is the balance of 21 Buffalo Billion that's been originally 22 committed. And those funds, which are funds 23

24

and they include Excelsior tax credits and or

other benefits, will be allocated through ESD 1 to appropriate projects as they arise. 2 So you cite RiverBend, for example, as 3 a recent example. In that case it's 4 important to note that the \$225 billion 5 that's being committed to RiverBend is really 6 for the infrastructure at that old Republic 7 Steel site, 90-acre site, to create an 8 environment for the investments of Silevo and 9 10 Soraa, the two energy companies that are committed to going there. 1.1 By the way, they're poised to invest 12 \$1.5 billion of private industry investment 13 and hire, over time, up to 850 Western 14 New Yorkers to work there at this high-tech 15 facility for energy business development. 16 That model of the funds going to the 17 infrastructure, the building, the equipment, 18 the tools -- and not actually to the 19 companies -- is an important model to note. 2.0 And that's a pattern of these capital 21 investments, and that's a great example of 22

what we hope to have happen more and more

with the Buffalo Billion.

23

SENATOR KENNEDY: And is that funding that is appropriated in the budget, is that funding that needs to be spent this upcoming year?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, it doesn't have to be spent this upcoming year. But the budget process would really lock it in and create sort of the stability, so that as we put projects together that are multiyear projects, the private industry partners to those projects would be certain that the funding was in place.

projects, from the moment we offer an incentive package to a company after negotiating when it's accepted, there can really be a period of several years for all the investments to take place. Because of course we don't pay out the state's funds until the companies have finished making their investments and created the promised jobs.

So you have that lead time or that project development time that's required.

And this action creates a security for that
funding.

SENATOR KENNEDY: In regard to that
funding, the language has shifted slightly,
but it has shifted in the budget proposal.

Does it provide more flexibility for ESD?

Can you just kind of talk to how that language has shifted? I can explain more if you need.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, it's the same structure that we've had in place since the initiation of the Buffalo Billion allocation and the whole initiative by the Governor.

Number one, the plans really are developed by the Western New York Regional Economic Development Council. Number two, the projects identified by the council or others, if not by the council, need that council's approval and support so that the projects really fulfill the vision, the locally developed vision, of the Western New York Regional Economic Development Council.

And when comes to the deployment of

1 our grants or Excelsior or any of this 2 funding, it's under the statutory requirements for accountability, for 3 reporting, as with all of our other programs. 4 In Western New York SENATOR KENNEDY: 5 we've seen higher education working hand in 6 hand with economic development and creating 7 jobs. Can you talk a little bit about how 8 the budgetary items will help to ensure that 9 this practice continues and how we can 10 continue to leverage higher education for 11 12 economic development purposes, particularly 13 in Western New York and upstate? COMMISSIONER ADAMS: 14 Several initiatives really point to that and to the 15 16 Governor's commitment to using higher education institutions like UB, in this 17 example, as anchors of local economic 18 19 development. The budget proposal, for example, 20 contains \$55 million for another round of 21 funding at the competitive NY SUNY 2020 22 23 It contains capital funding for the

investments in the downtown medical campus

1	that you mentioned, AMRI, and other
2	businesses that we're working in the biotech
3	and life sciences sector.
4	And finally, funding, as you know, for
5	this very exciting project that you and I
6	have talked about, Senator, based in the
7	Genome Center in New York partnering now with
8	UB and developing an affiliated center for
9	genomics research and data management at UB,
10	\$50 million in the budget for that.
11	So there are key budget investments in
12	healthcare, life sciences that really come
13	out of the capacity of the UB, and also
14	Roswell Park, where the university is a major
15	driver of that.
16	SENATOR KENNEDY: Can you talk about
17	how ESD will administer all of the new
18	programs like START-UP NY, the Innovation Hot
19	Spots, the incubator funding?
20	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: You mean how we
21	do that internally?
22	SENATOR KENNEDY: Exactly. How will
23	the process play out?
24	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: You know, our

structure at ESD is, as I think the committee members know, we have our 10 regional offices which support the 10 regional councils and do the on-the-ground work with you, your constituents and local stakeholders. then we provide the backup, let's call it the back office support functions of legal and compliance, regulatory requirements, in Albany and in New York City.

So we have both the support functions and the on-the-ground what we call deal origination functions, which are really spread out more locally. And our capacity is really quite strong. Our staff is good, our team is good. And, you know, we welcome all this new work. It is an increase in work, I will tell you, from prior years, but we welcome it and we're handling it.

SENATOR KENNEDY: And how will this new program interplay with existing programs like the Center for Advanced Technology, Centers for Excellence, Regional Technology Development Centers?

> COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The Executive

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Budget proposal has about \$30 million in renewed funding for the technology, the university-based technology programs like the Centers of Advanced Technology, the 15 CATs, or the 10 Centers of Excellence.

You know, that's all part of our NYSTAR division, for the last six years headed up by Ed Reinfurt, who alas retired just recently. But all of that has been well incorporated into day-to-day life and activity of ESD.

And so that consolidation and that efficiency is part of this broader goal to doing everything we can to be efficient, to be accountable, and to -- again, these are very important programs with important histories, but they're great opportunities to connect the dots with them and move into the future.

SENATOR KENNEDY: And then one final question. The length of time for CFA grant reimbursement, can you just talk a little bit about that, the length of time, and if there are concerns with that that you're hearing

1.1

1.4

and if there's any steps that ESD is taking to address the grant reimbursement process?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I can speak,
Senator, most accurately to the process
within ESD. But we have to recall that
for -- for example, just last year, Round 3
of the CFA system in the regional councils,
the Governor got 10 different state agencies
with 21 funding streams to all come into the
system, putting that funding together. So it
was about \$720 million. And the ESD portion
of that would be the 150 in capital and the
70 which was in last year's budget, the same
amount as this year, or \$220 million.

So with respect to the CFA process, as soon as the awards were announced December 6th, our local staff, who works closely with all of those projects that had come up through the system, was able to inform them of their award and begin the contracting process through what usually for us is an IP or an incentive proposal.

Because the company, in the case of us allocating scarce taxpayer dollars in the

form of cash or tax credits, loans or grants to a company, we set conditions, often conditions created or outlined in statute, of what we expect the company to do in order to receive the incentive package. And we can build in metrics for accountability and reporting over time.

So we have to negotiate that with each one of our projects and make an offer. Our offers aren't always accepted by the companies. When they are, then we go to the next stage of project implementation.

And again, the usual course is -- and every project is different, Senator. But as soon as the company starts making its investments to buy the building, to put the roof on, to hire the people to buy the equipment, we have milestones that the company has to meet. And as it meets its milestones, we can begin to make payments, recognize the tax credits or make payments.

So a project really can take six, 12, 18, 24 -- sometimes longer -- in terms of months, but it's determined by the company,

1	our partner, and the pace at which it makes
2	its investments.
3	SENATOR KENNEDY: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: We've been
5	joined by Senator Krueger a while back,
6	actually as well as Senator Sanders and
7	also Senator Golden.
8	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: And we've been
9	joined by Assemblyman Robin Schimminger,
10	Assemblyman Bill Colton, Assemblywoman Didi
11	Barrett, and Assemblyman Scarborough.
12	First to question, Marge Markey.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN MARKEY: Thank you very
14	much, Commissioner. I'm very happy that
15	you're maintaining the funding for the I Love
16	New York program, and that you're proposing a
17	\$3.5 million matching grants program.
18	But there's also \$5 million in
19	proposed funding for Market NY. And I was
20	wondering if you could give some details on
21	the Market NY program.
22	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, thank you,
23	Assemblywoman.
24	What we'll do with that, which is what

we did at the Governor's direction last year, 1 is make that available in the Regional 2 Council CFA process this summer so that local 3 tourism promotion agencies, the local TPAs, 4 5 the counties, local institutions, tourist destinations, can apply for that marketing 6 7 funding through the CFA process. It will be a competitive grant program. 8 ASSEMBLYWOMAN MARKEY: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator Smith. 10 SENATOR SMITH: Thank you very much, 11

Mr. Chairman.

Commissioner, good afternoon to you.

Let me first congratulate you on your shepherding of ESD during what some may not realize is very challenging economic times, especially when it relates to New York State versus the rest of the country.

Also on the START-UP NY program, I think it is smart economic policy to partner that with Global NY. I would anticipate some very interesting developments, whether it's from China, India, anywhere around the world -- Dubai. I think a great deal will

happen.

21.

Of late, and you may have even noted in the papers today you saw Pat Foye encouraging our airline industry to be equitable when it comes to wages. My question is, with that in mind, not having to repeat that challenge going forward with START-UP NY, is there anything that your office or the administration is thinking about with START-UP NY and the benefits those companies will receive in keeping wages in a very fair and equitable way so that we don't have to walk down the same road that we are now walking down with the airlines at the airports?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Senator, I think the difference -- there's a difference in the type of employers that Executive Director Foye was referring to, I think, in the airline situation and the employers we seek to bring into the START-UP zones in a couple of ways.

And so that my answer would be I don't foresee a problem, a similar problem in the

START-UP program. That's because there are three types of companies, ideally, that will come into the START-UP zones on college campuses across the state. One will be sort of start-ups that actually hatch out of that very university as the sponsoring institution. Another could be an expansion of a existing New York State company. It's likely to be something that is really research and development, high tech, biotech, life sciences, energy, nanotech, all these different tech fields we've been supporting.

And the other, of course, is a firm that moves from anywhere around the country or around the globe, comes to New York and comes into a START-UP zone to get a leg up and get started here in our economy. And those too are likely to be -- because they have to be linked to the academic disciplines of the sponsoring university, they're likely to be globally competitive, cutting-edge technology firms.

And I give you that sense of the firm profile because it's my belief that these

1.

firms will be paying good wages, because that's the track record, that's the history, and that's actually what they have to do to attract the talent. And so they're likely to be firms paying higher wages with good benefits and providing good jobs. We're quite confident of that.

SENATOR SMITH: I just want to make sure we monitor that. We don't want to be in that same situation we're in now.

A lot of discussion on the JPMorgan settlement. Do you anticipate ESD receiving anything from the Governor? Or do you anticipate being involved in any of the discussion on how to dispense that, as I've seen in the editorial today where they sort of challenge the Attorney General on his freedom to work those resources? Do you think ESDC will be in line for anything that might be matched up to START-UP NY or Global NY, et cetera?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We are not a party to those discussions, so I couldn't answer.

1.2

1	SENATOR SMITH: So you're hopeful.
2	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I can't answer.
3	SENATOR SMITH: But you're not a party
4	to that discussion. But you have interest.
5	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, no,
6	seriously, we're not involved in it.
7	SENATOR SMITH: Okay. Last question.
8	Could you just provide me with an update on
9	the Regional Council for Queens and the
10	Southeast Queens area? You know, the number
11	of businesses that have been through there,
12	any of which are now participating that are
13	in the program that receive grants, anything
14	of that nature. We've been to one or two
15	meetings of the council but yet to receive
16	any details on the actual regional council's
17	working.
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Let me first say
19	that I'd be happy, at your convenience, to
20	meet with you after the hearing, in your
21	district office or here, and just go grant by
22	grant. Again, there were 720 funded projects
23	last year, a good number of which in New York
24	City and some in Queens. And I go through

1 with you on a more detailed basis at an 2 appropriate time. 3 But for example -- and this is not Southeast Queens -- one of the projects we 4 were very pleased the New York City Regional 5 Council decided to fund last year was this 6 7 Oueens -- this new incubator. And it's in Long Island City, actually. And a number of 8 9 other Queens projects. 10 But the other thing I'm thinking about with respect to Southeast Queens and your 11 district in particular, York College. York 12 we believe will be a START-UP site. That's a 13 14 decision that I believe has been made by CUNY leadership down in the city --15 SENATOR SMITH: Right. That's 16 17 correct. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And we're very 18 19 excited. And as you know, York hosts many of 20 our Regional Council meetings. We're very excited about working with York on a START-UP 21 application. 22

imply that START-UP NY is not working, or the

And I did not mean to

SENATOR SMITH:

23

1	Regional Council. I was only asking for a
2	matter of information as being responsible to
3	the people in that area. When they ask a
4	question, I want to be able to provide them
5	with proper information so that they know
6	that it's all working.
7	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right.
8	SENATOR SMITH: Thank you so much.
9	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Didi Barrett.
11	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: We've also been
12	joined by Senator Bonacic.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And we've been
14	joined by Assemblywoman Corwin and
15	Assemblyman Walter.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Hi. I just
17	wanted to as you know, I've been very
18	involved with the Regional Economic
19	Development process. I represent two
20	counties, both of which are in two different
21	districts. So I've had the pleasure of
22	attending two different sets of meetings and
23	executive committee meetings and being part
	1

of the process in two different councils.

But one theme that keeps coming up in both of the counties is the need for funding streams for infrastructure. And it's been unclear where that fits into the Regional Economic Development Council fundings or other things. And you know, I'm wondering if you can help shed some light on the best way for that, because we've got a lot of towns that need sewer or and water or sidewalks or street lights or things -- and these are small communities, villages and hamlets as well as rural towns. So the best way that you see that going forward, that kind of funding streams. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's a common

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's a common challenge across all 10 of the regions.

You've put your finger on something that is really vital. And in many communities there's not much more we can do to attract new investment until we shore up the infrastructure.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: Right, exactly.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: So the system

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

last year had funding for infrastructure, depending on the type of project, from a variety of state agencies, including ESD having capital funds available. But the Environment Facilities Corporation, the Department of State, Homes and Community Renewal, which has the HUD and DHCR funding.

So there are a number of funding streams that -- even, frankly, in NYSCA and in the Parks budget -- that are part of Regional Council. So it would depend on the project.

And what I'd be happy to do is as we put the system together for the Governor this spring, sit with you, look at the needs of the communities that you're talking about, and make sure that the project applicants are getting into the CFA process this spring and applying for the appropriate funding streams. It's, again, a need all across the state, and I'd be happy to help you identify the specific programs.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BARRETT: That would be great. Because it does seem it's a little

1.2

1	bit of an overwhelming puzzle.
2	And you're absolutely right that until
3	we get that infrastructure, it gets very hard
4	to attract the businesses that we want to
5	have in the region.
6	Okay, thanks very much.
7	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
8	Sanders.
9	SENATOR SANDERS: Good to see you
10	again, sir. It's even good to speak to you.
11	I trust that you haven't been grilled too
12	hard so far.
10	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Do you intend to
13	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Do you incend to
13	start?
14	start?
14 15	start? (Laughter.)
14 15 16	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually
14 15 16 17	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually  did, so.
14 15 16 17 18	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually  did, so.  There's a view, sir, that New York
14 15 16 17 18	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually  did, so.  There's a view, sir, that New York  City is not getting its fair share of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually  did, so.  There's a view, sir, that New York  City is not getting its fair share of the  resources that we have. And if this is
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	start?  (Laughter.)  SENATOR SANDERS: Well, I actually did, so.  There's a view, sir, that New York  City is not getting its fair share of the resources that we have. And if this is true and there may be mitigating

the wealth, if you wish. If this is true, it would be good for us in the south, if you wish, to know about it.

But in terms of Hurricane Sandy, on one hand a disaster has hit our great state and has done incredible damage. On another hand, it allows for us to rethink a problem, to look at it and say what can we do to address this differently. And you sit in that particular seat that, unlike any other seat, where you are given the chief responsibility of looking at it differently.

We have been unable to really deal with the questions of poverty and underdevelopment in many communities, especially the ones that I come from -- the Rockaways quickly comes to mind, and several other of my communities. What are your ideas, what can we do together to address this issue and go from a crisis into something better for our great state?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Two answers,

Senator, to the -- so I can address the first

part of your observation, and then I hope a

2.

. 10

1.5

helpful answer to the second part, to your question.

Regional Economic Development Council,
compared to the other nine councils, has
received less funding just by the math. But
we have to remember it's a competitive
process. The Governor says this all -- it is
a competition every year, every fall,
evaluation of those projects. So I first
want to start by agreeing with your
observation, because, you know, you're good
at math, and that math is correct.

However, what's important to note in New York City's case, and frankly for all 10 of the regions, is that we have additional ESD programs that kind of go 24/7, that operate all time when there is a need.

So if we think about Madelaine
Chocolates on Rockaway, a firm you introduced
me to in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, we
had a real problem, 450 jobs, factory jobs
making Easter egg bunnies and all those other
treats that they make -- wrapped chocolates,

1.5

for panel members not familiar with Madelaine Chocolates. And we did not have a tool to help them.

And working with you and with your colleagues, we were able to fashion a version of the Excelsior tax credit program to help create on incentive for Madelaine, frankly, to stay in Rockaway. And they've started rehiring, they've hired about 150 people, and they're continuing to rehire. And we hope frankly at least by Easter they're making Easter egg bunnies again.

But I point that out to (a) recognize that you were the one who introduced me to the problem, and (b) we have tools, this is a case outside the Regional Council system, when a situation arises like that, that we have to step in and find a way to be helpful, as we were there.

And to the final point that you've made, you know, I think that we have to be ever-vigilant about connecting communities that have not been connected to the economic mainstream to these new initiatives that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

happening all across the state, to the good news, so that the good news, the good economic news, benefits everybody in every neighborhood.

And under the Governor's leadership, last year we introduced something in the Regional Council system called the Opportunity Agenda, the goal of which was for each Regional Council to identify a community, they could identify it, of community of need, a part of the region, and a specific set of strategies -- it could be workforce development, it could be daycare, it could be transportation -- that would connect that community to the bigger economic development initiatives happening in the region.

And so with that, as an example, the Governor is very mindful and we are frequently by Secretary of State Perales, who oversees that initiative, and by the Governor, directed to make sure everybody is included, including, obviously, the constituents that you've described. So thank

1	you.
2	SENATOR SANDERS: I want to also thank
3	you, sir, for your real attention to detail
4	in terms of the crisis and your being out
5	there.
6	I, sir, certainly am familiar with
7	Madelaine Chocolates, and in fact my waist
8	size shows that every day.
9	And we certainly need to do more. In
10	the days to come, I hope to bring to you some
11	new initiatives, I have some more ideas of
12	things that we can do together to relieve the
13	suffering out in that area.
14	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
16	Assemblyman Scarborough.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: Thank you.
18	Good morning. A couple of things. I
19	know we've had some recent conversations, and
20	so you're aware of my concern about
21	legislators being able to get information in
22	regard to the Regional Economic Development.
23	And we've spoken about that.
24	Can you talk about the small business

initiatives in this budget?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Yes. And I'm COMMISSIONER ADAMS: glad you asked that, remembering of course your role as chair of that committee and our work together.

I think it's very important that initiatives that the -- and we really started when the Governor first took office now three years ago -- have gained traction and are getting in this Executive Budget ongoing funding. And in some cases, because of federal sources, we've been able to secure important increases. So just a couple of examples. And then again, as you've noted, we can continue to work together on this outside the hearing process.

But, you know, the budget supports some very important activities around small business lending and access to capital and surety bond, performance bond guarantee programs, particularly as part of our MWBE division. So just in one example, we have an MWBE bonding assistance program to help the firms that get certified get access to

affordable bonding so they can actually bid on and get jobs and get work.

That was a program that we used federal funds for. The Governor directed us to a source of funds in U.S. Treasury. We got about \$10 million for that program. We partnered with surety underwriters and have now deployed that to several hundred firms applying for bonding assistance.

We put a new program in place -again, funded by this new Executive Budget
and continue to the new year -- to actually
provide, in effect, beyond the bonding a
low-interest loan to a MWBE or small firm
that gets a contract but actually has to go
out and buy the materials or hire the people,
like a working capital loan. So that also is
taking off, funded in the budget.

We have a \$50 million revolving loan fund that we started in Year -- I shouldn't say Year 1. Really, 2011. And it is pretty much fully subscribed. Over 20 banks, different financial institutions, responded to an RFP to be our lending partners. And so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that money gets leveraged by their taking some of our quarantee and then making small business loans. And it's, again, fully subscribed, so there's a lot of activity there.

I can give you some more details, but the point of this is our access to capital programs, which include the bonding assistance, the small business lending, the JDA, which is now doing small loans to private, usually family-owned manufacturing firms around the state, and finally our equity -- we have these two funds, the Innovation Venture Fund, which is the newest one, where we make small equity investments into start-up firms that need financial assistance to get off the ground.

Centers, very, very busy, especially in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, because that's where we sent businesses for advice. But that's how we provide on-the-ground assistance.

And finally, one last program that the Governor just recently announced, which we put together with some of the Sandy funding, which is a statewide mentoring program for small businesses. Meaning a one-stop shop for business technical assistance where you're getting your advice and your assistance from a business professional -from a lawyer, from an architect, from an engineer, from a financial planner -- who volunteer their services. They began doing this volunteer work to help businesses affected by the storm. We've actually asked them to sign up to do it full-time as volunteers.

So it's an online network. It's a unique program, actually, which we're rolling out all across the state now so that small businesses can ask a question, get a mentor,

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

get a relationship, someone who's more 1 established in there careers who's been 2 vetted and screened and who's giving them 3 advice to help them grow their business. 4 So that's the technical assistance 5 side as well, a full menu, if you will, of 6 7 small business programs. ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: Yeah, I 8 would like to get some more information on 9 that mentoring program. It sounds like a new 10 11 initiative. And as you and I have both spoken in 12 regard to the bridge loan and the minority 13 revolving loan fund, I'd like to talk to you 14 15 about how we can get the information out. Because I don't think enough people are aware 16 that that's available. So we'll have that 17 18 conversation and see how we can work on that 19 together. 20 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you. The other ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: 21 22 thing, I know the RFP for the disparity study 23 is supposed to come out sometime soon,

Is there

probably during this session.

anything you can tell us about that at this 1 point? 2 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Well, one thing 3 that we will be looking at in the RFP for the 4 new disparity study, the funding for which is 5 in the Executive Budget -- and the funding 6 amount, you may have noticed, is increased 7 over the last disparity study, because this 8 one will be much broader. The first study only looked at a small 10 set of state agencies and their procurement 11 experiences with MWBE firms. We now have 12 98 executive branch agencies and authorities 13 in the MWBE system. So the disparity study 14 is going to have -- we're working on the 15 16 scope of that study -- a much broader scope, it's got to look at a lot more activity, it's 17 going to be much more comprehensive. 18 19 ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: Okay. that should be done in the next month, is 20 that right? 21 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We will work on 22 the RFP this year and the procurement later 23

this year. But the actual study is 2016, I

1	believe.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: Right, I
3	understand that. But I mean the RFP.
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: And that's a
5	function of the MWBE law from 2010.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN SCARBOROUGH: Okay.
7	Thanks.
8	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
9	Senator Golden.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined
11	by Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper.
12	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	Thank you, Commissioner, for being
15	here today. Ken, it's a privilege to get the
16	opportunity to go over some of the economic
17	developments going on across the state. And
18	again, my focus is probably not only the
19	state but the City of New York as well.
20	We've seen the Dodd-Frank bill, what
21	it's done to partly what it's done to Wall
22	Street. We don't see the jobs that we used
23	to see there, for the income is not coming
24	into the state. We see the different

healthcare bills, state, federal, and what that's doing to the insurance industry. And it's only a matter of time before we start to see a drop in the number of jobs in that as well, and income into the state.

So we need new resources coming into the state. And you have been a leader with these Regional Councils. I sit in the one in New York City, and we are doing good work down there. We have a lot more to do.

To find those new resources, we find the film tax credit, which works pretty well across the downstate area. We'd like to see it obviously work a little bit better in the upstate regions. But it's doing extremely well in the downstate region.

Taking that same concept, that film tax credit, and looking at that at gaming, today we see gaming is a \$60 billion industry. We see the United States probably in \$20 billion to \$30 billion of that. And in that \$20 billion to \$30 billion, you see California with \$2.3 billion with 50,000 employees, we see New York State with

5,000 employees with \$270 million coming into the state. A great disparity. Texas, other states with incentives.

Are we working in that area to incentivize that? I know of we have some hot spots set up, I know that they're working. I see there's extra money going into the hot spots for incubators. But are we doing anything specifically for gaming? And the reason I point that out is the Grand Theft Auto, which is a New York company, which did a billion dollars in three business days and shifted 200 jobs the same week to Las Vegas. We have to find a way of keeping those jobs here. I'm wondering if your group is working on that.

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It's a very helpful observation, and I appreciate your advocacy on the topic. And I appreciate the draft proposal that you've shared with me recently, because you've put your finger on a great economic development opportunity for the state.

And at first when the Senator kept

.18

talking to me about gaming, I thought he meant something else. He means video games, electronic and entertainment video games.

You know, the likes of which -- I guess as you just gave a good example. Not the other kind of gaming.

And your research, your staff's great research on California, Texas and New York and that disparity, is a wake-up call that we need to do things.

Now, the short answer would be START-UP NY should be quite relevant to these companies because they're not capital-intensive, they are all about the creative economy, digital media, those sorts of jobs. So we should look to recruit them at the appropriate campuses and START-UP zones.

We do have, whether it's the Excelsion tax credit program or other programs at ESD that I was mentioning to Assemblyman Scarborough. Those for the smaller firms would be relevant. And we look forward to working with your office to identify specific

companies, to look more at your proposal. I have shared your proposal with the Governor's office because again, Senator, you've put your finger on a great economic opportunity for the broader creative economy and the general economy of the state.

And the other thing I'd finally say is that while I know your district well and the area you represent, this is an idea, as you well know, that could work in any area of the state, especially where there are universities and colleges and there are young people who are creative and who can work with the technology. It's all digital. It can be done remotely, it can be done from anywhere. So it's a very powerful idea.

SENATOR GOLDEN: We're also looking at the biomeds, we have 16 hospitals across

New York where they could -- a lot of great ideas, a lot of great incentives come of them, a lot of intellectual properties they discover here in the State of New York, and they leave us {snapping fingers} like that.

We have to find a way of taking that

intellectual property, taking it from its concept and bringing it to fruition to marketing. And not only with the biomeds but with the biotechs as well, and working through our incubators.

And I'm wondering if there's more access to incubators -- in the START-UP NY, how are we getting these companies attracted to the incubators and how are we going to hold those companies here once we attract them and they create that incentive or that new idea, that new game or that new cure of cancer or whatever?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: There are over 50 recognized incubator facilities in the state, and most are affiliated with a college or university. So they could be easily incorporated, almost automatically, into a START-UP zone and offer those tax benefits to companies like those that you're describing.

And also you mentioned the Innovation

Hot Spots. As part of last year's budget

process, committee members will remember last

year's budget designated a small amount of

Я

1.8

funding, but it was very helpful to get them started, the creation of five of these

Innovation Hot Spots across the state -again, through the CFA competition -- and
10 incubator programs. So for 15 in total.

This year's Executive Budget advocates for another round of another five Hot Spots to be created and funded through the Regional Council process, and then another five incubators. So there would be a total of 15 incubators. So that's in the proposal.

And those facilities, again,
partnering with great universities upstate,
downstate, all over, become the places for so
many of these companies.

SENATOR GOLDEN: We need to be able to do that. With the incubators we've got to find a way of getting that angel investor involved, we have to find a way of getting that, as you spoke of, that group, the accountant, the lawyer, the marketing person that's going to take that idea, that initiative. We put the initiative out there, and we put some incentive to it. And if they

don't stay with us for five years, we find a way of clawing that back.

So that we can create the jobs of the future for our kids. Obviously they're not going to be in finance and other areas here in the City and State of New York. We need to be able to incorporate this across the State of New York where it works, from Buffalo to Brooklyn. And I think we can do that.

I want to hit two more quick questions. Sandy was one of the issues brought up. Are you working side by side or is the state working side by side with the City of New York and the Army Corps of Engineers when it comes to mitigating, especially on the shorefronts in areas such as Queens, Staten Island, Brooklyn, Nassau, Suffolk — areas that have real concern that money is starting to dwindle away. And I'm just trying to figure out, is there going to be enough dollars there for this mitigation so we can prevent this type of problem in the future?

commissioner adams: The answer would be yes. And although ESD, our role is limited to providing assistance to businesses affected by the storms. And in that, actually, it's all of the businesses affected by Sandy in that case outside of New York City.

So we've deployed a large number of loans and other forms of assistance to businesses in Long Beach and, you know, up and down, again, Nassau and Suffolk Counties and in the Lower Hudson Valley. So, again ESD just does the small business assistance.

But more broadly, the Governor's initiative on the CRZs, the Community Reconstruction Zones, the whole planning process of the zones identified communities identified by Irene and Lee and Sandy. That initiative, from what I understand, involves a great level of coordination with the local authorities -- and in the city's case, to your point, with New York City agencies.

And one of the reasons that's been facilitated and been so successful is that

Kirkland Reporting Service

1	the Governor recruited someone who had served
2	in the Bloomberg administration, Seth
3	Diamond, to oversee a lot of our work, along
4	with Jamie Rubin. And so he's got his
5	relationships with city government, and
6	that's going very well.
7	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Next
8	questioners, Chairman Farrell?
9	SENATOR GOLDEN: Thank you, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman
11	Corwin, please.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CORWIN: Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	Thank you, Commissioner. Just to get
15	back to the discussion on small businesses, I
16	mean they represent I think it's 98 percent
17	of the businesses in this state. There's
18	4 million New Yorkers employed by small
19	businesses. ESD has been great about
20	offering all these programs. We've got
21	START-UP NY, we've got Hot Spots, we've got
22	all these wonderful ideas. And at one point
23	or another I'm sure there's a program out
24	there that's going to help every small

business that's in the state.

The problem is, and what I'm hearing from New Yorkers is that they're so busy running their business, they don't really have the time or the inclination or the manpower to be able to go out there and research and find out do I qualify for being a START-UP NY, do I qualify for some sort of a tax benefit.

And you can see that through the
Industrial Development Agencies. You know,
you see not all businesses are out there
applying for benefits because a lot of them
just don't have the ability to do that.

Maybe you could speak to the priorities, as far as ESD is concerned, when it comes to those types of programs. Is that your idea of how we're helping businesses, you know, small businesses, by offering all these programs? Or do you think perhaps it might be better to just approach it by lowering -- you know, having broad-based tax cuts like a corporate franchise tax cut?

like I said, we didn't participate in these kinds of programs. We didn't have the time or the ability. But when we were looking at opening a business in other states, the first thing we did was look at the tax rates versus other places that we can relocate.

So can you speak a little bit about the priorities for Empire State Development?

Are you focusing and trying to advocate to get these lower franchise tax rates, or is it mainly just focusing on these programs?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It would be both.

Because you are absolutely right, that improving the broader business climate so that all businesses can be more successful, hire more people, create next jobs, is vital. As it's also vital to our ability to attract new investment into the state and to, as the Governor likes to say, get investors and business owners from around the country to give New York State a second look. Because of its -- otherwise, its reputation of not being very business-friendly.

So the broader reforms that lead to

improvements in the business climate by broad-based tax reductions, by business tax reductions like those that are proposed in the Governor's Executive Budget, are vital. I would argue you couldn't do economic development, the programs that you described that we have wouldn't really be relevant or effective if you didn't do both.

very supportive of the Governor's Executive
Budget and particularly those proposals that
improve the broader business climate. But we
also serve often as a conduit to the business
community and, for example, get input at the
Governor's direction around regulatory reform
and other issues.

And when he hosts these summits, like the Wine, Beer and Spirits Summit or the Tourism Summit, the Path Through History Summit, many of those are gathered around his desire to get direct input from small business owners. I mean, the Wine, Beer and Spirits Summit is probably one of the best cases in point there because they were all

2.

small businesses that came to the summit, and they put a host of regulatory reforms and other needs on the table, and the Governor has been acting on those and we've been trying to help ever since.

And so the answer is yes, we have these programs and they're very helpful, but they have to happen in conjunction with the broader reforms the Governor is advancing to improve the business climate. And as much as they do happen in partnership, then they'll be more effective and we'll get the phone to ring, or the email will come in, and we'll have more businesses, more small businesses that we can help with those programs.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN CORWIN: Great, thank you.

And just one other real quick question regarding Innovation Venture funds. You've talked about, you know, basically it's seed capital to get small operations or, you know, people with new ideas, commercializing their ideas.

Does Empire State Development keep

track of the success rate on those kinds of operations? I mean, are we tracking better than the private sector on that? I mean, I worked on Wall Street for a while and I know six out of 10 businesses failed. Are we holding true to that statistic? Are we finding that we're doing better than the private sector in terms of picking ideas that should be commercialized and helping them to be successful?

Because to the average taxpayer, to lose 60 percent of their money that's going into these seed funds, I'm not sure how many taxpayers are going to be happy doing that for a long period of time if we don't do better than what can be done in the private sector.

commissioner adams: An excellent point. And it certainly would be our desire to have our funds perform well so that there would be increased investor confidence -- i.e., taxpayer confidence -- in our ability to do that.

But so that you sleep better tonight,

1.5

let me tell you how it works. We don't make the direct investments in this program. We did an RFP, 20 different investment professionals from around the state who were fund managers already in practice with deal flow, with pipeline deals, competed. We identified seven, for a variety of reasons -- some local, some regional, some because they had expertise in areas we wanted to pursue.

And then we took this funding -- which was federal funding, by the way, from U.S.

Treasury, and some funding from Goldman Sachs that we got from their Community Development Bank, total \$35 million. That went out to those seven funds for them to use their professional expertise and for them to make the investments.

And they are making those investments now. They range from \$100,000 to \$500,000. This is sort of pre-revenue, you know, seed stage capital to mostly technology firms all around the state.

So we do it with experts and with partners so that we can have a higher rate of

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	return and produce a good result and build
2	investor confidence. And your confidence,
3	frankly.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CORWIN: Okay. And do
5	we keep track of that?
6	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Oh, absolutely.
7	Yeah, we have reporting requirements to our
8	fund partners. We don't write the check and
9	walk away, we stay on top of them, we watch
10	the investments, and we look for performance.
11	And we look for returns.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CORWIN: Okay. And we
13	make that information available to the public
14	so they can see how we're doing with it?
15	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. Absolutely.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN CORWIN: Terrific.
17	Thank you very much.
18	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
20	We have been joined for some time by
21	Senators Little and Felder.
22	And the next questioner is Senator
23	Krueger.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: And we've been

1 joined by Assemblyman Crouch. SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon. 2 So the state has a long history of 3 economic development programs, tax credits, 4 other types of incentives, grants. Where do 5 we all go look for the reports that show us 6 what kind of bang for the buck we've been 7 getting in each of those programs? 8 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Many of the 9 reports are available on our ESD website, or 10 we can otherwise deliver them to you. 11 12 Depending on the program, there are different reporting requirements, as you well 13 So the old Empire Zones program, which 14 we still manage for the companies that remain 15 16 in the program, those companies file annual 17 statements about their progress and their 18 compliance and so on. 19 The Excelsior jobs program, all of the 20 programs really created in partnership with you, in statute, have reporting requirements. 21 22 And so we frequently -- I sign off on these, so I see them. We deliver these on whatever 23

It could be, you know, in the

the basis is.

month of December or the first two weeks of the new fiscal year, whatever the law requires, to the legislative leaders, as required by that law.

So now, so there's a full accounting of all the programs. And any one that you're particularly curious about, we could go look it up for you.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So what I am curious about, the brownfields tax credit, we've spent a billion dollars of state money on that so far. Is there a study up on your website showing what kind of jobs and other economic activity we've gotten for that billion dollars?

commissioner adams: I don't believe we would have at ESD a report on what is fundamentally a DEC program. But we could certainly still work with DEC and help you find it.

I can say, as I suspect you and others may know, that since the program's inception, DEC issued about 500 certificates of completion recognizing cleanup projects of

1.2

1.7

contaminated industrial sites all over the state that have been completed.

And there has been, as you know, an ongoing demand in many quarters for continuation of the program. It was set to expire. And the Governor's Executive Budget does continue it, which we think is a good thing, albeit with important reforms and changes so that in the future things like the reporting that you suggest will be increased and the level of kind of control over economic development outcomes will be much stricter. And this is a good thing.

SENATOR KRUEGER: I agree that they should be stricter. Of course there's demand; it's lot of money. But who is evaluating whether there are jobs created and new economic activity that wouldn't otherwise be there if not for the program?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: In the Executive Budget proposal, with respect to reauthorizing the Brownfields Program, there are several key changes, and one really does involve us at ESD. And that's the idea

1.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that -- you know, there are several conditions that would lead to acceptance in the program. You know, one is, for example, properties that are considered upside down financially. That is to say, the cost of the cleanup is greater than the value of the property, so why would you bother.

Another condition is significant economic impact. Now, to meet that condition the project has to be supported by local officials and the local government as a valuable, high-impact project, job-creating project for the community. Once we see that at ESD, we then actually go to your point, Senator. There are very specific -- in the proposal there's specific benchmarks or minimums for job creation. So a manufacturing project has to create a hundred new jobs. A warehousing project or something There's a different tier. is like 300.

There are specific job requirements.

It has to be a project of a certain scope in terms of capital investment by the company or the developer, and jobs created -- again, a

hundred or more, depending on the industry. 1 I think R&D actually might be 50. But you 2 have to meet those job requirements to get 3 4 into the program. This is a new feature of the program. 5 If ESD reviews that application and agrees 6 with the local officials and looks at the job 7 projections and determines that it's a 8 project of significant economic development 9 impact, then we would report that to DEC and 10 they could admit the project into the 11 12 program. SENATOR KRUEGER: And am I going to 13 going to find on a report or in a group of 1.4 reports how effective clawbacks of state 15 16 funds have been when a company does not fulfill their obligation under tax credit and 17 other incentive programs? 1.8 19 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. I mean, we can certainly report that to you. 20 And I will say that this is especially 21 true with the Excelsior tax credit program, 22 which is the program that we use most 23

frequently, both through regional councils

and through our ongoing work at DEC. And any of our loans and grants that we build into every -- I described this process earlier to Senator Kennedy's questions about how we set up the incentive proposals. We build in clawback provisions to every deal. And we're very clear. And we have a team of lawyers at our New York City office that enforce these provisions.

And so if we have to -- well, not to name names of companies right now, but there are situations I'm aware of where just this year we have been getting payments back from companies who failed to meet the agreed-to job creation numbers. We chase that down, and believe me, Bob Megna wants that money. And the state collects that money.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And so there is one centralized report, or that's in different reports on your website?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It would probably be in different reports. It would depend on the type of funding or tax credit that the company received. So we issue an Excelsion

report on the Excelsior programs, for example.

7.

1.1

Poughkeepsie Journal two days ago reported that IBM is going to be laying off some significant number of people. Is the expectation that we will be receiving tax payments from IBM based on the fact that they have made deals not to reduce their workforce but apparently are planning to do so?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: In that situation I believe we would not, for this reason.

Going back a number of years, we have provided a little over \$200 million to IBM in the form of incentives on a variety, I can think of 8 to 10 different programs and projects. IBM, in turn, has invested about \$11 billion in the state and clearly is one of our great iconic companies in many respects. But every one of the incentive programs ESD has given to those offers is whatever the different programs were that we provided to IBM as part of that major investment and employment and growth over

many, many years. All the terms and conditions have been fully met.

1.0

In fact, in terms of job retention and job creation numbers that IBM was obligated to provide, they far exceeded, by multiples, their original job retention or creation requirements for the projects that we funded. So that would mean there really is no basis for any sort of clawback with IBM.

And I would add that interestingly -and this is a shift the Governor has made
which is consistent with something I
mentioned to Senator Kennedy. Our big
investments, capital investments in major
economic development projects that benefit
companies like IBM tend now not to go
directly to the company but to fixed assets
that New York State owns.

So if you think about CNSE here in Albany, Global Foundries, many of these.

Nano Utica, for example, is a good example.

And the Buffalo project the Senator brought up is a good example. IBM, the same thing with respect to Nano Utica, 4C.

We're funding R&D capacity in the 1 Global 450C initiative, \$400 million of state 2 money. But it's going to SUNY, SUNYIT and 3 CNSE, to build capacity, tools and equipment 4 that the state will own and that IBM benefits 5 And so it's a different model now than from. 6 it was some years ago when IBM struck those 7 original deals. 8 SENATOR KRUEGER: I'll have to 9 continue in the next round. Thank you very 10 much. 11

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

We've been joined by Felix Ortiz.

Next to question, Robin Schimminger.

ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: Good afternoon. May I compliment my colleagues on many fine questions.

Let me mention that my constituents are very excited to see on television the news that it's a new New York State, that we're open for business. They see on the television advertisements something about START-UP NY and tax-free zones. Ads have been paid for out of sweeps from NYPA;

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	\$100 million in the last two years, another
2	50 is asked for this year. I don't want to
3	ask about the ads.
4	But what I do want to ask about is
5	START-UP NY, because all of that advertising
6	is now filtered down into this program. I
7	think now in the rotation of ads I see a lot
8	more START-UP NY ads.
9	You mentioned in your testimony,
10	Commissioner, that so far a number, a number
11	of colleges have expressed interest, and
12	hundreds of companies have inquired. So
13	could we drill down just a little bit, and
14	could you be a little more numerical with us?
15	You know, in SUNY there are, I think,
16	64 campuses. There's a hundred-plus
17	independent colleges. How many are really
18	thinking about this?
19	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I think lots,
20	many are thinking.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: A high
22	number.
23	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: In a drill-down

to a number, we've got a little over

40 applications or kind of preapplications from universities, research universities, colleges, community colleges that are very interested in the program. And in the next few weeks I hope to be able to report to the Governor that some will be actually certified.

way, just to bring it near your district, the UB application actually has 13 different sites in it, not just one. So some schools will identify different properties that they have in their domain and actually have a variety of sites that they proposed.

Onondaga community college has an excellent application; it's got at least four sites in it.

So we're looking at these and working with SUNY to process those applications. We want the zones certified and available for business as soon as possible. Which gets to your point about the television commercials.

I suppose you make a good point, or imply one, which is we could have held off on

the TV ad campaign for START-UP until we had a certain number of campuses all ready to go, but we decided instead to do it just sort of two tracks at the same time, so that as the schools get their applications certified, we already have companies interested.

Otherwise, if we'd done a more linear approach, you know, we'd wait a certain period of time, have some number of schools and then go put the ads up and then try to attract the companies.

There's an urgency here to sustaining private-sector investment and job growth, especially upstate. And because of that urgency, we're just doing it all -- we're working hard and doing it all at the same time so we can match the companies responding from those ads to campuses as soon as they're good to go.

ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: What order of magnitude are the number of companies?

You mentioned in the testimony hundreds of companies. Are we talking --

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Hundreds would be

accurate, would be fair. That would be accurate.

ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: And now talk to us, if you would, a little about the timeline that we see ahead now. This is all going to happen in this year. When does the matching start? When do you start approving and disapproving?

approving the campus zones in the next few weeks. It's a two-step process, as you all know. As soon as a school gets its zone certified, then they can the very next day knock on the ESD door with an application from a company that matches what they're doing in terms of their academic disciplines, their technologies, their science. You know, they have to sponsor that company.

Now, that actually, that process has a time constraint in it written into the law which is interesting and worth noting.

Before ESD can accept the application of the company, the school has to do a public notification of its intent. This was to get

at something members of the Legislature pointed to, the issue of potentially unfair competition.

So the way it works in the law is that the school has to notify -- actually take out an ad in a local newspaper of record -- and say we want to apply to have this Acme Pharmaceuticals enter into our campus START-UP zone. And that notification is meant to do several things. It's meant to let anyone in the community, the broader area, know that that company could be coming in in case that might mean a level of unfair competition.

Because, you know, New York

Pharmaceuticals happens to be down the

street, but they're not in the zone. And

they say, Well, hold on. Acme, they're my

competitor. They come in, they pay no taxes,

that's going to put me in an awkward

position, I don't know if I can compete.

That process right there is about 60 days. I mean, there's a notification requirement that could be a month, but then

ESD gets all this, reviews it all, and has up to 60 days to deny an application. We may be able to do it -- if everything's perfect and everything's been done right, we won't use the full 60 days if the regulations do not require us to do that.

But let's just say that once these zones are certified, there's a second step, which is the application for the specific company, because it is significant to offer a company a full-on 10-year tax abatement. So we will test very, very carefully, rigorously, for compliance with the regulations and laws, including net new jobs for the state, no one is moving a company across the street. These are net new jobs, there's a plan in place, there's a linkage to the academic disciplines. know, there's not just some fuzzy relationship between the sponsoring school and the business.

We'll test for all of that, they will do the test for unfair competition by local notification and all the other notifications

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

that are in the law. That's the second step.

But that would mean, to your point, later

this year I certainly hope we have companies

in the zones receiving the benefits, making

investments, and creating jobs.

apply that test of noncompetition with an incumbent company, will you have a piece of paper that will describe the standards that you will be using so that the applicant can know in advance what the measure is going to be? Or will you not?

yes. And we'll start with just comparing
NAICS codes and industry codes. There's an
objective way to do that and to flag
potential competition. But then you do want
to look at company's sophistication, size,
revenues and other information to make a
judgment about the potential for unfair
competition.

ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: The possibility that incumbent-company New York Pharmaceutical may down the road in a couple

of years start losing key employees to Acme, right, that we have given tax-free status to, is that going to be part of your calculation in determining whether or not it's unfair competition?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It would have to be raised by the incumbent company as an objection, and then we would consider it.

I think it's an interesting workforce issue. It speaks to a broader need to make sure -- see, my view would be, in part,
Assemblyman, that if we want to get Acme in the first place, we have to have the skilled workforce, the school has to really be preparing students, and there has to be enough workers to go around. If there's sort of labor scarcity, Acme is not coming in the first place. So there's a broader challenge there that you've put your finger on.

ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: Yeah. I understand that in the five-year financial plan, it appears that there may be a need to provide financing for the START-UP program down the road. And how much money do you

1 predict the state will have to be putting 2 into this program? I know this is in the 3 five-year financial plan, not in this budget, 4 but --COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The budget and 5 the financial plan do include something 6 7 that's important, which is a reimbursement for state excise taxes. In terms of really 8 9 creating a tax-free environment, there is a 10 tax -- and I'll have to look up the dollar 11 figure for you -- that does have a fiscal 12 impact that we want to cover for. So that when we're telling a company that, you know, 13 14 this is a tax-free program, it really is 15 tax-free. And so this excise tax issue is 16 17 addressed in the budget. It's not a significant amount of money; we can look it 18 19 up for you. But yeah, that's why that's 20 there. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: Thank you. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I have a few 23

24

questions.

	•
1	Tax credits. What are the total
2	amount of tax credits given to the film
3	industry?
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The annual amount
5	is \$420 million. Although last year it was
6	closer to \$500 million because we can roll
7	over an unused allocation from a prior year.
8	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay, that was
9	for the benefit of the New York City
10	delegation, who thinks maybe that they're
11	treated unfairly.
12	Secondly, with respect to that, what
13	is the next biggest economic development tax
14	program that we have? Dollar-wise.
15	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: The Excelsior tax
16	credit program. Because remember, we make
17	through that program commitments lasting up
18	to 10 years to a company.
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: On an annual
20	basis, what is the total amount spent on tax
21	credits for the entire Excelsior program?
22	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: When the program
23	started, it was 50 million. It adds 50 every
24	year. So if we're in the fourth year, we'd

be approaching maybe \$200 million. But again, when you make a commitment to a company, it adds up.

Let me answer the question with a different reference point, if you think of Excelsior as our core program and regional councils as the delivery system for that core program. And the Regional Council system, just at ESD last year we did 386 projects, or accepted and sent offers to companies and to projects. That required the allocation of \$460 million. So something around -- actually, greater than the annual amount of the film production tax credit.

And the \$460 million triggered private industry investment totaling \$5.3 billion.

So a very healthy or very significant return on that investment, which led to the retention or creation of about 90,000 jobs in 2013, at the cost of about \$5,100 per job.

And again, backing away to the Regional Council system, just to compare it to the film production tax credit --

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I think I

1	forgot my question. But go ahead.
2	(Laughter.)
3	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The question
4	was there's \$50 million a year keeps being
5	added to this program? Because you're not
6	spending \$400 million a year, correct?
7	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Not in a fiscal
8	year. But we are making commitments that are
9	greater than that, because it's a multi
10	the film production tax credit is an amount
11	per year that is there and that we can commit
12	to companies.
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And what is the
14	amount per year?
15	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: \$420 million.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. So right
17	now in this budget is \$420 million of tax
18	credits for Excelsior?
19	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: No, no, for the
20	film production tax credit.
21	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: No, no, no.
22	I'm trying to compare one to the other.
23	Excelsior, how much per year is put in the

1	400-something million is being used this
2	year \$500 million for tax credits?
3	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I think this year
4	there is probably \$200 million of Excelsior.
5	But I need to check because it's on a scale,
6	and I'll have to get back to you.
7	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: All right. But
8	isn't there an allocation each year in the
9	budget for Excelsior tax credits?
10	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: What's the
12	allocation this year?
13	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: It would be I
14	believe it's \$200 million. Again, because we
15	scale it up every year and we would be in
16	the yeah.
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. Thank
18	you. Do you think that's a fair balance as
19	far as tax credits? Tax credits for all
20	industries throughout the state right now
21	gets about half, less than half of the tax
22	credits for one industry in one region. Do
23	you think that's the optimum economic
24	development model?

They're very COMMISSIONER ADAMS: 1 different programs, Senator, and I think 2 they're both highly effective. 3 Now, Excelsior is part of a broader 4 set of programs. And as I say, we make 5 commitments over multiple years, so it's --6 you're committing over many years, and it's a very powerful tool. So we've probably done, 8 for example, in regional councils alone over 9 \$2 billion in incentives since the onset of 10 regional councils. 11 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: If there was a 12 desire to do this, and if there was an 13 agreement in the budget, could the tax credit 14 be subsumed, or whatever the correct word is, 15 into the Excelsior program so it's another 16 industry that takes advantage of one program 17 that's available to all industries? 18 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I don't think it 19 could, because the industry is very 20 different. And the incentive works in a very 21 different way from the Excelsior program. 22 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The reason I'm 23 asking these questions is because there was a 24

report that was made for the Commission on New York State Tax Reform and Fairness that Carl McCall and Peter J. Solomon were coauthors. And the report really takes a shot at the film tax credit and talks about how huge it is in relation to the income of this industry and how it's out of proportion to the benefits.

And I guess what I'm trying to get at,
I'd really like to get a clear answer as
to -- and you don't have to give it to me
today, but why this is so disproportionate in
our state when there are so many other
industries, high-tech industries that
certainly are a little bit more important
than films, I think for society's purposes,
why -- you know, I don't get it. I don't
think I get it on a practical standpoint.

And so if sometime we can talk, I'd love to know why this is such a different industry that it deserves so much more tax relief than any other industry that may be competing for a place in the State of New York. Fair enough?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Absolutely. 1 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. 2 Number two, the tax-free zone, I know 3 Robin Schimminger asked some great questions 4 about the anticompetition section of it. 5 you answered in part by way of you've got to 6 have the job skills to fill some of these 7 jobs. But how do you account for -- and by the way, full disclosure, I voted against it. 9 How do you tell a company that's got 10 some great technical computer people that are 11 right down the block from some company that's 12 coming in under this tax-free program, how do 13 you prevent that company, the existing 1.4 company, even if it's a totally different 15 area, from getting raided? Because I don't 1.6 know how many employees would say no to "Come" 17 to our place, we'll give you the same income, 18 but you don't have to pay taxes for 19 10 years." How do you deal with that? 20 Well, again, in 21 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: the context of screening for what could be 22 viewed as unfair local competition, if that 23 incumbent company can really project or is 24

really concerned that that is going to happen, that the new company in the tax-free zone is going to steal his or her workforce, we would certainly look at that as part of that consideration. And as the commissioner, I have the discretion to not allow that company into the zone. And so we'll have to take it on a case-by-case basis.

But I would also, again, go back to
the nature of the companies that we hope the
program will attract. You know, many will
come right out of the university and have -in effect, it's labor already there in the
students and the teachers and the people, the
graduate students, that are already involved
in the project or in the initiative. And
others may involve the relocation of workers
who move from out of state into the -- again,
for a smaller company.

So we'll have to take it -- it's a great point, and one we have to be vigilant on, and we'll have to take it on a case-by-case basis.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Earlene Hooper.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you.
3	Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
4	I am in a state of quandary, and you
5	might or you might not be able to help me
6	with this issue. I represent a community in
7	Nassau County which is the Village of
8	Hempstead. And during the first round, under
9	the auspices of Hofstra University, \$5
10	million with that panel was allocated to the
11	Village of Hempstead, for the entire Village
12	of Hempstead to address the issue as it
13	related to the sewer system, which is
14	100 years old and at any moment will
15	collapse.
16	I would like to know to what extent of
17	oversight or assurance that funds that are
18	allocated for a specific project, as per the
19	panel's discretion, is redirected, and what
20	mechanisms are in place to assure that what
21	the panel has decided and allocated is
22	actually followed through.
23	The residents in the village of
24	Hempstead are really in a state of panic.

There's an outcry because the funds were not utilized for what it was allocated as per the first-round agreement. And yet with all the resources at least at my disposal, I have not been able to get any answers as to when or how to access this information and exactly what and how is a municipality able to redirect funds that are explicitly outlined in the panel's recommendation.

Could you probably help me with this?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We've had a

couple of situations I think similar to what

you've described, Assemblywoman, which is to

say the Regional Council makes a funding

decision or recommendation and then for

whatever reason the project can't come to

fruition, and then funds are there to be

reallocated.

And normally what happens is the Regional Council gets to consider where those funds should be dedicated to if the project that was originally considered for whatever reason couldn't happen.

In the particular case in the

Village of Hempstead that you've described, I'm not familiar -- I mean, I'd be happy to 2 follow up with you, obviously -- with what 3 happened there. Normally, again, it would 4 have come back to the Regional Council and us 5 6 at ESD for our review. Because there is no part of the system where funds earmarked for Project A simply slide over to Project B. 8 doesn't work that way. It's not supposed to. 9 That's what ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: 10 11 happened. COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Right, that's 12 13

what I hear you describing.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so I would like to learn more about it and would be pleased to work with you to figure out what happened and -- do you know if the funds were ultimately given to the second project, or is it still undecided?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: The funds were actually deemed for that particular project. The funds were allocated and redirected. funds did go to the Village of Hempstead but were redirected in a very surreptitious manner to something that really was not a

1	part of the regional council's allocation.
2	And we have not been able to ascertain as to
3	how that happened or to whom we should
4	we've gone everywhere and we cannot get any
5	answers.
6	So are you suggesting that I should
7	maybe send
8	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Absolutely.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: All right.
10	Thank you very much. I will be sending you a
11	letter to that effect.
12	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you. Good.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN HOOPER: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator Krueger
15	to close on our side.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Back
17	again.
18	So we were talking about clawbacks
19	before. You recently announced that there
20	were going to be I think \$180 million
21	investment in a Marcy Nano site, with the
22	estimate that it will create 5,000 jobs and
23	\$45 billion of economic activity. How will
24	that be structured to ensure that if we put

this much money in this project that we reap the rewards or we get money back? Because that one hasn't started yet.

example of, again, for the large projects
this new system of not making the investment
directly to the company as much as to a state
institution; in this case, SUNYIT, which is
the host at Marcy Nano, and CNSE, the Center
for Nanoscale Science and Engineering here at
Albany, through its property management
company.

And so, again, in this case -- and the building is in construction now -- the state, through the SUNY system and SUNY Research Foundation, will actually own the asset, own the building. And the funding, the \$180 million, will help build out the building, buy the equipment. This is for kind of the next level of what's called packaging in advanced lithography in the semiconductor industry.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Can you say that one more time? I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.

it's nanotechnology around the packaging and the layering of chips in more efficient alignments for smaller, smarter, faster, better devices. And lithography, which is the system by which the chips are etched into the wafer.

In any event, this technology is going to be developed at Marcy Utica, but through SUNYIT and through SUNY Albany, really, to the extent -- you know, CNSE, the Center for Nanoscale Science and Engineering, in partnership and collaboration with SUNYIT.

So again, that investment of \$180 million is in effect staying with the state. It's buying assets that the state will own through the affiliates dedicated to that work, but which will attract private companies that want access to that equipment and want to be under that roof and want to work together and have access to the research.

And they'll make their follow-on investments, which over time could total as

Economic Development, 2/10/14 91 much -- the \$45 billion estimate is large, 1 but that is based on the idea of the site's 2 ability to attract a major chip fab, kind of 3 like Global Foundries. I mean, these are big 4 5 investments. But that's the model. 6 SENATOR KRUEGER: And the investment 7 in the infrastructure for the companies, as 8 you've described, does that deal guarantee us 9 a share in the intellectual property rights 10 of anything created there? 11 12 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. The SUNY Research Foundation has a protocol and 13 protocols exist across the SUNY system, as 14 they do in private institutions, when they do 15 16 a partnership with a private entity on

protocols exist across the SUNY system, as they do in private institutions, when they do a partnership with a private entity on basically IP, intellectual property rights, and who gets the revenues should the great technology take off. So that will be put in place.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.

And going back to I think it's the report that my colleague John DeFrancisco referenced earlier to you, "New York State

Kirkland Reporting Service

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Business Tax Credits: An Analysis and Evaluation," there's a whole section of the report that recommends best practices for evaluating tax credits and incentives.

Does ESD use what are defined as sort of national best practices in doing these evaluations that, as you describe, I'm going to find some of on your website after this hearing is over? These are apparently best practices from the GAO, General Accounting Office, and a number of other states' programs that seem to be recognized as the right way to evaluate whether we're investing our money effectively or not. Does ESD use any standards that are defined as best practice?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, we do, on both sides of a deal, if I may put it that way.

In other words, when we are actually putting an incentive proposal together in partnership with a company, we use standard REMI and other economic modeling to look for certain returns on our investment. We do

something internally on a weekly basis, a review of our projects. It's called a benefit/cost analysis, and there's a certain score. So as we're even talking to companies, you know, they will ask for lots of things. And we pull back and say, No, that's not reasonable, this is what we're willing to do.

We don't win every deal. We don't just give stuff, taxpayer dollars to companies because they ask. There's all sorts of tests that have to be made.

So up-front, what I'm trying to say,
Senator, is that we have a lot of those best
practices built into the structure of our
incentive offers to companies. Then, as I
said earlier, we track these deals very
carefully with a team of people, most of them
in New York City, some here in Albany, and
the companies have to report in and hit
certain milestones, often before we even
deliver dollar one to the company. And over
time, we evaluate their performance against
those standards.

Kirkland Reporting Service

And those are -- yes, they do

benchmark very well against other states.

And I would argue that we look at other

states' economic development practices, and I

mentioned before that last year we invested

about \$5100 per job in those core programs

that I mentioned, the 386 deals that we did.

That is a remarkably low figure compared to

other states that are doing very, very

lucrative, tens of thousands, sometimes over

\$100,000 per job when they're trying to

attract a major employer to their state.

the Governor's leadership, we are (a) running a system with a high level of accountability, but (b) always looking for best value. We want the jobs, we want the investment, but we want it at a reasonable and sustainable cost to the taxpayer. And again, we have tools that are -- most of these, most of the conditions around these tools, some are statutory. And the ones that are internal in terms of our procedures, we build them, as you say, on best practices.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And then, finally,
you mentioned before that we still have the
Empire Zone program continuing for X number
of companies. Are we still doing the kind of
evaluation where, when we find somebody is
not in compliance, that we're removing them
from the program?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.

SENATOR KRUEGER: How is that going?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes. Any company remaining in the program until their benefits tail off and end has to submit a BAR, a business activity report.

I was approached by a company recently in the South Bronx who was concerned that they were a couple of days late submitting their report and would we throw them out of the program. It turned out they were within the late period we allowed, it got in on time, and they're okay. But that's to tell you, yes, we are vigilant about that. And they still have reporting requirements, obviously.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Do you know how many

. 9

1	businesses were thrown out of the program in
2	2013?
3	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: In 2013, the
4	no, I don't. I could look it up. I mean, I
5	can tell you that, you know, Empire Zones at
6	its heyday had close to 10,000 programs
7	"heyday" is probably not the right word
8	but at its peak had 10,000 companies in the
9	Zones program costing state taxpayers about
10	\$600 million a year. And that's probably
11	2006-2007, before you and colleagues in the
12	Legislature basically shut the program down
13	and replaced it with Excelsior.
14	So today we could still have 3,000 to
15	4,000 companies in the program. Again, we
16	monitor their activity and they don't receive
17	their benefits unless they're compliant with
18	the terms of their arrangement.
19	SENATOR KRUEGER: You could get back
20	to me with that number, then?
21	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Sure.
22	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	Assemblyman Ortiz.

ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you,
Mr. President, for your testimony. I always like to hear from the president.

And I just have a couple of quick

And I just have a couple of quick questions. In your testimony you spoke about the; Governor's announcement of the Round 4 of the Regional Economic Development Councils, which the purpose is to continue to create jobs in those regions and throughout the state. As you probably know, I represent the large stretch between the Brooklyn waterfront, from Red Hook down to Sunset Park. And you are very familiar, right, with this area, so I don't have to explain in too much detail.

But there are two waterfront-related issues that we are working on as we speak, which will do two components. Number one, it will create jobs with the investments. And I also would like to touch quickly in a question on the storm resiliency that happened as a result of Sandy.

So regarding on the job creation,

though now many of our previous industries and manufacturers on the waterfront disappeared, there is something amazing starting to take place on the Brooklyn waterfront with growth of our innovation economy. Whether it's Industry City, whether it's the federal building that we have on Third Avenue or other newly energized properties, good things are starting to happen. But they really need significant investment, as you probably know, investment in basic infrastructure and other needs for job creation to truly take off in this community.

My question is, what can Empire State

Development and the State of New York do to

help this new innovation-economy growth in

places like Sunset Park and the Red Hook

area?

commissioner Adams: Our two programs obviously introduced over the last couple of years by the Governor, the Regional Council Program and now the START-UP program, are really ideal for some of the locations that

you're talking about that, as you know, I'm familiar with.

And in two regards. One is in the Regional Council Program, we do see -- it was addressed earlier in the hearing -- funding available for infrastructure to create the sites that will attract the companies that you're talking about. Particularly when there is -- and this could be used, for example, in the new or the extended Brownfields Program.

But when there are old industrial sites along the Brooklyn waterfront, funding to clean up the sites, funding for the infrastructure required to attract the private investment is something we have and we would like to deploy. So I'm happy to follow up with you on that. I have visited some of those sites with Andrew Kimball in his new role just before the holidays, and I'm familiar with a number of them.

The other part of this is if you think about the Brooklyn Army Terminal and SUNY Downstate as a host of the Brooklyn Army

Terminal through Dr. Eva Cramer, the BioBAT, the biotechnology incubator, great example of Sunset Park waterfront, bordering on Bay Ridge, but that waterfront is -- and the ability to attract companies now with this new incentive program from START-UP.

So I think deploying either or both the Regional Council system around infrastructure, START-UP around tax benefits to companies, and then our work at ESD to market and attract companies to the area, we'd be pleased to work with you.

ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: Thank you. Thank you very much. And I'm glad to hear that, and I'm looking forward to do work with you and work with some of the folks that are there.

My second question and last question
has to do with resiliency. Red Hook and
Sunset Park suffered significant damage
during Sandy, and some significant investment
is also necessary to protect both the people
who live in places like Red Hook and the
places they would like Industry City to be.

Kirkland Reporting Service

What specifically is the state doing 1 in terms of resiliency upgrades along the 2 South Brooklyn waterfront? And what more can 3 be done, as you know, when we have Sandy and 4 we have some of these devastating situations 5 happen lately? 6 As you are very familiarized with this 7 building, they get water almost up to the 8 ceiling, which prevent them to get to my 9 house, across the street from Third Avenue. 10 11 Which I live on Fourth, so my basement 12 doesn't get flooded. As a result, they get 13 all the water. 14 So can you comment on that? 15 also would like to thank you for your 16 comments. 17 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, the Governor 18 put together the CRZ, the Community 19 Reconstruction Zones program, and obviously 20 Sunset Park and the Brooklyn waterfront are part of that program. 21 22 We'd have to look up -- because I'm 23 not familiar with the individuals that are

actually running -- each zone has a set of

1.1

community volunteers that have done their plans, do their proposals.

And the good news is the Governor was able to secure a significant amount of federal aid for rebuilding that infrastructure in the form of new resiliency -- not just build back what was there before, but build in systems to protect from what will no doubt be future storms.

And, again, familiar as I am with that area, I'd be pleased to meet with you and the CRZ team, Seth Diamond and Jamie Rubin and others, who have really a statewide responsibility here, because they're also looking at communities that are rebuilding in the aftermath of Irene and Lee.

But there is federal funding available and there are a number of new technologies introduced. I think the building industry is very involved in this. There's a lot of new technology and things that are going to have to happen or we won't get the investment on those waterfront sites. Because obviously companies will be reluctant if they don't see

any kind of protection against future weather 1 2 events. ASSEMBLYMAN ORTIZ: And I would like 3 to also -- I still have a few seconds, so let 4 me take advantage of those two seconds -- to 5 thank you for your accessibility during 6 Sandy. I know Sandy was very difficult. 7 know Sandy is a year past, and I never said 8 publicly thank you enough to you for being 9 there on the other side of the phone every 10 time that we was calling for assistance for 11 the people of Red hook as well as the people 12 of Sunset Park. So thank you very much. 13 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: You're welcome. 14 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Now really the 15 last questioner from the Senate is Dave 16 Valesky. 17 Thank you, Senator 18 SENATOR VALESKY: DeFrancisco. 19 Commissioner, thank you for your 20 presentation today. I actually did not have 21 a question, but Senator DeFrancisco's 22

follow up on it, which is probably dangerous

question got me to think, so I wanted to

23

1	in and of itself. But I wanted to follow up.
2	So the film production tax credit is
3	about 430, 450?
4	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: 420.
5	SENATOR VALESKY: 420, okay. So I
6	want to get back to this comparison, the
7	Excelsior jobs program, tax credit program,
8	would you agree would be one of your most
9	broad-based tax credit programs that you
10	offer in terms of the types of businesses
11	that would qualify?
12	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes.
13	SENATOR VALESKY: According to this
14	information this is what I just want to
15	clarify the Executive Budget proposes an
16	additional \$220 million in funding, to be
17	allocated by the Regional Economic
18	Development Councils as follows:
19	\$150 million in new capital funding, a
20	set-aside of an additional \$70 million in
21	Excelsior jobs program tax credits,
22	\$7 million per year for 10 years.
23	So is the comparison \$7 million versus
24	\$450 million in one year?

to simply taking some of the existing

Excelsior capacity, \$7 million times

10 years, and making it available as part of
the CFA competition. So that there's more
than there would be otherwise.

Because again, we deploy Excelsior all the time as a leading incentive. And I need to, at the chair's suggestion, if not urging, get him the more accurate statistics on Excelsior.

But what I was trying to say before is because it's a 10-year program, if in a year -- the capacity of the program over time is several billion dollars. And I don't want to put the number out without actually doing the math. Because we have capacity every year, and then we're committing it in outyears because the company is not collecting it. So if we -- 7 million here, you know, down the road a certain number of years, after 10 years, it becomes seven times 10 becomes 70.

And so this year there was 200. There

might be 7 against the 200, but you're committing it out over many years.

2.1

So again, it's a different structure than the film production tax credit. We have billions of dollars of Excelsior capacity.

We're not constrained at all in terms of making -- so I didn't answer the Senator's question in a good way or a helpful way with my math, and I apologize.

But what you've pointed out is simply there is, in the Regional Council system, an amount of Excelsior capacity devoted to that system to add to the resources. That's in part how we get to close to \$750 million of resources for regional councils. Some of that is bond capital, some of that is Excelsior tax credits. And 150 is ESD capital, as you know from the budget proposal.

But they're very -- I know the Senator doesn't want me to speak about it at this time, but it's a very -- there are two different programs that have -- they both, I would argue, have a very, very powerful

r	
1	impact, but they're different and they're
2	structured differently.
3	SENATOR VALESKY: Whatever you prepare
4	for the chairman, if you could share with me
5	as well. Because the out-of-whack concern I
6	would share. So I would share his concern.
7	So I'd appreciate seeing further data on
8	, that.
9	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Senator, may I
10	make one comment in this regard?
11	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: You may. You
12	may.
13	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: I just because
14	I didn't say it earlier, and I've talked
15	about our other programs. The answer to the
16	question was \$420 million. But behind that
17	is this. Last year was our busiest year ever
18	in administering the film production tax
19	credit. Ever. I mean, this program started
20	in 2004 with about \$10 million, a very small
21	program. It's a much bigger program now, to
22	the Senator's point.
23	So we had 182 applications last year
24	for feature-length motion pictures, serials,

television shows and pilots. Again, a record number of applications. In those applications the different film production and television production companies pledged to spend over \$2 billion, which is about a 5-to-1 ratio of what we deploy -- right, \$420 million against \$2.2 billion of spending.

And again, the applications were done last year. So if they're done in October, November, the productions could go into production now or in the next few months. So there's a tail there.

And they will lead to over 100,000 jobs or positions. And here's a key difference and why it would be hard to merge the programs, Senator. Employment in film and television production, we count positions.

Because someone could be a carpenter on a movie set -- Noah comes to mind, because it opens next month, and it was filmed on Long Island -- and work that job for three months, and that's that person's employment.

It's a union job, it's a good job, it's well paid. And then maybe they get hired a few months later working on a TV show or on another movie, and you don't want to double-count that person, and it's not full-time year-round employment.

So the incentive creates a lot of employment and induces a lot of new wages to the state, but it's in a structure, because of the nature of film and television production work, those jobs are counted differently.

With Excelsior, we're looking at full-time employment and actual wage reporting for FTEs, full-time employees, year after year after year after year. They're full-time positions. So it's different, you're incentivizing different types of jobs, different types of employment.

But I would argue that by any metric, the film production tax credit -- it is a big program, the chair is absolutely right. But it's very effective. And what it's done is it's anchored an industry in the state. Yes,

largely in the City. We're doing our best to expand that. But an industry that had left and an industry that employs hundreds of thousands of people in skilled -- actually, highly skilled. Again, our subsidy only is for, our support is for what we call below-the-line production expenses. We don't pay actors' salaries, or movie directors or producers.

But these are really good jobs. And I would argue in a creative economy, they are the manufacturing jobs of a creative economy. And yes, the program supports this. And yes, it's a big and generous program. But it's successful.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Since you addressed me, I just want to respond.

In the budget this year it's \$50 million for Excelsior. I'm not talking about rollovers and continue with the program, it's 500 million over ten years or whatever. This year it's \$50 million. Last year was \$50 million. The tax credits for the film industry is \$420 million. I guess I

1	could bet why there's so many applications
2	because it's lucrative. It's a lucrative tax
3 -	benefit, and you don't even have to show the
4	permanency in jobs. Whereas Excelsior pales
5	in comparison and affects everybody.
6	So that's my concern. Not that it's
7	not a wonderful tool. Family members are in
8	the film industry. So that's not the point.
9	The point is there ought to be some realistic
10	balance, because there's more industry that
11	affects everyone that could be incentivized
12	by full-time job commitment in the Excelsior
13	program.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks to
15	close.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you for all
17	the information today, Commissioner.
18	We created, last year's part of the
19	budget, the Hot Spots program, and then
20	post-budget we did START-UP. Where are we
21	with Hot Spots? Are we going to go with both
22	of those programs, or is one going to
23	supercede the other?
24	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: We're going with

both. You know, we're going with all the economic development tools we can put at our disposal to attract companies, in this case particularly tech companies, and good jobs in all corners of the state.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Have we made any progress --

the brief update. Through the Regional
Council process last year, we announced in
early December the designation of five
Hot Spots and 10 incubators and had funding,
as part of last year's budget, to support
that development. This year's budget
proposal would provide funding for another
round of five more Hot Spots, and another
five incubators.

And so we're going to continue to acknowledge, recognize and support financially those places. And they very much can align -- with Assemblyman Ortiz, I was mentioning this incubator he knows that does biotech in Brooklyn in his district. They can align with universities and be part of

the -- if they choose, you know, part of the START-UP system. Which would simply mean, you know, even more support for the companies that are there. It comes together nicely.

And in fact, one of the most interesting proposals came from Cornell and BU, they picked a Hot Spot that was actually four different -- we always thought it would be one place, like an incubator you think of as a building, or a physical location, and they actually did something between Cornell, BU, the Ceramics Corridor and Corning. The four of them created, in effect, a regional Hot Spot. And you know what? They won the competition. Or at least they were one of the five designated, that came out of the Southern Tier Economic Development Council.

So we're seeing partnerships and collaborations, and we're seeing alignments with the START-UP zones as they get developed, and it's, again, a very powerful tool, and gets us to the idea that the Governor wants us to do everything we can, all the tools we have, to attract new

Economic Development, 2/10/14 investment and create jobs all across the 1 2 state. With the -- I know ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: 3 you said you've done a lot of work, and your 4 staff has, and that there was an approval 5 board for START-UP NY that -- are we -- where 6 are we with that? Is that appointed and 7 going to be helping you in some of that 8 decision-making? 9 COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Yes, it's been 10 appointed, and it will be very helpful. 11 12

appointed, and it will be very helpful. For schools that seek to designate space that's not on campus, off-campus space, they'll need approval by that board. And for actions by some of the private universities in the system, they'll need approval by the board.

And yes, the board members have been named by the Assembly, Senate, and executive branch, and so we're ready to work.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Do we -- or just, I'd be interested in seeing the list, or is the -- when you have it. Thank you.

One of the issues that -- actually, some different reports have been referenced

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

today, and I have one here that the Senate
Coalition had put out on regulatory reform.

And I know the Governor, in his speech, said
let's make this year the time when we lift
some of the regulations and really free
business to, you know, make more progress in
the state.

You know, in that report they had mentioned that up to \$274 billion -- I don't know how they crafted it all, but \$274 billion, you know, are created through just the regulatory structure that we have at that cost. Are there some things the ESD is promoting -- I didn't see in the Governor's budget, per se -- the regulatory reform isn't there.

Are there specific things, you know,
we can work on to get over that hump to
really -- we're doing some of the positive
things, I think, in pushing -- you know, to
help business and some of the technical
aspects and whatever. But are there some
specific things that we can do or that you're
proposing to help business on the regulatory

1 side?

COMMISSIONER ADAMS: First, you know,

I would certainly agree with you and others

who have made it clear that we need ongoing

regulatory reform to improve the broader

business climate of the state.

Now, ESD, our role really is to capture recommendations from the businesses that we work with and other stakeholders in economic development about potential reforms. And I've met several times with Senator Gallivan, for example, who worked on that report, and I'm familiar with it.

The idea, then, is that through our Regional Council system, where we get a lot of feedback, a lot of good input from Regional Council members, through our regional offices -- we've got 10 regional offices around the state where we work with local IDAs and local officials, economic development departments at the local level -- we get a lot of recommendations.

And so in this broader effort that the Governor has cited of identifying

Kirkland Reporting Service

opportunities for regulatory reform to lift 1 the state's economy, ESD can be a very 2 helpful vehicle through those relationships, 3 and through those organizations that we have, 4 at kind of gathering, collecting and 5 delivering those recommendations on behalf of 6 employers. 7 We have done some of that at the 8 Governor's urging already in key areas like 9 the -- when he holds these summits, I 1.0 mentioned earlier the Wine, Beer and Spirits 11 Summit, we came up with very important 12 regulatory reform proposals from stakeholders 13 in the industry that then the Governor can 14 15 act on with you all. So there are systems in place to do 16 that, and I suspect this year, as you've 17 noted, we'll be doing more of that. 18 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Good. Well, I hope 19 we can be a part of that and be more 20 21 successful. Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you, 22 Mr. Adams. Very in-depth testimony, 23

appreciate it very much.

1	COMMISSIONER ADAMS: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next
3	speaker is Kathryn Flacke Muncil, vice chair,
4	New York State Hospitality and Tourism
5	Association, with Robert Puckett and Dave
6	Lamendola on deck.
7	You're on.
.8	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you. I'm Kathryn
9	Flacke Muncil, vice chairwoman of the Board
10	of New York State Hospitality and Tourism
11	Association, aka NYSHTA.
12	I'd like to start by thanking Chairman
13	Farrell and Chairman DeFrancisco and the
14	members of the committee for the opportunity
15	to comment on the Executive Budget proposal
16	as it relates to tourism. We thank the
17	Governor and the Legislature for appreciating
18	what tourism means to the economy of New York
19	State.
20	I also absolutely promise to be brief,
21	and to finish well before Wednesday's
22	Pittsburgh game. Go Syracuse!
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Now that you've
24	brought it up, the rankings just came out;
	1

Syracuse is still number one. 1 (Laughter.) 2 MS. MUNCIL: As CEO of the Fort 3 William Henry Corporation, we employ upwards 4 of 400 people during the height of our busy 5 The corporation, which is located in 6 Lake George, manages 20 separate businesses, 7 which range from an independent resort hotel 8 9 and conference center, with numerous coffee shops, retail shops and restaurants, to a 10 restored French and Indian War Fortress, 11 immortalized in the internationally 12 recognized novel by James Fenimore Cooper, 13 The Last of the Mohicans. 14 We've been in business since 1952, and 15 we're owned by over 200 stockholders, having 16 been formed by five families from Albany, who 17 continue to own the majority of the stock. 18 manage the board of directors for the 19 corporation, which consists of 13 members, 20 and I, with our senior staff, stay very 21 involved in our community, in our industry on 22 a local, state and federal basis. 23

24

Also, as background information,

1.7

NYSHTA is the oldest lodging association in the country. We currently represent 70 percent of the total lodging room inventory in the state.

The tourism industry in New York is an unparalleled industry that is a combination of creating and maintaining jobs, as well as providing the state with a return on its investment that very few industries can offer. The tourism industry is part of each region of the state, providing employment at all levels, from management to entry level. Given its proven track record as a revenue source for state and local governments, as well as a job generator, tourism is not only a wise investment, but a critical one that yields unmatched and well-sustained returns for New York's economy.

I want to quickly recap the areas of the budget of importance to tourism. In the Executive Budget proposal, Governor Cuomo has proposed maintaining the current funding.

levels for the Tourism Matching Grant

Program, as well as the I Love New York

Program. The Governor funded the matching grant program at \$3.815 million and the I Love New York program at \$2.5 million.

Additionally, for the second year in a row, the Governor has appropriated \$5 million for Market New York, and these funds will be allocated for regional marketing and promotion. Likewise, the Governor has set aside \$1.1 million for Taste New York.

Lastly, the Governor's proposal includes \$150 million available for the fourth round of the Regional Economic Development Councils. And our association strongly supports the councils, and we encourage more tourism-specific awards to be granted. To that end, we request that the state's tourism funding mechanisms are preserved so the industry, along with state and local partners, continue to flourish.

We would also certainly welcome any additional funding, specifically to the matching grant programs. These programs allow each region to commit matching funds, it doubles the investment, and allowing

1.1

1 business to develop locally. To summarize, we ask you to consider 2 that consistency of funding to tourism is 3 critical to assure our ability to compete 4 with other states that have historically 5 We want to reiterate that any outspent us. 6 investment in tourism contributes \$7 back to 7 our economy for every \$1 invested. 8 We're very grateful for your 9 recognition of tourism as an important 10 11 economic engine in New York State, and request your support of the budget. I thank 12 13 you for your time, and I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And thank you 15 16 for summarizing your testimony. Tourism is an extremely important 17 industry, and if we invested as much in this 18 industry that we do on others, I think we'd 19 even be showing substantially greater 20 increases in tourism throughout the state. 21 Anyone else? 22 ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: Kathryn, you 23

said toward the end of your testimony that

1	you and the organizations you represent	
2	strongly support the Regional Councils. Do	
3	you feel that these Regional Councils should	
4	be codified in state law because of this	
5	strong support?	
6	MS. MUNCIL: I'm not sure that I could	
7	answer that in terms of how different it	
8	would be, because the process does currently	
9	work. And we are we enthusiastically	
10	accept what has happened, and the same action	
11	going forward.	
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: Thank you.	
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very	
14	much.	
14 15	much.  MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.	
15	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.	
15 16	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next	
15 16 17	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next  speaker, Robert Puckett.	
15 16 17 18	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next  speaker, Robert Puckett.  (Discussion off the record.)	
15 16 17 18 19	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next  speaker, Robert Puckett.  (Discussion off the record.)  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And Dave	
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next  speaker, Robert Puckett.  (Discussion off the record.)  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And Dave  Lamendola to give him moral support.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MUNCIL: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next  speaker, Robert Puckett.  (Discussion off the record.)  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And Dave  Lamendola to give him moral support.  MR. PUCKETT: Thank you, Chairman.	

well. I'll also summarize my testimony in the interest of time.

I'm with the New York State

Telecommunications Association. We've got

approximately 35 carriers who operate in the

State of New York, some as large as

Windstream, AT&T, Sprint, down to the smaller

rural carriers that serve a lot of the

upstate areas, primarily rural areas, such as

Hancock Telephone Company and others.

We're here to talk today to talk about regulatory reform contained in the budget, two items out of three, and they're in Part R of the Technology, Economic Development and Environmental Conservation section of the budget.

And what is being proposed, the first item would give the PSC the flexibility to waive certain PSC laws after -- and it's important to note, after notice and hearing.

I should point out that these laws were first established, all of them were established in 1910 and -- except for one, which was established in 1912. Now, since

Kirkland Reporting Service

that time -- that was back in the days of a monopoly telephone service. You had one provider, you could have a phone as long as it was black and hanging in your wall, and you could only have one.

Well, things have changed. In the last 11 years, for example, the incumbent telecom industry, the landline providers, have lost over 60 percent of their landlines to others, such as wireless, cable TV, et cetera. So there's been significant change in our industry. Of all the telephones in New York today, I estimate the incumbent landline providers serve about 15 to 20 percent of the market.

So we certainly applaud the Governor's efforts here to reduce some of the regulatory laws that have been on the books since 1910 and at least give the PSC the flexibility to look at those laws and waive them, if necessary.

Now, there may be some changes that even some of my members wouldn't support.

But it gives the PSC the authority to issue

notice, have hearings, et cetera. And 1 certainly anybody that has a concern with any 2 particular waiver that they may be thinking 3 of granting certainly could speak to that 4 issue before the PSC. That's the first item. 5 The second item, real quick, deals 6 with cable TV franchises. Right now, they 7 are approved -- you enter into them on the 8 local level, whether it be in the village or 9 the township, quite a resource-intensive 10 process having to deal with many villages and 11 towns across New York, especially if you're a 12 large provider. And even if you're a small 13 provider, it takes a lot of resources. 14 15 This would allow the PSC to then approve those franchises automatically within 16 45 days. If nothing is done, they're 17 automatically approved. And of course they 18 must meet the standards set forth by the PSC. 19 20

So those are two items we support in the budget. And certainly if you have any questions, Dave or I will be glad to address them.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator

21

22

Kennedy. 1 SENATOR KENNEDY: I'm assuming, David, 2 I can ask you questions regarding Verizon? 3 Yes. MR. LAMENDOLA: 4 SENATOR KENNEDY: Well, there's 5 multiple areas of the state, specifically 6 within the City of Buffalo and the district 7 that I represent, that have not yet been 8 connected with the option to access the most 9 up-to-date, fastest broadband service. 10 Besides being an annoyance to the residents 11 of the City of Buffalo, it puts certain 12 neighborhoods at a clear competitive 13 disadvantage in attracting and maintaining 1.4 businesses in our community and jobs in our 15 community. 16 And as we climb this uphill path that 17 our economic recovery is ensured, we need to 18 knock down these barriers of doing business 19 in New York, as I'm sure you agree. But one 20 of those barriers we're finding in Buffalo is 21 the inability to access the highest-speed 22 broadband services, specifically Verizon 23

FiOS.

24

And I'm very concerned that, because

of Verizon refusing to build FiOS into the City of Buffalo, that we're experiencing a growing digital divide. And some communities are left behind, while others are fully wired and empowered for growth, right around the City of Buffalo, as you're well aware. I'm sure you agree, once again, as a state that we can't leave behind these wide swaths of communities, and thousands if not 

swaths of communities, and thousands if not millions of New Yorkers, by not providing them with access to high-speed internet services like FiOS.

So my question is, why has Verizon refused to build out FiOS services in urban communities across New York State, specifically in my district in the City of Buffalo?

MR. LAMENDOLA: Senator, my preference would be to review what's in the budget today, which is this Part R.

But since you have asked, we currently are focused on build-out obligations where we have secured franchises, for-video franchises in the communities. I think right now our

2	
۷	And in regards to the accusation that
3	Verizon may have, what you said, created a
4	digital divide I think those were your
5	words we would disagree with you. We
6	offer broadband services in the City of
7	Buffalo today, it's our high-speed internet
8	service, commonly referred to as DSL. And
9	regarding we also offer video TV
10	throughout the City of Buffalo with a
11	marketing agreement through a company called
12	DIRECTV.
13	SENATOR KENNEDY: But you do not
14	provide FiOS, correct?
15	MR. LAMENDOLA: Excuse me?
16	SENATOR KENNEDY: You do not provide
17	FiOS in
18	MR. LAMENDOLA: We don't provide
19	SENATOR KENNEDY: the City of
20	Buffalo?
21	MR. LAMENDOLA: we there may be
22	some fiber in the City of Buffalo to provide
	1
23	what we commonly call a "Double Play," but

1	the City of Buffalo to provide video service.
2	SENATOR KENNEDY: So do you believe
3	that Verizon should provide this service to
4	all New Yorkers, including in the City of
5	Buffalo?
6	MR. LAMENDOLA: No. And I don't
7	believe we ever intended to do that.
8	SENATOR KENNEDY: Okay. And why is
9	that?
10	MR. LAMENDOLA: It's a I guess
11	we'll how about let's take this offline
12	and I'll come into your office and discuss.
13	But right now we'd like to focus on Part R.
14	We think that is economic development, and we
15	think that is a good effort by the Governor
16	and by others to look at common regulatory
17	reform efforts.
18	SENATOR KENNEDY: I I get it. And
19	I'd be very pleased to meet with you
20	privately about this as well.
21	But this has a direct impact on
22	economic development in the City of Buffalo
23	and on businesses in the City of Buffalo.
24	And I believe, you know, as we just had the

Commissioner of Economic Development in the State of New York talking about the build-out and the Buffalo Billions, and making sure that there's jobs and economic opportunity in the City of Buffalo, we need to provide opportunities for these businesses to thrive.

And, you know, I'd like a commitment from Verizon to build out in the City of Buffalo. This is not something new, but this is something that is extremely important to the people of my district, the City of Buffalo. I'm constantly hearing this issue being brought to my attention. My colleagues in government at every single level are in tune with this issue.

to make any commitments. You're a private company that makes decisions based upon whatever your protocol is. For you to give a public commitment as to business that you may or may not do in the future has nothing to do with the budget of the State of New York.

SENATOR KENNEDY: It does have to do with economic development, though.

1	So I will I will look forward to
2	that meeting with you.
3	MR. LAMENDOLA: Great.
4	SENATOR KENNEDY: The other issue I'd
5	like to bring up to you is the "double-wood"
6	issue. Would you like to reserve that as
7	well? Or would you like to comment on the
8	"double-wood" issue?
9	MR. LAMENDOLA: No, thank you. We
10	could discuss that when we want to have a
11	meeting, to talk about that.
12	And if you have any questions about
13	Part R, I'd like to help you on that.
14	SENATOR KENNEDY: Fair enough.
15	MR. LAMENDOLA: Great.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
1.7	Krueger.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Hi. I guess mine is
19	a consumer affairs question, but since you're
20	both here in front of me this
21	cramming-of-cellphone problem that seems to
22	be national. And I recently received a
23	warning from the New York City Department of
24	Consumer Affairs, where you get a one-ring

call on your cellphone, and then if you -- a number pops up, so if you call it back thinking someone was looking for you, somehow you've magically agreed to potentially owing \$20, \$30, simply for returning that call.

At least according to the note I got,
Sprint was offering to credit back the money
to customers. But apparently this is
becoming a universal problem, and it can't be
unique to one vendor. So I'm wondering what
can we do about it, and what are we doing
about it.

MR. PUCKETT: It is a national problem. And, in fact, the FCC and the FTC are looking at this issue right now to try to strengthen enforcement action, if you will, against some of these providers.

Many of these calls are from the Caribbean. And Senator Schumer has -- I'm not sure if he's introduced legislation or discussed legislation to -- if you will, stronger enforcement action for folks who violate, for example, the FCC Do Not Call list.

But that's basically my knowledge of 1 that situation. It's not so much a state 2 issue as a national issue. 3 SENATOR KRUEGER: And is there 4 anything we can at a state level to either 5 prevent it or to ensure people aren't getting 6 billed on their cellphone bills? 7 MR. PUCKETT: I don't know that there 8 And being international calling, if one 9 1.0 state were to try to do something versus another state, I don't know if that would be 11 a worthwhile endeavor. 12 And keep in mind, the federal 13 14 government itself is -- is struggling to enforce the Do Not Call database and Do Not 15 Call program. And certainly it's a challenge 16 for them; it would be a challenge for the 17 states as well. 18 I mean, certainly it's a problem, and 19 it needs to be addressed. But I think the 20 21 federal level is the more appropriate avenue 22 to do that. SENATOR KRUEGER: And simply because, 23

Verizon, you're here. So Sprint says it's

1	going to make its customers whole. Does
2	Verizon have the same policy?
3	MR. LAMENDOLA; Yeah, Senator, I'm not
4	that familiar with the issue myself, but I
5	could certainly get back to you on that.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
9	much.
10	MR. PUCKETT: Thank you.
11	MR. LAMENDOLA: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next
13	speaker is Jo Wiederhorn, President of
14	Associated Medical Schools of New York, for a
15	repeat performance.
16	MS. WIEDERHORN: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And then
18	followed by Marnie LaVigne.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: LaVigne.
20	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: There's a guy
21	up there that looks just like Schimminger.
22	(Laughter.)
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Whenever you're
24	ready.
	·

1	MS. WIEDERHORN: Okay. Thank you very
2	much.
3	I'm Jo Wiederhorn. I'm the president
4	and CEO of the Associated Medical Schools of
5	New York. I appreciate, Senator DeFrancisco,
6	your taking the time, and Senator Krueger,
7	your taking the time again to hear my
8	presentation
9	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Are you on?
10	This you're very
11	MS. WIEDERHORN: Am I now on?
12	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Yes. Just move
13	it a little closer.
14	MS. WIEDERHORN: A little okay. A
15	little button?
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: There you go.
17	MS. WIEDERHORN: Okay. I appreciate
18	your taking the time to listen to my
19	testimony.
20	I was sitting here listening to the
21	speakers before me, and I was wondering, now,
22	many people may be wondering why am I here
23	representing the 16 medical schools of
24	New York. And I think the reason why I'm

here is very clear, if you look at the fact sheet which I put as part of my testimony.

I'm here because we are one of the biggest economic drivers in the state.

But what I'm here to talk about today is not our overall numbers, which include 700,000 jobs and include over \$85 billion being put into the state economy, but to talk specifically about the need for biomedical research, and how that is a driver and can be a larger driver of the economy in this state. So if you look at the fact sheet which is part of your testimony, I think there's some really important things that need to be brought out.

Commissioner Adams, and he was talking about how small the state's commitment is, on a per-jobs basis compared to other states, in terms of attracting businesses here. And yet, if you look at this, and you look at the bottom on the front, the very bottom where it says, "State Investment in Bioscience Fuels Job Growth," you'll see that compared to

other states that have put quite a bit of money into bioscience and supporting biomedical research, New York lags very far behind.

And the state that isn't on here, but there is another graph which is in the testimony itself, on page 5, Connecticut is in that graph. And Connecticut realized when Pfizer left that they needed to do something to keep those jobs and to continue to grow their economy. So Connecticut, which has a very small annual budget compared to New York, has made a commitment of over \$1.5 billion over 10 years to invest into the life sciences.

We think this is really important now to bring this up to you, because at this point the NIH funding for biomedical research has dropped. So in 2012 the state got approximately \$2 billion in funding for bioscience from the NIH. And that was the year of the sequestration, and that year we lost \$102 million from NIH. As we lost that money, there isn't any money that's being put

back into the federal budget to replace that \$102 million. So we're starting out at a deficit of \$102 million every year from the feds.

Now, I have heard some people very strongly believe that this investing in bioscience is not the role of a state but rather the role of the federal government. But I would like to just say that I don't believe that that is true in this particular day and age. Because again, if you look at the fact sheet and you look on the front page, and it shows how much New York has put -- how much New York -- I'm sorry. What the NIH funding is for New York, in comparing that to the job growth, within the state -- I think I just screwed that up, I'm sorry.

If you look at the state investment in bioscience and compare it to the job growth, you'll see that as these other states are putting money in, they are reaping rewards from that. And the rewards that they're reaping are jobs that are not going to be in New York, they're going to be stealing some

of our star scientists, they're going to be recruiting them and their labs, and we will not only continue to drop in terms of job growth if we don't invest, we will also have a major brain drain.

Now, there's a lot of talk about brain drain from a variety of industries. And we talked about brain drain a number of years ago when we were looking at the stem cell research and wanting funds to be put into stem cell research, which the state did. And that investment in stem cell research is that \$0.6 billion that you see here. As compared to the \$3 billion in California, \$3 billion in Texas, \$1 billion in Massachusetts, and \$1.5 billion that was just put into Connecticut.

So I think that, you know, when we did do the stem cell funding, and when it was fully funded -- it has not been fully funded now for a number of years, but when it was fully funded, we were able to attract more and more scientists. At this point, though, we are unable -- we will be unable to do so,

because NIH funding is decreasing. And other states are increasing the dollar amount that they're putting in.

If you flip the fact sheet over,
you'll see the results of bioscience
investment in the state. So overall,
\$30 billion is invested nationwide by venture
capitalists and bioscience companies. Again,
most of that money goes to states that the
state has made large investments in.

New York has 4 percent of the venture capitalist money in bioscience in the country. This, coupled with the fact that -- if you look at it closely, coupled with the fact that of the venture capitalist firms that are located in New York State, only 9 percent of the money that they have invested has gone to New York State. So clearly we have the most medical schools, we train the most students, we have more M.D./Ph.D. programs than any other state. We have the opportunity here, but it's not happening.

And so I'm here to say and to ask that

1	you would support bioscience faculty,
2	particularly faculty recruitment and
3	development. Because as actually the
4	commissioner was talking about, there's the
5	need to have students who are going to be
6	trained in and students who know how to do
7	the science and to work within the industry.
8	We need to be able to continue our pipeline
9	of scientists, faculty members who will be
10	going into the labs, who will be making the
11	discoveries, and who will be supporting the
12	bioscience industry moving forward.
13	Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
15	Thank you very much.
1.6	As is in all hearings, as the hour
17	gets later, people are less apt to ask
18	questions
19	MS. WIEDERHORN: That's fine.
20	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: It has nothing
21	to do with the quality of your speech or your
22	presentation.
23	MS. WIEDERHORN: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: University of

1	Buffalo, Marnie LaVigne, associate vice
2	president for Economic Development.
3	(Discussion off the record.)
4	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: We have been joined
5	by Assemblyman Moya.
6	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And we've also
7	been joined again by Assemblyman Schimminger.
8	He's back up here.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN SCHIMMINGER: I'm taking a
10	lower seat.
11	(Laughter.)
12	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And Andrew
13	Reynolds is next.
14	You're on.
15	MS. LaVIGNE: Very good. Thank you
16	for having me this afternoon.
17	I want to say my appreciation goes to
18	all for staying around for this late hour in
19	the conversation. But I hope that what
20	you'll find will, one, be a follow-on to the
21	testimony that you just heard, but also
22	enlightening as far as how New York State is
23	playing a critical role in higher education
24	in driving economic development.

I'm Marnie LaVigne, associate vice president for economic development at the University at Buffalo, and I am here on behalf of the vice president for research and economic development, Alex Cartwright.

Many of you have been very involved in supporting our efforts, and what I wanted to do is just take a few minutes to talk with you about the economic development activities, particularly in the high tech sectors that have been a major focus of what we've been talking about today.

And in fact I do want to impress upon you that New York State is playing a major role not just in biomedical research, but in that commercialization pipeline. And without New York State playing that role, we would not be able to stay on par with the other states. So I want to start out by just lauding your efforts to promote key programs that have supported our efforts for so long.

As the largest of the SUNY campuses, we fully appreciate the seriousness of our mission to educate our 28,000 students.

Because we know, just as you heard a few moments ago, this is the future of our economy. These are people who are in your neighborhoods, they're your relatives, these are the individuals who are telling you they want to stay in the State of New York, and in fact they will be driving that economy if we give them the opportunity.

\$360 million research budget -- which accounts for about a third of the SUNY portfolio of patents -- that in fact we are able to take those assets and turn them into opportunity for these young students, for those in the graduate schools, for our professionals, and for people living in your neighborhoods and our whole state. So in fact we've really made great strides, and in some respects I think we're just hitting our stride by building on the foundation of what you have put forward to us.

In the 1980s, New York State was actually was at the forefront in the U.S. at introducing the Center for Advanced

Technology program. Other states did not have anything to look at commercializing their technologies. And now, of course, the new millennium, we saw the Centers of Excellence -- and as you have mentioned today, we have groundbreaking programs that really are unprecedented: START-UP NY, Innovation Hot Spots.

But today what I really want to do is emphasize with you the power of that foundation through your Center for Advanced Technology Program and Centers of Excellence, and how they have actually led us to create that cluster economy that we know is the future of New York State.

So first of all, you know, as many of you know, we were designated at the University of Buffalo with the Biomedical and Bioengineering Center for Advanced Technologies some years ago. And then in 2001 we were named with the New York State Center of Excellence in Bioinformatics and Life Sciences.

And thanks to the support of so many

2.

1.4

of you, we received the second designation for the New York State Center of Excellence in Materials Informatics. And we truly appreciate your efforts to understand how these foundational moves really are what is the basis for catalyzing this new economy.

And I would just comment that the details of these programs become pretty murky; it's hard to know exactly how they work and how companies are using them. But it was a perfect progression to go from Center for Advanced Technology, or CAT, which helps do research and development for specific company product and service development projects, into Centers of Excellence. Why? Because that created the play-spaced opportunity for clusters.

Any of you who have been to Western

New York hopefully have seen the Buffalo

Niagara Medical Campus for you to experience
what having a Center of Excellence facility
has done to be the home for our Center for

Advanced Technology. And in fact, our

location has housed as many as

12 private-sector firms.

And being one of the lead folks at UB in charge of the START-UP NY program, I can tell you we have been speaking to at least 100 companies. A significant portion of these actually match up perfectly with our focus in areas like life sciences and advanced manufacturing. So more collocation is in the offing, absolutely.

And in fact, we have been one of the most high-performing Centers for Advanced Technology, with a 30 to 1 average. But this year is our personal best, with a 64 to 1.

And why did that happen? It happened because we reached out to the community and were able to develop a long-term relationship with the companies who were trying to grow in our region.

We have a perfect example of how we were able to help a company that went from a lifestyle status firm into a firm that was just acquired and is looking to grow even more in Western New York. IMMCO Diagnostics, which is an autoimmune diagnostics company in

Western New York, started in 1971 by UB professors, it was going on very nicely, and the new millennium brought on new business talent. They got engaged in the Buffalo Niagara Medical Campus, located a lab on the medical campus rather than just maintaining a suburban location.

And what did they do? They were able to work with us on our Industrial Advisory
Board for the CAT, they were able to get
involved in the cluster activities that are
going on and building in Buffalo every day.

And in fact they licensed a technology for an autoimmune disease called Sjogren's syndrome. Many of you who are familiar with her, tennis champion Venus Williams suffers that debilitating disease. That new test is going on the market after it having been discovered at the University of Buffalo and commercialized through the support of the Center for Advanced Technology and the Center of Excellence. Very powerful.

And what I would just say is, you know, take a look; the only Centers of

Excellence and CAT programs in the whole system that actually align in their technology focus areas are in Buffalo and in Albany. And look at the cluster activity that is developing there. It's quite remarkable.

In addition, only three of the CATs actually are in life sciences. And at this time when all the baby boomers are, let's say, maturing, clearly our needs in health and life sciences innovation are only accelerating.

terrific, in the thousands of jobs that have been created and retained. In number of start-ups, we continue to have five to 10 every single year, with \$130 million in research monies that have been brought as extramural funding beyond the state. As you just heard, the importance of that funding is to lead to new innovation that we can commercialize. We are the leader at University of Buffalo of all the units that are introducing START-UP NY. And that is

crucial.

And I look forward to lots of conversations back in the district, Robin, with you. It has been an incredibly powerful experience to actually introduce companies to all the programs we have. Even when START-UP NY may not be the right fit, suddenly they realize we're there with all kinds of resources. Outreach, every single year. And federal funding that we've brought back when others were not aware of how you get that in the innovation arena, to the tune of a million and a half dollars from the economic development administration.

Medicine Initiative. And finally, of course, there's been mention of the new Genomic Medicine

Initiative. It is only through our foundation and life sciences that has built up through the CAT and the Center of Excellence, and now the new Center of Excellence in Materials Informatics, that we actually had the basis for proposing, and very shortly to be delivering on, the Genomic Medicine Initiative.

We already have companies, by the way, ready to move in within two months, one from Germany, a new diagnostics firm. That's how powerful all these programs are when you align them with your Regional Council, when you align them with the Buffalo Billion Investment Development Plan, and when you gather all of those resources with your higher ed institutions, bringing them to the table.

Center of Excellence is doing the same thing.
We're fully aligned with our Regional Council advanced manufacturing focus, our new Center in Advanced Manufacturing, the institute that's being introduced by EWI, who is out of Columbus, Ohio. We actually have been working with them for over a year. Again, because of the great experience and expertise we have in Materials Science.

So your higher ed partners are absolutely critical. And I would just ask any of you, if you haven't been to Buffalo, you've got to come. The energy there is

palpable, and everyone will tell you that.

And as such, it is critical that we can help create that energy across every city and town not only across Western New York, but the entire state. How do we do that? Through programs like CAT and Centers of Excellence that really create the foundation.

So I do implore you -- you'll be hearing from my colleagues on Advocacy Day, February 25th and 26th, from the Centers of Excellence, but I do ask that you consider restoring the funding to the Centers of Excellence program, which has been proposed for a cut in the current budget. It is foundational, and it is something that is yielding returns that are certainly meeting your expectations.

\$1 million for Centers of Excellence. And
CATs as well, have been living on an
8 percent cut, so \$1 million for CATs. Going
forward, I realize restructuring is always
something we should be looking at, but I urge
you, this is minimal funding compared to

1	other states.
2	So we would like to see this
3	consolidation and alignment; however, with
4	maintaining significant dollars, so that we
5	really can do our jobs properly.
6	Final point, please engage us. We've
7	been running these programs for years, we
8	know how to do it efficiently, and our
9	customers are very happy. So please involve
10	us and the program directors at NYSTAR, who
11	have worked with us for years to optimize
12	every detail of these programs, which really
13	are giving the taxpayers tremendous value and
14	incredible economic impact.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
17	much. Appreciate it.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next
20	speaker is Andrew Reynolds, communications
21	organizer, Coalition For Economic Justice,
22	followed by Anita Halasz.
23	(Discussion off the record.)
24	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. Whenever

you're ready.

MR. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon.

I would like to thank the members of this committee for giving me the opportunity to comment today. My name is Andy Reynolds, and I'm the communications organizer for the Coalition for Economic Justice. We are a Buffalo-based nonprofit that unites workers, community members, religious leaders, students and other allies to advance social, racial and economic justice.

The Coalition for Economic Justice also co-anchors the Getting Our Money's Worth Coalition, which is a broad-based statewide coalition that advocates for good jobs, strong communities, and sustainable economic growth through comprehensive reform of New York's economic development system.

We believe that our government has a responsibility to use public money to make smart investments that revitalize our economy, increase economic opportunities for all New Yorkers, and benefit our community as a whole. The problem is that far too often

our state's economic development programs are investing public subsidies in private businesses that are simply failing to create good jobs or new economic growth.

The Getting Our Money's Worth

Coalition released a report last year which

analyzed data from dozens of economic

development programs, and found that New York

spends approximately \$7 billion annually in

the name of economic development.

In addition, the report found that few programs require recipients of subsidies to set performance goals, such as job creation; few require project-specific reporting or monitor the success of projects; and few allow for adequate public review or recourse when corporations fail to live up to their agreements. At a cost of \$7 billion a year, it is nearly impossible for the public or policymakers to determine if this substantial investment in economic development is working for New York.

New York's failing economic development system comes at a cost to local

revenue needed for infrastructure, schools, public transportation, and other public services we all depend on. In a moment when many municipalities are facing budget deficits, our state leaders have a chance to transform failing economic development programs into effective tools that grow our state and local economies and create broadly shared prosperity.

So it's time New Yorkers get our money's worth from economic development dollars by ensuring that corporate subsidies do create good jobs for local workers, that transparency measures are in place for the public and policymakers to effectively monitor the programs, and that companies that fail to live up to their agreements are held accountable.

New Yorkers need and deserve real solutions to fix New York's broken economic development system. And the Just and Open Business Subsidies Act is a solution that will prioritize performance, accountability

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and transparency to ensure that our economic development programs are making smart investments in companies that will create good, family-sustaining jobs and shared community benefits.

So we're here today to ask legislators to support the JOBS Act, to rein in the \$7 billion in annual economic development spending. The key reform measures included in the JOBS Act are listed below: Prioritize performance by requiring that proposed development projects set job creation, job quality, and local hiring goals before receiving public subsidies; show us the jobs by creating a public website where New Yorkers can track the performance of subsidized projects, and by including subsidy spending in state unified economic development budget; increase accountability by ensuring that all publicly subsidized projects engage community stakeholders; and establish a money-back guarantee that allows local or state government to recapture subsidies when projects do not meet their

1	promises.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
4	much.
5	SENATOR KRUEGER: Just a thank you.
6	I've been carrying bills like this for quite
7	a long time, and I'm hopeful that this new
8	bill might gain some traction.
9	MR. REYNOLDS: Great. Thank you. We
10	appreciate your support, and thanks.
11	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Have you always
12	lived in Buffalo?
13	MR. REYNOLDS: I'm originally from
14	Binghamton, New York.
15	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I lost a bet
16	with Senator Krueger.
17	MR. REYNOLDS: Okay.
18	(Laughter.)
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
20	much.
21	MR. REYNOLDS: You're welcome. Thank
22	you.
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next is
24	Anita Halasz, executive director, Long Island

Island. Workers doing the construction on this project were not only paid poverty wages, but they were also non-union and non-local labor.

This is just one example of many examples across New York State where local taxpayer money has been used to solely benefit corporate interests, and leave communities struggling.

Our state needs a budget that works for everyone, not just wealthy corporations. At a time when government services are being slashed and working people are struggling to make ends meet, New York's economic development system needs to prioritize smart projects that lift up local communities through the promise and delivery of good jobs.

The use of public monies for economic development projects should always produce a return for local communities that strengthen the infrastructure of our schools, jobs, and necessary public services. The Just and Open Business Subsidies Act, recently introduced

by Assemblyman Sean Ryan, would build an
economic development system of efficiency,
accountability, and public transparency in
order to ensure the optimal benefit of
approved projects across New York State.
Now is the time to renew our
commitment to New York's hardworking people
by supporting solutions that advance the
success and livelihood of our communities,
not just the pockets of wealthy corporations.
And we really do feel strongly that 2014 is
the year to do this, through the support and
passage of the JOBS Act.
So thank you very much for your time,
and I welcome any questions.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very much.  And the featured speaker that we've
CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very much.  And the featured speaker that we've been waiting for all day, the last speaker,
CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very much.  And the featured speaker that we've been waiting for all day, the last speaker,  Tomas Garduno, Political Director of ALIGN.

(Laughter.)

MR. GARDUNO: As was mentioned, my name is Tomas Garduno. I'm the political director of ALIGN, Alliance for a Greater New York, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today.

The previous two speakers, my colleagues Anita and Andy, spoke to the details of the importance of reforming our corporate tax subsidy programs here in New York, so I won't get into the details.

I will say that I think that we have an opportunity this year, particularly within the budget, to think about how we can do our job to ensure that taxpayers get our money's worth, particularly that we get a return on our investment. And so as you've heard from several other speakers, at this point there's so many different programs, and so many different ways in which people can access money, that we're just really not sure whether or not these programs are being efficient and effective with our taxpayer dollars.

And so we're here to ask that the commission actually think about including into the budget, particularly specific aspects of the JOBS Act, which is include transparency, accountability and efficiency standards, that would ensure that we, as taxpayers in the State of New York, are actually getting our money's worth with the investment that we're making in these companies.

As has been stated before, there are so many examples of just not knowing. And we believe that by creating these particular simple reforms that we would actually be able to gather that information and be able to choose who's doing well and who's not, with that information.

And like my previous colleagues
mentioned, the specific aspects include a
one-stop website that would ensure the public
would have assess to this information, and
that these programs would become more
accountable to the public as a whole.

You know, one statistic that I will

1.2

1	mention is that the research that we've done
2	has shown that 96 percent of New York
3	businesses actually do not access subsidy
4	programs. That means that essentially nearly
5	the entire business community in the State of
6	New York is subsidizing 4 percent of the
7	businesses in New York.
8	And we think that that's oftentimes
9	because these programs are so opaque and hard
10	to access, that many small businesses
11	actually don't access these programs. So we
12	encourage you all to strive for reforms,
13	through the budget, of our corporate tax
14	subsidy programs.
15	Thank you so much.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
17	Krueger.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. I
19	appreciate all your work and the report you
20	did a year ago, so documenting the
21	\$7 billion
22	MR. GARDUNO: That's correct.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: in tax giveaways.
24	I don't know, were you here earlier

1	when I was asking the commissioner of EDC how
2	easy it would be for me to go online and get
3	all this information?
4	MR. GARDUNO: Unfortunately, I was not
5	here.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So I'll ask
7	you, since your organization did this report.
8	The commissioner led me to believe I
9	could find all the information I wanted on
10	their website. How easy was it for you to
11	come up with the data for your report?
12	MR. GARDUNO: Yeah, so that has not
13	been our experience, Senator.
14	In fact, of the sort of particular
15	programs that do have certain reporting
16	requirements, particularly the IDA programs,
17	there's a fair amount of information. When
18	it comes to several other programs,
19	particularly the sort of different more local
20	programs, there's very little if any
21	information.
22	And so at this point, you know, we
23	just don't know, it's essentially like a big
24	block of Swiss cheese.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: And were you able to
2	find clawback data when you were doing your
3	research to see whether companies that failed
4	to meet their obligations were, in fact,
5	paying back?
6	MR. GARDUNO: So, again,
7	unfortunately, because of a lack of a
8	standardization across all programs, there's
9	actually no way to tell one way or the other.
10	There are certain local programs that
11	do require that data and have actually clawed
12	back some money. Again, because there's not
13	a statewide standardization of the programs,
14	there's really no way to know exactly what
15	that total amount is, particularly, you know,
16	when it comes to how much money was actually
17	returned to the taxpayers.
18	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very much.
19	MR. GARĐUNO: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
21	much.
22	That concludes the hearing this
23	afternoon. Same time, same place, tomorrow
24	morning, 9:30, Mental Health. And in the