BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND ASSEMBLY WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEES

JOINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING

In the Matter of the 2014-2015 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Hearing Room B Legislative Office Building Albany, New York

January 29, 2014 10:01 a.m.

PRESIDING:

Senator John A. DeFrancisco Chair, Senate Finance Committee

Assemblyman Herman D. Farrell, Jr. Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee

PRESENT:

Senator Liz Krueger Senate Finance Committee (RM)

Assemblyman Robert Oaks Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)

Senator Mark J. Grisanti Chair, Senate Committee on Environmental Conservation

Assemblyman Robert K. Sweeney Chair, Assembly Committee on Environmental Conservation

Senator Patricia A. Ritchie Chair, Senate Committee on Agriculture 2014-2015 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 1-29-14

PRESENT: (Continued)

Assemblyman William Magee Chair, Assembly Agriculture Committee

Senator Catharine M. Young

Assemblyman Carl E. Heastie

Senator Malcolm Smith

Assemblywoman Patricia Fahy

Assemblywoman Ellen C. Jaffee

Assemblywoman Barbara S. Lifton

Assemblyman Clifford Crouch

Assemblyman Michael J. Cusick

Senator Cecilia Tkaczyk

Assemblywoman Deborah J. Glick

Assemblyman Dan Stec

Senator Philip M. Boyle

Assemblywoman Aileen M. Gunther

Assemblyman Steve Englebright

Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo

Assemblywoman Vivian E. Cook

Assemblyman Joseph D. Morelle

Assemblyman Peter D. Lopez

Assemblyman Steven Otis

STATEMENT QUESTIONS

2014-2015 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 1-29-14

PRESENT: (Continued)

Assemblyman Thomas J. Abinanti

Assemblyman Raymond W. Walter

Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper

Assemblyman N. Nick Perry

LIST OF SPEAKERS

Joe Martens Commissioner NYS Department of Environmental Conservation	8	18
Rose Harvey Commissioner NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation	117	123
James B. Bays First Deputy Commissioner NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets	142	149
John B. Rhodes President & CEO NYSERDA	182	191
Wayne Bayer Vice President NYS Public Employees Federation-DEC	228	240

2014-2015 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 1-29-14

LIST OF SPEAKERS, Continued

	STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
Jeff Williams Director of Public Policy New York Farm Bureau	248	253
Judy Littrell Executive Director NY Association of Conservation Districts	264	275
Laura Ten Eyck Senior NY Project and Outreach Manager American Farmland Trust	277	285
Darren Suarez Director, Government Affairs Business Council of NYS	295	302
Eric Carlson President & CEO Empire State Forest Products Association	303	311
A. Charles Parker President NYS Conservation Council David Corr NYS Conservation Fund	315	328
Advisory Board NYS Fish & Wildlife Management Board	319	
Jessica Ottney Mahar Director, Government Relations The Nature Conservancy	329	
Sean Mahar Director, Government Relations Audubon New York	340	349

2014-2015 Executive Budget Environmental Conservation 1-29-14

LIST OF SPEAKERS, Continued

	STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
Adrienne Esposito Executive Director Citizens Campaign for the Environment	350	361
Laura Haight Sr. Environmental Associate NYPIRG	362	: -
Rocci Aguirre Director of Conservation Adirondack Council	373	;
Robin Dropkin Executive Director Parks & Trails NY	380	
Tracy Brown Water Quality Advocate Riverkeeper	388	
Katherine Nadeau Policy Director Environmental Advocates of New York	399	
Erik Kulleseid Executive Director Alliance for NYS Parks	408	
Andy Bicking Director, Public Policy Scenic Hudson	414	425
Roger Downs Conservation Director Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter	427	

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: It's time to begin the third in the series of budget hearings by the Senate Finance Committee and the Ways and Means Committee of the Assembly. These hearings are conducted pursuant to the State Constitution and Legislative Law, and they're relating to the Executive's budget.

Today's hearing will be limited to a discussion of the Governor's proposed budget concerning issues affecting the public interest in the areas of the environment, parks, agriculture and energy.

Following each presentation there
will be some time allowed for questions from
the chairs of the committees as well as other
legislators. And as Ways and Means Chair
Denny Farrell will explain, there's some time
limits that we deal with.

From the Senate side, we have the chair of the Environmental Conservation

Committee, Mark Grisanti. We have Senator

Patty Ritchie, we have Senator Malcolm Smith, and the ranking member, Senator Liz Krueger, with whom I have been spending a lot of

1.0

1.4

-	
1	quality time here lately.
2	Assemblyman Farrell.
3	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Oh, thank you.
4	We've been joined by Assemblyman Carl
5	Heastie, Assemblyman Steve Englebright,
6	Assemblyman Michael Cusick, Assemblyman
7	Robert Sweeney, Assemblywoman Earlene Hooper,
8	Assemblyman Bill Magee, Assemblywoman Glick,
9	Assemblywoman Jaffee and Assemblywoman
10	Lifton.
11	And Mr. Oaks is right here, and he
12	will tell you who's here for the Republicans.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman. We're joined by Mr. Crouch,
15	Mr. Walter, Mr. Lopez, and Mr. Stec.
16	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: As the Senator
17	said, we're going to talk about the time. I
18	heard last night I wasn't here you went
19	until 7 o'clock, which is ridiculous. Part
20	of it is because of the timing.
21	The chair people will be allowed to
22	do half an hour in their presentations.
23	That's to the audience. And then there is a
24	10-minute in between. Every person, we'd

1	like very much that we keep to that
2	10 minutes. That includes our colleagues on
3	the dais.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I think it was
5	seven. Let's not get carried away, Denny.
6	You weren't here till 7 o'clock.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: No, I was not.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: All right, the
10	first speaker is the New York State
11	Department of Environmental Conservation
12	Commissioner Joe Martens.
13	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you.
14	Good morning. Chairman DeFrancisco, Chairman
15	Farrell, Senator Grisanti, Assemblyman
16	Sweeney, members of the legislative fiscal
17	and environmental committees, thank you for
18	the opportunity to discuss Governor Cuomo's
19	Executive Budget as it pertains to the
20	Department of Environmental Conservation for
21	the state fiscal year 2014-2015.
22	Under the Governor's leadership, DEC
23	is working to make New York more
24	business-friendly, more resilient and

prepared for emergencies, more welcoming to outdoorsmen and -women, and even more protective of our extraordinary natural resources. We have made good progress, and I appreciate the support I have received from the Legislature and from many of you individually.

We have a list of accomplishments
ranging from the largest land conservation
and public access project in the state's
history -- the Finch Pruyn purchase in the
Adirondacks -- and two historical
constitutional amendments, to streamlined
permitting for key economic development
projects and innovative voluntary programs
like DEC's new environmental audit policy.

On climate, extreme weather is a source of significant concern, obviously, and DEC, working with NYSERDA and others, is aggressively pursuing policies to reduce emissions that cause climate change. Last year, working with our partner states, Governor Cuomo called for a lower cap on greenhouse gas emissions and inspired a

nine-state agreement to reduce the cap by

45 percent this year, increasing to more than
50 percent by 2020. We project that

New York's investment in the Regional

Greenhouse Gas Initiative auction proceeds

through 2020 will yield an estimated

\$5.8 billion benefit to New York's economy,

create nearly 3,000 new jobs, and reduce

consumers energy bills.

Outdoor sporting activities generate over \$9 billion in economic activity in New York. In 2013, the Governor launched New York Open for Fishing and Hunting, an initiative to improve recreational activities for sportsmen and sportswomen and to boost tourism opportunities throughout the state. The initiative reduced fees for most sporting licenses and simplified the number and types of licenses available.

In addition to the Adventure License, which the Governor announced in his State of the State, the Executive Budget builds on New York Open for Fishing and Hunting by proposing promotional license sale days, up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to eight free fishing days, and three- and five-year licenses at discounted prices as well as reducing the cost of seven-day fishing licenses and authorizing DEC to promulgate regulations allowing the use of crossbows for hunting. We expect this initiative to increase participation in fishing and hunting and make New York even more attractive as an outdoor sports destination.

Invasive species are a huge challenge negatively affecting both our economy and the environment. Working with the Department of Ag & Markets, we have proposed regulations that identify if it's a species that may significantly harm native flora and fauna and prohibit or regulate their sale. working on a statewide Aquatic Invasive Species Plan to further mitigate the impact of this serious problem, and recently proposed regulations that would require the removal of visible plants and animals from boats and trailers before launching them at And DEC's new prohibitions DEC facilities.

1.3

on selling or possessing Eurasian boar will curtail their destructive impacts. And I thank you again for passing legislation on that last year.

Morking with the Legislature, we have made significant investments through the NY Works program in critical environmental infrastructure projects. The coastal dam and flood control investments are mitigating the risks posed by the forces of nature while putting New Yorkers to work. Last year NY Works II allowed us to invest in improvements to recreational facilities, cleanup of municipal brownfields, and upgrades to wastewater treatment systems.

This year the Executive Budget
proposes \$40 million in NY Works III for the
Department of Environmental Conservation. We
plan to use these funds to invest \$6 million
for 50 new public access projects and
\$4 million for fish hatcheries, as the
Governor announced in his State of the State.
Funds will be used for repairs and
improvements to existing DEC facilities,

including campgrounds, education centers,
dams, and a shellfish lab on Long Island.
Funds will also be dedicated to plugging
orphaned oil and gas wells, replacing air
monitoring equipment, and investing in IT for
the next phase of DEC's eBusiness strategy.

It's been over a year since
Superstorm Sandy, but we continue to work on recovery and rebuilding in keeping with the recommendations of the 2100 Commission. In partnership with the Army Corps, DEC is working on large-scale efforts to repair and rebuild coastal protection projects.

DEC will continue to be thoroughly involved in all aspects of these projects, which literally go from one end of the coast to the other, from environmental reviews to design and construction, and will seek to expedite these projects on every front.

Another DEC accomplishment is our focus on efficient permitting by issuing timely permits for economic development projects, especially priorities of the Regional Economic Development Councils.

We've succeeded in expediting project reviews and permitting all across New York. We also formed a special team in New York City to focus on dredging to allow important harbordeepening projects to proceed, fostering the success of the largest port on the East Coast while ensuring that contaminated sediment is safely managed.

Over the past 20 years the EPF has provided more than \$2.7 billion for a variety of key programs. Much of that total has flowed to New York cities and towns via grants for recycling, landfill closure, municipal parks, or water quality projects.

As you know, the EPF supports the acquisition and stewardship of state lands and important agricultural programs.

The Executive Budget for 2014-2015 increases the EPF to \$157 million. The EPF categories are generally unchanged, with the notable addition of a subcategory under Water Quality Improvement Projects to dedicate \$2 million to research, assess and address the issues of elevated levels of nitrogen in

the Long Island groundwater. These funds will be matched by our partner Suffolk County. DEC will work closely with SUNY Stony Brook and towns like Southampton that are eager to clean up Long Island's groundwater resources.

Since it was adopted in 2003, the
Brownfield Cleanup Program has resulted in
the successful cleanup of more than 150 sites
by offering liability relief and refundable
tax credits. The Executive Budget extends
the program for 10 years while instituting
necessary reforms, targeting redevelopment
credits to priority economic development
projects and sites that need incentives to
get cleanups underway, and spur
redevelopment.

This proposal also includes a streamlined program for lightly contaminated sites where the developer waives the rights to the tax credits. This voluntary program will ensure that cleanups are completed to state standards and subject to DEC oversight while providing critical liability relief to

1.8

1.4

enable sites to obtain financing and save the state millions of dollars in tax credits in the process.

The budget also includes \$100 million for the State Superfund to ensure that site investigations and construction projects can continue to move forward to address the state's most contaminated sites. This includes a \$10 million carve-out for the environmental restoration program, which is a very popular program to clean up municipally owned sites.

Recently several catastrophic train derailments involving crude oil have heightened awareness and concern about the transportation of crude oil across the country. In response, Governor Cuomo issued an Executive Order last night, which I've attached to my testimony, directing DEC and our sister agencies to petition our federal partners regarding the shipment of petroleum products to ensure New Yorkers are safe and the state's irreplaceable natural resources are protected.

The order also directs the agencies to evaluate the state's spill prevention, response and inspection programs, governing the rail, ship and barge transportation of crude oil and other petroleum products. We will report our recommendations to the Governor on or about April 30th for program improvements and enhanced coordination between state, federal and local governments.

Order, the commissioners of Transportation,
Health, Homeland Security and I sent a letter
calling on the federal government to expedite
adoption of enhanced requirements governing
the transport of crude oil by rail and water,
to reduce the potential for spills and
accidents and to predeploy appropriate spill
response equipment and resources to protect
New York State's communities, residents, land
and waterways.

By reforming the Brownfield Cleanup
Program, increasing EPF funding, investing in
environmental infrastructure, and maintaining
staff and funding for DEC, the Executive

1 Budget demonstrates Governor Cuomo's 2 continued commitment to the environment. 3 Thank you for inviting me to testify. 4 I look forward to working with you each and 5 every one of you so that we can continue our 6 forward progress. 7 Thanks again for your support, and 8 I'd happy to answer any questions you may 9 have. 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. 12 And with respect to the legislators' 13 questions, no one is limited to seven minutes 14 except in the first round. If you've got more questions, we want to give everyone else 15 16 the opportunity to at least get one round in, and then you can be asking more questions 17 1.8 later on. 19 The first questioner will be the chairman of the Senate Environmental 20 21 Conservation Committee, Mark Grisanti. 22 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Before that, I'd 23 like to mention that we have been joined by

24

Assemblywoman Fahy and Assemblywoman Lupardo.

1 Thank you. Senator? 2 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay, thank you. 3 Thank you, Senator DeFrancisco. Commissioner, thank you for being 5 here today and thanks to your staff for all the hard work that you do. 6 7 I have a number of questions. Really 8 right off the bat, for those that don't have 9 the opportunity to actually see what's in the 10 budget or haven't had the opportunity to look 11 to see what some of the numbers are, and to 12 be clear, again, there are no appropriations 13 authorizing language or anything to fund 14 anything to do with staffing or oversight of 15 any high-volume hydraulic fracking in this 16 state. Is that correct? 17 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: That's 18 correct. 19 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. And turning 20 to a different area, there was a 1996 21 Environmental Bond Act, and there was a 22 change in payments. How much less is the 23 DEC's debt with regards to the payment of 24 that 1996 Environmental Bond Act?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm sorry, I 1 2 didn't guite catch the question. How much less is 3 SENATOR GRISANTI: the annual debt payment that the DEC has to 4 pay for the Environmental Bond Act? 5 6 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Can I --7 before I answer the question, I neglected to introduce the people at the table with me 8 If you wouldn't mind. 9 from DEC. SENATOR GRISANTI: No, go ahead. 10 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: To my right, 11 Marc Gerstman, my executive deputy. 12 Reynolds, who is my deputy commissioner for 13 administration and finance. And I think you 14 15 all know Julie Tighe, legislative director for DEC. 1.6 Anne just slipped me a note saying 17 that it's about a \$12 million decrease in 1.8 payments. Which I don't believe, but I 19 will -- the staff is here to correct me when 20 21 I misstate something, but I don't think that 22 comes right out of the DEC budget. I think that the decline in payments for the debt 23

service on the bond is \$12 million this year,

and it continues to decline, but it doesn't 1 come out of DEC's budget. I think that is 2 paid out of another appropriation in the 3 Executive Budget. SENATOR GRISANTI: But I think you'll 5 agree that the \$12 million, that it goes for 6 that debt payment. And if it started to be 7 lowered and it's being saved, it's not being 8 reinvested then into DEC, it's going back 9 into the General Fund. Is that correct? 10 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 11 correct. I believe that's always been the 12 13 case. And it SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. 1.4 would appear that -- to me, anyways, we know 15 that the state has the obligation of funding 16 the EPF and paying debt services on that 17 bond. And now that the payments on the bond 18 have dropped substantially, I'd like to --19 and I don't know what your opinion is on 20 it -- but put it back into the EPF rather 21 than diverting it to nonenvironmental 22

I can understand the \$12 million, you

purposes such as tax relief programs.

23

know, if we were in a time of fiscal crisis -- but when you have a budget surplus, that \$12 million could actually go back into the EPF or back to the DEC for other things that we're going to talk about in the future, and that's something that I'm going to try to push to have that done in changes to the budget.

You also have an issue that that impact that the federal sequestration is having on your agency's budget and programming in the coming year, if you know. And are these impacts realized in that budget?

anticipate what the impact of sequestration will be in the current year, because we basically front the money for the federal government and we repay them, we square up, if you will, either late in the fiscal year, late in our fiscal year -- so we don't know the precise impact.

In the current year we know that there will be some reduced federal funds

available to the department, and we've been working very closely with the Division of Budget to make sure that there's no impact to DEC in the current year. And for future years it's just impossible to say right now, until the federal government acts in September and does appropriation bills, to determine what those impacts will be.

SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. It's something, though, that your agency, you're aware of, and it's something coming down the pike to see whether it's going to be positive or negative.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We watch it very carefully. And obviously we've been getting assistance from the Division of Budget where we anticipate problems. But we don't expect it to be large numbers in the current fiscal year.

SENATOR GRISANTI: I appreciate that.

On another note, and I'm going to ask
this to Commissioner Rose Harvey when she's
on after you, but it seems that -- and it

happens sometimes with the DEC as well --

there's an issue that exists with regards to late or slow in paying bills on any contracts or large contracts. And it's stressful not only for the not-for-profit agencies partnering with the state through programs.

What do you know of is the average time or wait time for payments on certain things that are requested for in the EPF program and until that organization actually gets paid? Because they may rely on that money that comes out of the EPF, let's say, in one budget year, and sometimes it's taken a lot longer to get out. Are you working on streamlining that process so they get paid more quickly?

generally in New York, and I'm sure I'm not
the only agency that has contractor problems,
is a time-consuming process. We do have an
internal work group that's chaired by Anne
that is looking at our contracting procedures
to see how we can process contracts more
quickly. I don't think we're certainly any
better or worse than other state agencies in

1.4

that regard.

I don't know, Chairman, whether
there's specific issues with not-for-profits
where we've had trouble getting payments out
the door. We try to do it very promptly.
There is always the late-payment provision
that's in law that I don't believe we've been
penalized for in the past. And I think -- as
far as I know, Anne tells me we are
up-to-date on all of our payments under the
EPF. So I think we're doing pretty well.
But we'd always like to be able to execute
contracts more quickly, with not-for-profits
or anyone, for that matter.

SENATOR GRISANTI: And that was going to be a follow-up question. So the fiscal year of last year, you're up-to-date on pretty much what's been happening last year on those payments?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Yes. And if you hear of specific problems, obviously I'd like to hear about them. Because I have not heard specific problems about payments coming out of the EPF.

1 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. Now, can 2 you tell me what is the total operating 3 capital and staffing cuts, if any, to the 4 agency this year? 5 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: There were no 6 staffing cuts, no operating cuts. I believe, 7 you know, the budget narrative talks about a \$47 million reduction that was due to ARRA 8 funds that came to the State of New York. 10 Some '96 Bond Act money, obviously, that is 11 not a recurring expense, and that was capital 12 But there has been no cut to DEC on money. 13 the state operating side. 14 SENATOR GRISANTI: All right. 15 the DEC, and you touched on this, it could lose federal funding due to sequestration. 16 Is that loss a loss that's in addition to the 17 18 43 million that -- and maybe you could 19 explain this -- that the Governor is pulling 20 out of the agency? 21 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: The Governor 22 is not -- hasn't pulled anything out of the 23 agency by way of operating funds. Again, that \$43 million number that 24

has popped up and I think has appeared in the press several times is ARRA funds that came to the state. They were pass-through funds went mostly for waste water treatment projects around the state. We spent that money very efficiently and effectively and assisted a lot of municipalities in the process. So those were not operating funds but -- it looks like a \$43 million cut in the budget, but it's just funds that passed through DEC and were not recurring.

On the state operations side, there
were -- I believe the budget may appear to
have a reduction because some of our staff
was transferred to centralized IT services.

IT services statewide have been consolidated.

IT staff has been moved into ITS. So it
looks like a cut to the agency, again, but
those people have just been centralized and
they're still doing and working on projects
with DEC and Parks and other agencies.

SENATOR GRISANTI: And I'm glad you clarified that, because the reports out there is that the DEC took a \$43 million cut. And,

1	you know, looking at it, that's wrong, it's
2	not a cut
3	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: That's
4	incorrect. We actually got a one-person bump
5	in our full target, so it's basically a flat
6	budget staffwise.
7	SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. I have
8	other follow-up questions, but due to
9	constraints of time I'll pass the microphone
10	off to the next set of questions and come
11	back in the second round.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've
13	been joined by Assemblywoman Vivian Cook,
14	Assemblyman Steve Otis and Assemblywoman
15	Gunther.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: As well
17	Senator Phil Boyle.
18	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: First to question
19	from the Assembly is Assemblyman Sweeney.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman. Welcome, Commissioner. Thank
22	you for your testimony.
23	A few questions. Let's start with
24	one of the old favorites, so let me ask you

if the proposed budget includes any funding 1 relating to the authorization of 2 hydrofracking in New York State. Increased 3 staffing levels, permit revenue, anything? 4 None COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 5 whatsoever. 6 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: So does the 7 absence of either revenue or appropriations 8 mean that the department does not plan to 9 finalize the Supplemental Generic 10 Environmental Impact Statement or issue 11 permits during the fiscal year? 12 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We have 13 absolutely no plans to do so. 14 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Could you send 1.5 us details -- I know you wouldn't have them 16 with you now -- about the Conservation Fund, 17 the projected funding levels, how much money 18 you think will be spent from the Conservation 19 Fund, what projects will receive funding? 20 That kind of general information, could 21 you --22 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: For the 23 Conservation Fund? 24

1	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: For the
2	Conservation Fund.
3	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Yes, we'd be
4	happy to.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you. And
6	as you certainly know, in previous years the
7	federal Fish and Wildlife Service has raised
8.	some questions about language in the budget.
9	Does this budget satisfy their concerns?
10	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Yes, it does.
11	Specific language is included in the budget
12	to address the sweep issue.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. You
14	talked in general terms about where some of
15	the NY Works will be spent. Can you provide
16	us a more specific list of projects that will
17	be funded?
18	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We can provide
19	you with a list of the type of projects.
20	Some of them are generic for example,
21	Assemblyman, there is funds in the NY Works
22	III appropriation for a new laboratory on
23	Long Island, a shellfish lab, which is our
24	lab is a workhorse, as you know. It is out

of date, and we are desperate to refurbish
and have a new lab. So there's funds in

NY Works for that.

There's funds for the access projects
that the Governor talked about, 50 access

that the Governor talked about, 50 access projects across the state, for state fish hatcheries, another slug of money for IT upgrades at DEC, which is a very important initiative to me. There was funds last year; it is a long-term process to update the department's IT systems.

There is, as I mentioned in my

testimony, funds for plugging abandoned oil

and gas wells. We have lots of legacy wells

throughout New York State that you were

helpful in providing funds last year for.

We're going to continue that process and

hopefully plug a lot more wells this year.

But we can get you a complete list.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you.

Let me ask you, in 2008 DEC did a study and issued a report that estimated that the cost of updating New York State's wastewater infrastructure would be more than

1.1

\$36 billion over a period of 20 years. Which obviously presents, as you well know -- we've talked about this before -- a tremendous financial burden to municipalities who without some sort of assistance just can't afford to do what needs to be done.

Could you tell me what there might be in this budget, other than through EFC's revolving loan fund, what there might be in the Executive Budget that helps to address this need?

mentioned EFC, so I have to restate that EFC does provide literally hundreds of millions of dollars in loans, either low- or no-interest loans to municipalities across the state. It is probably the flagship revolving loan program in the country for financing wastewater projects, and it functions very, very well under Matt Driscoll's leadership.

The EPF also includes a Water Quality

Improvement Program line which has been

grants to municipalities. In the current

year we made a round of grants available for resiliency projects at wastewater treatment plants.

The other big item is obviously Sandy funds. If there was any silver lining to a very terrible and tragic storm, it was that New York secured billions of dollars of federal money. A large piece of that will go to wastewater treatment plants, several -- like Bergen Point and Bay Park on Long Island -- that really need to be completely overhauled. But wastewater treatment plants in affected areas, particularly in Binghamton, will have federal funds made available to them for very badly needed upgrades.

But as you point out, wastewater infrastructure, water infrastructure, the bill is very large. We work very closely with municipalities. When they're experiencing trouble, we provide them technical assistance, as does EFC. And we work very closely with them on things like consent orders to bring them into compliance.

But it is -- you know, it's a national issue,

and --

1.8

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Consent orders are part of the reason why some of the municipalities need the money to fix the infrastructure. You know, which is entirely appropriate.

But I think the point here is the feds once upon a time used to fund, not through loans but grants, 80 percent or more of wastewater infrastructure projects. If they provide 5 percent now, that would be a lot. We don't have a program that replaces that money.

And EFC does a great job, I appreciate everything they do, but a low-interest or even a no-interest loan isn't the same thing as a grant.

And a small municipality -- I mean, I did a hearing in Buffalo, we had several small municipalities. Some of the sewer systems there are a hundred years old or older. Some of them are still made out of wood. You know, they don't have the

population base, or the revenue sources to substitute, to pay for that kind of infrastructure work. And with a need of over \$36 billion, there isn't anything that I see through the regular budget process that New York State can do to be of significant assistance to them other than loaning them money which they couldn't afford to pay us back anyway.

I'm getting at here is we need something more than what exists now through the regular budget process. And again, as much as I appreciate -- EFC does a wonderful job; I appreciate what they do. It's very important. But it's not a replacement for the federal funding. And it doesn't provide the kind of assistance that these municipalities need.

And that doesn't even include the drinking water infrastructure, which you also did a study -- or somebody did a study on, maybe it was the Comptroller -- indicating about the same amount of need. I mean,

1.2

between the two of them there's between \$75 billion and \$80 billion of need over the next 20 years and no source of money to pay for it.

What we do through the state budgetary process and the EPF and everything else is just -- it's not that it's unimportant, but it's nickels and dimes compared to what the need is.

I'll just reiterate the point that through the budget process, except for the normal stuff that's out there that we usually do that is not a replacement for the federal funding, there isn't anything new in this budget that's going to significantly step up and provide the kind of funds that municipalities need and are looking for.

Would that be a reasonable conclusion from looking at this budget?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It is a status quo budget, if you will. But this is a national problem. It's not unique to New York State. When the federal government

abandoned the grants program, they
basically -- it was substituted with the
state revolving funds. And I think New York
has done a remarkable job in, one, drawing
maximum amount of federal dollars. We get
more than any other state in the country for
our state revolving fund. And you're right,
grants would be terrific if they were
available. But they're very expensive, and
low- and no-cost financing is the replacement
program for the grants program nationally.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Well, how have we paid for that kind of work in the past?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: What kind of

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Well, providing funding for things like drinking water or wastewater infrastructure.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Again, it was a grants program up until it was replaced years ago by the state revolving funds. And municipalities are basically paying for it, and they're paying for it by assessing sewer and water charges on the users of the

work?

systems.

1.4

And I realize that there's a lot of communities that are struggling to keep up with their obligations to keep these plants in good repair, to keep up with increasing water-quality standards. But I think we do do a very admirable job in New York of providing assistance to them, both technical and financial.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Not a criticism of what you do. I think there has been a pattern in the past, following up, actually, on Senator Grisanti's question, of putting before the voters a bond act that might help to assist with things like that, as well as other environmental programs. Has that been a more traditional way that New York State has helped to provide that kind of assistance?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We've had a series of bond acts that have been helpful to provide assistance to communities in the past.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you. I

know my time is up. But I'll come back later if I could, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator Ritchie, please.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Commissioner, I'd like to start just by saying I appreciate the call yesterday to talk about the hunting and fishing cards, and I look forward to the time that we can actually get them going and be able to have those available.

As I'm sure you're aware, New York
has, especially in the three counties that I
represent, an ATV trail system. And you
spoke earlier about outdoor recreational
activities that are available in New York.
The problem that I have, not just in the
counties that I represent but in a number of
counties, especially in the North Country, is
that UTVs, which are side-by-sides, are not
allowed on the trails.

Many times senior citizens and maybe those with disabilities are able to go out and enjoy outdoor activities because of the UTVs. And in New York we're not allowed to

register them, and that creates a problem.

The ATV trails generate an enormous amount of economic activity in this state, especially in areas that may have less to offer in other ways for activities. And the UTV issue is I think compounded by the fact that if you're an out-of-state resident, you're able to register your UTV in that state, come back to New York and then drive on the trails. But people who live here who are riding on the trails are not allowed to do that.

I understand in other parts of the state there may be some issues with it. I'm just looking for some way to allow, in designated areas, UTVs that are safer, many times, to be used on the designated trails. Can you see of any way that there could be some kind of compromise in the state to allow side-by-sides on authorized trails so

New York residents who live here, who pay taxes here are allowed to use those vehicles on their trails when those from other states can and we can't?

1.4

Can you see any kind of compromise 1 and try to open the way to allow that to 2 happen, at least in, say, the North Country? 3 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I can tell you, Senator, that we'd be happy to work with 5 you on it. I know it's a complicated issue. 6 There's issues with registration under the 7 Vehicle and Traffic Law that I believe you've 8 tried to change for the registration of 9 certain ATVs. That would require a change in 10 law. 11 But I'd be happy to work with you on 12 I know it's an issue and it's more this. 13 important in certain parts of the state than 14 15 others. And then the other SENATOR RITCHIE: 16 issue, just as an aside, last year we had a 17 tire recycling event in Oswego. We have a 18 health issue there with EEE, which is a 19 mosquito-borne disease, and two years ago we 20 had I think a 5-year-old little girl who died 21 because of it. So we've been trying to find 22 ways to help with the mosquito issue. 23

24

And we tried to host a tire recycling

event, and when we contacted DEC there is some money available for, apparently, bigger tire events, and we weren't able to reach that threshold. So when we held our first one in Oswego, we had to limit the tires to four tires per person because we didn't really know what the response would be. And just in a couple of hours, with not that much publication, we collected over 2,000 tires. Which is an environmental issue but also a health issue.

So I would just ask, when you go
back, if you could maybe look at a way that
some places that may have a certain issue,
especially a health issue, your department
might be able to authorize some kind of
funding through the EPF to help with those
tire events instead of just having the money
available for just a huge redemption event
that for many of us we could never reach that
threshold.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'd be happy to look at it. I know that Anne is writing down notes as we speak, so we will follow up

with you. 1 SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay, thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Next, Assemblyman 3 Lopez. Thank you, ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: 5 Chairman. 6 Commissioner, thank you for joining 7 And I do want to thank you and your 8 team, I've found them very responsive on many 9 fronts, the regional offices up to the 10 commissioner's office, and particularly for 11 your continued efforts in helping us rebuild 12 and recover from natural disasters. 13 I do have a quick question in regard 14 to the EPF. And based on information I have 15 available, it's my understanding that --16 there are two categories I wanted to ask you 17 about. One, the natural resources damage by 18 category, and then, secondly, apparently a 19 new category, Resiliency Planting Program. 20 And if I may, just in regard to the 21 natural resource damage, I see a proposed 22 increase from the Executive of about a 23

24

million dollars. And my first question is,

is this intended to be a long-term commitment 1 2 or is this just a one-time bump in that 3 program? I hope it's a COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 4 long-term commitment. That partly depends on 5 all of you. 6 7 The natural resource damage appropriation is important to the department 8 because I am the custodian of the natural 9 10

resources in the state by law. And in several cases, like on the GE and the Upper Hudson, we are pursuing natural resource damage claims. And this happens in a number of instances around the state. We need the resources available to substantiate those claims and negotiate agreements. So

ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: Secondly, in regard to the Resiliency Planting Program, apparently a new category, is that intended to mirror riparian buffer programs, like conservation preserve under USDA?

it's a very important appropriation for us,

and I appreciate your support.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: That's exactly

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

right. It's for planting along tributaries 1 that have been impacted by storms, primarily. 2 Thank you, ASSEMBLYMAN LOPEZ: 3 Commissioner. 4 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You're 5 welcome. 6 Senator CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 7 Tkaczyk. 8 Thank you, SENATOR TKACZYK: 9 Commissioner, for being here. Thank you for 10 your patience. 11 I have a couple of questions, but 12 first of all I wanted to thank your 13 leadership and the department for taking an 14 active and proactive role in looking at our 15 wastewater treatment plant here in Albany and 16 making sure that we're doing all we can to 17 prevent pollution from going into the Hudson 18 As I have a district that is 19 downriver from that plant, I was really 20 thrilled to see your leadership there. 21 I wanted to ask you about water. And 22 one of the issues that I'm concerned with 23 with regard to my bill that I put in place,

it was a ban on accepting waste from other states that might be engaged in fracking, the fracking process. My concern was that it was my understanding that there was water that was the result of the fracking process coming into the state or being disposed of, or the waste product from the fracking process was coming into New York and being disposed of in New York State landfills.

opportunity to talk about if that, in your opinion, is occurring, how does the agency monitor that? How do you measure what might be in those tanks that are coming into

New York? What do the local landfills have in place to protect our residents from, you know, unknown chemicals or things that we frankly don't want going into our water? So if you could respond to that, I'd appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Sure. The only waste coming into New York from out of state having to do with drilling is the drilling waste. It's not produced water or

1.2

wastewater per se, it is drilling waste,
which is primarily the rock and the
subsurface material that is ground up when a
well drill does its thing.

SENATOR TKACZYK: So it's not liquid form is what you're saying, it's solid?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It could be in liquid form because water can be used in the process. And depending on what is used, whether it's water -- if it's water or air, it's mostly rock and water. And that can go, that can actually be buried or on-site. But if they use oils or other petroleum products, then it has to go to an MSW landfill, a 360 permitted landfill, if it's permitted in New York.

The primary concern with that type of waste is radiation, because drilling does occur in formations where radiation occurs. So all of the landfills that the waste -- the four landfills in particular that that waste is accepted at in New York all have radiation detectors. And we have a very, very explicit protocol that they have to do when they bring

,	
1	those loads of waste to the landfill. And
2	the instrumentation has to be calibrated, the
3	levels are specified. Just above background,
4	they are triggered and go off. And I
5	understand at least one load that's come into
6	New York did trigger a detection device, and
7	the waste was rejected.
8	So we carefully check those. All four
9	landfills have radiation detection equipment
10	in operation.
11	, SENATOR TKACZYK: How do you monitor
12	whether or not they're complying with
13	regulations? So the regulations stipulate
14	that they have to test?
15	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Their permits
16	do.
17	SENATOR TKACZYK: Is it mandatory or
18	voluntary?
19	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It is
20	mandatory. It is in their permits. They've
21	accepted this as a condition of their permit
22	to operate. So it's included in their
23	permits. We do inspections of these
24	facilities. So I believe we have a very good

handle on this. 1 SENATOR TKACZYK: How often do you 2 inspect? And do we know how much is coming 3 into the state from, say, Pennsylvania or 4 wherever it's coming from? 5 I'm sure we COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 6 can provide you with those numbers, but I 7 . don't know them off the top of my head. 8 SENATOR TKACZYK: Okay, I would 9 appreciate that. 10 I'm also concerned about, because I'm 11 concerned about the water and making sure 12 we're not polluting our water, that we allow 13 the brine from the fracking process to come 14 into New York State and be spread on our 15 That's my interpretation that that's roads. 16 already occurring; is that correct? Is that 17 allowed? 18 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Not from 1.9 high-volume hydraulically fracked wells. 20 From convention wells, we do allow brine to 21 be used, but only after we do a beneficial-22 use determination where the brine is actually 23

tested for constituents. We do issue

1	beneficial-use determinations for the use of
2	brine when it is just a very salty product.
3	But we carefully look at it before we issue
4	those determinations, known as BUDs.
5	SENATOR TKACZYK: So the brine is
6	coming from the hydraulic fracturing wells in
7	the state or outside of the state?
8	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: There aren't
9	any wells that hydraulically well, there's
10	no high-volume hydraulically fracked wells
11	occurring in New York. So it's not coming
12	from those, because they don't happen in
13	New York.
14	SENATOR TKACZYK: Right. But it is
15	coming from the more traditional wells.
16	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Conventional
17	wells.
18	SENATOR TKACZYK: And is it coming
19	from out of state where they are doing
20	hydraulic fracturing, high volume?
21	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm not aware
22	that it's coming from out of state. I know
23	that in-state production wells, brine, people
24	do apply to DEC for beneficial-use

determinations. 1 SENATOR TKACZYK: Okay. And if that 2 were to occur, that they would come in from 3 out of state, would you be notified? 4 I think we COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 5 would -- yes, we would know where it's coming 6 from, yes. 7 SENATOR TKACZYK: Okay. Is there a 8 concern -- and I know we have not made the 9 decision yet in New York State to proceed 10 with high-volume hydraulic fracturing. 11 there a concern about water usage? I know in 12 Pennsylvania they use an enormous amount of 13 water in the process. And is there any water 14 being used or shipped from New York State for 15 that process occurring now? 16 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm not aware 17 of any water being shipped to Pennsylvania. 18 SENATOR TKACZYK: Is that a concern? 19 It's just the amount of fresh water that it 20 takes to frack one well can be 3.5 million 21 gallons a day. Just a concern about -- is 22 there any concern that water is being taken 23

from New York State into Pennsylvania?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We have a new 1 2 water withdrawal law in New York. anyone is -- what's the threshold, Marc? 3 hundred thousand? If they have the capacity 4 to extract more than 100,000 gallons a day, 5 then it triggers the need for a water 6 7 withdrawal permit from us. So we would know if someone is going 8 to withdraw those amounts and ship them to --9 10 and we would know the use and the destination. Thanks again to a relatively 1.1 new law on the books. 12 13 SENATOR TKACZYK: Great, thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You're welcome. 15 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman 16 17 Crouch. ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: 18 Thank you, Commissioner, for your testimony. 19 A couple of questions. The Governor 20 21 has proposed a state-of-the-art weather detection system. And one of the things that 22 I've been concerned about is loss of funding 23

24

for stream gauges in my district. What the

Governor is proposing, could that funding be used to make sure that these stream gauges are fully active?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: The weather detection system does not provide funds specifically for stream gauges. We do have funds as part of the NY-Works III program that I mentioned to support the stream gauge program in New York.

We are fairly selective because DEC operates 106 flood-control devices. Certain weather gauges are very important to us for the operation of those systems. Then, you know, there has been decreasing federal support for stream gauges, and that's a concern of ours as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Also, just as a comment, I do agree with the Governor's proposal to reinstate the crossbow hunting.

I have been approached by a number of my constituents that have spent \$1500 in getting equipped when it was legal, and now they can't use their equipment for crossbow.

Along with some handicapped

individuals that the adaptation devices for regular compound bows just don't seem to work for them. Especially if they're paralyzed from the waist down, being able to control themselves while they're drawing a bow just doesn't work. So they've been a strong advocate of renewing the crossbow licenses.

So I just want to put in a plug for that, that I think it's a good thing.

And one of the questions I typically ask every year is dealing with foresters, marking trees for market on state lands. I know you've done some improvements in the last couple of years, and I just want to make sure we're still on track. Especially with the invasive pests destroying some of our forests, I think it's imperative that we stay on top of it, mark the trees, get them to market before they're destroyed, just as a general practice of good forest management.

And can you comment on where we are with foresters? Have we been able to increase over the last year or increase the marketing of our timbers?

We have, but COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 1 not as quickly as I would like. 2 something that I have a lot of discussions 3 with my forestry staff about, about ways --4 and mostly it's staffing constraints. But 5 it's a very active program. We do get a 6 significant amount of revenue off the state 7 But people have to go out and mark 8 the trees and supervise the operations. 9 But I agree with you that it is an 10 important part of the state's responsibility 11 for managing its forests, and I'm going to 12 try to increase our activity in the woods. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Can you comment 14 on whether the revenues from marketing of our 15 timber on our state forests have -- how it's 16 on the increase over the last few years? 17 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I can get you 18 the numbers; I don't know them off the top of 19 my head. But we have been increasing slowly 20 over the last several years. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: Very good. 22 Thank you, Commissioner. 23

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO:

24

Senator Phil

1 Boyle. 2 SENATOR BOYLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you, Commissioner, 3 4 for your testimony. 5 Just a quick comment and then a 6 question. We've spoken before; I want to 7 make another request for the four-poster 8 technology. I represent part of Fire Island. 9 It does a wonderful job for stopping deer 10 ticks or killing deer ticks and helping with 11 the Lyme disease situation on Fire Island and 12 throughout New York State. My question, however, regards 13 14 Hurricane Sandy and the devastation that was 15 brought to the southern part of New York. 16 Tidal wetlands. Have you done anything on the mapping of tidal wetlands at DEC? And if 17 18 you have, what kind of time frame are you 19 looking at to release them, or have they been 20 released? Or any mapping at all for the 21 tidal wetlands? 22 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I confess I'm I know that a 23 I will look into it. stumped.

lot of mapping has been initiated in the

1	aftermath of Sandy, but specifically where
2	and how much on Long Island I'm going to have
3	to get back to you on.
4	SENATOR BOYLE: That would be great.
5	No problem. Thank you very much.
6	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Otis.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Hello,
9	Commissioner. Thank you for being here.
10	Thank you for your good work.
11	I want to go in a little bit more
12	detail into an issue that Assemblyman Sweeney
13	touched on, which is the needs for
14	municipalities to comply with EPA Phase 2 as
15	MS4s in terms of stormwater cleaning. This
16	is in a sense a federal and state mandate
17	that hasn't really been fully implemented or
18	imposed on municipalities, so it represents a
19	cost that is going to continue to grow.
20	Has DEC done, specifically related to
21	stormwater, any kind of statewide estimate on
22	what the cost burden is going to be for
23	municipalities?
24	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm not aware

of any statewide cost estimate.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Have you done any kind of general estimating? I guess you hear from municipalities who are applying for grants or loans. But anything beyond that, to try and give us the scope of the problem?

you anything specific. I think the hope on MS4 is that with the increase in acceptance of green infrastructure projects, that the cost is going to come down, that the long-term costs can be reduced by successful green infrastructure programs. In places like New York City, Onondaga County, they've been fairly aggressive and have been very successful. So the more you can reduce runoff into the system, hopefully the cost is going to go down over time. But I can't give you specifics.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: The area that I represent in Westchester County, certainly it's a big Long Island Sound issue because those municipalities flow into the sound.

And it is one of the contributors, along with

the sewage treatment plants, to nitrogen loading in the sand.

I can just tell you, from talking to municipal officials, it is a cost burden that they're having a lot of trouble figuring out how to fit into municipal budgets with the limitations they have on what they can spend, and yet this is something that we want them to do. So I would underscore the need for DEC to be more aggressive about trying to figure out a game plan beyond EFC loans to try and get a handle on this and help them, because they need partners.

And when you add to that the fact that a lot of these same municipalities all around the state have a new infrastructure cost related to flood mitigation projects, which they also don't know how they're going to have to pay for, the state really needs to play a bigger role through EPF or other kinds of funding streams. Some of the Sandy money will help in terms of the flood mitigation stuff, but this is a problem for the communities that I represent and I'm sure

1	communities all over the state. So thank
2	you.
3	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
5	Krueger.
6	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good morning,
7	Commissioner.
8	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Good morning,
9	Senator.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: The budget
11	Article 7 bill has a significant reform for
12	the brownfields tax credit program. I find
13	myself very much in general support of the
14	proposal. Can you tell me how much the State
15	of New York has now already spent on tax
16	credits in the current program?
17	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I think I read
18	in the paper this morning that it's over a
19	billion dollars.
20	SENATOR KRUEGER: The changes by and
21	large seem to do exactly what's needed to
22	address the concerns that I and many others
23	have had that this money has been spent
24	without successfully targeting real need in

underutilized areas that have brownfields.

But I am concerned that there's language that would allow Class 2 Superfund sites to use this program.

Can you explain how that would work and why I shouldn't be concerned that this would also skyrocket costs in this program?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I will let

Marc jump in, because Marc has been

instrumental in the brownfields reform. But

I think the idea is that we do want to

capture as many sites that will be cleaned up

privately as opposed to publicly.

have to use state Superfund dollars, we'd rather have a private entity spend the money than the state spend the money. And if the cleanup, whether it's a Superfund site or a conventional brownfield site, as long as it's cleaned up to meet our standards -- and again, it has to meet all the new triggers in the brownfield reforms program, which we hope will keep costs down, and again target the tax credits to places that really need them.

I think we wanted to make it apply as broadly as possible.

But I'll let Marc supplement my answer, if you don't mind.

thing I would add is that the program would not allow responsible parties, who are otherwise obligated under law to clean up the site, to be volunteers under the brownfields program. Other than that, not every Class 2 site would be amenable for redevelopment. So we have to go through the engineering. Some might be possible, for redevelopment for active or passive use. But that's going to be based on the specifics of a site.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And as a follow-up to that, there's been some concerns raised that because this would also allow proposals that had "regional significance" to be included -- and usually when I hear the term "regional significance" I assume that the decisions are being made by the Regional Economic Councils, not by DEC.

Could you just clarify for me how we

make sure that those outside of DEC are not using criteria that don't meet the environmental standards for what we hope this brownfields tax credit to become versus what it has been? Get overridden by -- I don't know if I'm asking the question exactly right.

How do we make sure that the standards we want to have in place don't somehow get overridden where environmental concerns are not the significance versus some other determination of significance?

going through the brownfields program will be required to meet the standards that we have for cleanup, established standards that have been promulgated and applied and are very protective of public health and the environment. The priority economic development projects will be subject to criteria that will be developed in cooperation with the economic development folks in ESDC.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So it won't be able

1.0

1 to override the private obligation criteria 2 to clean up? 3 EX. DEP. CMR. GERSTMAN: Sort of tying in 4 SENATOR KRUEGER: 5 the Superfund question and this question. 6 And then a further concern is that 7 there isn't funding for the BOA efforts that 8 poor communities have been so desperate for. 9 How do we fix that as well? 10 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I don't have 11 an answer for how we fix that. There was I 12 believe \$10 million in the current-year 13 budget, and quite a number of projects have I know at least 10 or a dozen 14 been funded. 15 or so are getting near completion for 16 certification. And I'm not sure whether the 17 funding in the current-year budget is going 18 to actually bring them into becoming a 19 certified BOA. 20 The Department of State is the entity 21 responsible for the BOA program. I'd like to 22 hope that the appropriation in this year's

budget, the \$10 million that's out in grants

to applicants, is going to bring a number of

23

communities into the BOA program. 1 And switching SENATOR KRUEGER: 2 gears, about a year ago I sent you a letter 3 along with a couple of my colleagues --4 Senator Tkaczyk, Senator Avella -- regarding 5 concerns about the risks from seismic 6 activity if fracking were to go forward in 7 New York State, and you haven't responded to 8 the letter yet. And yet there continue to be 9 studies published nationally about concerns 10 about correlations between seismic activity 11 and fracking activities. 12 Is there any chance we could expect a 13 response from DEC? 14 I'd like to COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 15 think the delay is because we're looking at 16 all of those places where it has occurred, to 17 give you the most up-to-date answer possible. 18 But I apologize that you haven't gotten a 19 response yet. I'll track it down and get you 20 a response. 21 We'll send you SENATOR KRUEGER: 22 another copy. Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You don't need

1	to. I will make sure I find it. How long
2	ago did you send it?
3	SENATOR KRUEGER: It was about a
4	year.
5	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: That's
6	embarrassing. I'm sorry.
7	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: How many
8	follow-up calls did you make?
9	SENATOR KRUEGER: I'm not sure.
10	Thank you.
11	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
13	Englebright.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	Good morning, Commissioner.
17	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Good morning.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: First I
19	just want to thank you for your appearance
20	here this morning and, as always, a very
21	professional response to our questions.
22	I have a couple of questions. The
23	Governor is proposing extensive changes in
24	the DEC pesticide regulatory authority,

eliminating significant provisions that relate to pesticide use and category of pesticide application, region of application.

Some of the features of this proposal include eliminating the DEC's annual report of pesticide sales and substituting reporting of this information by county. That appears to be a balkanization of information. And further, there are limits in the amount and type of pesticide information to be made available for public information.

So I have a couple of questions about this. First, how is balkanization of information consistent with the Governor's initiative to bring about consolidation for efficiency generally? This seems to run exactly counter to that. To find out what's going on statewide, you'd have to go to 62 counties separately instead of just to your agency.

So the first question is, how is that consistent with the general theme of consolidation that the Governor has made a great deal of emphasis on? And secondly, how

1 is this in the public's interest? 2 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: The intent 3 here was to try to improve the data we receive and the usability of the data. 5 We've had a lot of criticisms of the 6 data that we collect now, that it's not 7 really usable for the purpose that it was 8 intended for, which was to help researchers. 9 I know that concern, you know, originally was 10 because of the high incidence of breast 11 cancer on places like Long Island. So it was 12 supposed to be made available to researchers. 13 Despite the fact that we've been 14 collecting the data for years, I think almost 15 20 years, it hasn't been used. So we're 16 trying to find a way we can get data -- and 17 the other problem with it is that it's not 18 publicly accessible because it involved data 19 that came from specific -- that was applied 20 at specific addresses. 21 So it hasn't been used, as far as I 22 see, Assemblyman, and it hasn't been widely 23 made available publicly. And we hope that

the data that we collect now will cover a

broader universe of pesticides and it will be more available to a wider audience.

Whether we've got it perfect, we're obviously happy to talk about. But that was the intent, was really to make the data, one, more usable and more accessible.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Well, I appreciate that. It seems to me that some researchers have had problems getting responsiveness from the DEC.

But setting that aside, the premise of the original law was to gather data over a period of time of 20 or more years. So we're just now getting into that period of time where we would have enough data to make use of it. So making access to this data going forward, to have to go to each county really doesn't seem to be consistent with the legislative intent.

Anyhow, let me ask, in the limited time I have here, about the status of the SEQR permitting process, that you're planning to make some substantial changes to that.

What exactly are you planning, and why is

this, again, in the public interest?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: SEQR is one of those laws that has been heralded and criticized by many for many years. It's a really important law. But I think the primary criticism is that it's unnecessarily used to delay projects.

So the types of things that we're considering and we've been talking to stakeholders about are putting stiffer time frames on SEQR. Things like mandatory scoping, which most people support. That you have to go through a scoping process because if you don't on the frond end of the SEQR process, it can result in delays later on. So you identify the issues up-front and, once you've identified them, you move on and you stick to these issues.

We're also considering, you know,
what I would consider modest changes to
things like the Type 2 list and the Type 1
list. Things that would encourage, things
like smart growth. We're trying to eliminate
review of things that are universally

accepted as not to have impacts on the 1 environment. 2 So I would not characterize this as a 3 major overhaul of SEQR in any way. 4 we're trying to do is to improve it a bit, 5 encourage the right type of projects so they 6 don't have to go through SEQR. But we've had 7 two years of stakeholder meetings, and 8 there's a lot of disagreement about what is 9 the right way to reform SEQR. But we are 10 going to put a proposal out for public review 11 hopefully later this year. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Well, 13 Mr. Chairman, I am cautious about changing 14 And thank you for your response. SEOR. 15 We'll look forward to more information. 16 And I'm sure, Mr. Sweeney, you will 17 also have interest in this as we go forward. 18 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: 20 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The Remedial 21 Waste Tire Fund, how many positions at DEC 22 are funded by that fund? And you don't have 23 to give them to me now, because obviously I'm

. 1	trying to figure it out, because money is
2	being collected. And whether or not that
3	fund is really being used for waste tire
4	cleanup or other employees is important to
5	me.
6	So what I'm looking for is really how
7	many employees you have, what's the cost, and
8	how many are totally doing work for the
9	Waste Tire Remedial Fund.
10	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: The short
11	answer is both. I mean, it is cleaning up
12	tire waste sites and it funds employees that
13	work making sure that those sites are cleaned
14	up. But I'm happy to get you the numbers.
15	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Yeah, I'm
16	trying to get the numbers as far as people
17	and the costs, which way they go.
18	Same thing with the Superfund.
19	And that's all I want to ask at this
20	time.
21	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Be happy to
22	provide that for you.
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman

Glick. 1 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Good morning, 2 Commissioner. Thank you very much for your 3 testimony. 4 Just a couple of follow-up questions 5 on the issue of brine. We are getting water 6 that has brine in it. Is that, did you say, 7 from other states? 8 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I don't 9 believe so from other states. I think the 10 brine that we have issued beneficial-use 11 determinations for has come from New York 12 13 wells. ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Do we ever test 14 that for naturally occurring radioactive 15 materials? 16 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I believe when 17 it goes through the beneficial-use 18 determination, the waste is tested. 19 ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And do we have 20 any testing that might follow up, after it's 21 been used, whether there is any change in the 22 water quality in the area around the roads 23

where it's used?

1	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm not aware
2	of testing after the fact. Obviously if
3	someone reported a water quality problem, we
4	would pursue it.
5	You know, whenever salt or brine is
6	applied to roads generally, it can cause
7	water quality problems to surface water from
8	runoff. I mean, salt is a big issue, and
9	that's what brine is, is very salty water.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: So just to be
11	clear, none of this is coming from other
12	states?
13	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I will
14	double-check that to make sure that I'm
15	accurate,
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Okay. One
17	quick question about you run campsites.
18	Not as many as State Parks, but do you
19	envision any of those being closed this year?
20	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: No, I do not.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: And in the
22	areas where there is quarrying, it's a mining
23	operation, they're quarrying, they have a

permit, some operations, with the downturn in

	· ·
1	the economy, went into bankruptcy. And
2	there's usually a reclamation afterwards.
3	Have you had any of the (a) do you inspect
4	them to make sure they have been reclaimed?
5	And if they have gone bankrupt and
6	don't have enough in the bond to cover that,
7	what happens with these sites in terms of
8	restoration of those sites?
9	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We do require
10	financial security. And I'm not aware of any
11	situations where it's been, you know,
12	abandoned and there wasn't enough financial
13	security in place to do the reclamation.
14	But I'd have to talk to my mining
15	folks and find out if we have any current
16	problems in places. Maybe you're aware that
17	we do.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: You might.
19	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Okay. Well,
20	I'm happy to look into it.
21	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.
22	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You bet.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Mark

Grisanti, second round.

SENATOR GRISANTI: Thank you. Thank you, Senator.

Commissioner, just a couple of notes.

I agree with Assemblyman Sweeney on the issue of infrastructure. As we know, combined sewer overflows are a problem. Recently in the Western New York area it resulted not only in the flooding, with the combined sewers overflow, but also damage to the water treatment facility plants in Niagara Falls, where it knocked out some generators, and also in Lockport. And I agree with Assemblyman Sweeney that we need to do something as far as getting monies for help to municipalities to resolve that problem.

On another note, what Senator Boyle talked about, yesterday we had actually started with Senator Kemp Hannon a task force on Lyme and tick disease because we've seen the migration going north and the problem that it's causing. And that's something that we are gathering materials from and will share it with you and your staff with regards

to that problem.

You know, 20 years ago when the real estate transfer tax was put in place by this Governor's father, Mario Cuomo, it was a funding source for EPF. And when we had a decline in real estate, when we had a decline in that transfer tax, EPF, you know, declined and then sometimes it stayed the same.

But, you know, my whole thing with the EPF is we need to get that figure way above. I'm shooting for \$200 million.

Because as RETT is actually increasing, EPF should be increasing with it. I don't want to see funds being swept from a dedicated funding source for EPF or money that should go to the DEC for things that you need.

And, you know, Senator DeFrancisco mentioned it with regards to the tire fund. I mean, that was swept in the past. And that's something that if it's a dedicated source of revenue from when you buy a tire and there's a fee involved, to have that money in there to help other projects -- it could probably help Senator Ritchie's

projects that she was talking about with regards to collection of tires.

You had mentioned staffing cuts and constraints, and I know -- and they come in my office, the forest rangers, the environmental conservation officers, they're constantly responding to environmental complaints, protecting the environment.

They're deployed 24 hours a day to manmade and natural disasters, search-and-rescue missions, fires and a lot more. And I know that you care deeply about the individuals that are in both of those groups.

Their numbers are shrinking. I know you've talked about staffing cuts. You know, wait times are increasing, rescue missions. Are there plans in the works for a new academy? Because there hasn't been an academy in a while. Are there plans for an academy, and can you talk a little bit about the ability to address that situation with the forest rangers and the environmental conservation officers?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Senator, I

appreciate your comments and I do hold both our environmental conservation officers and forest rangers in very high regard. I don't think there is any documented evidence that there's been longer wait times for these folks to respond. They have responded immediately to every crisis that I'm aware of, and then some.

We did graduate an academy this year.

I was very proud to speak at the commencement ceremony for 48 new ECOs and rangers, which brought back the level of ECOs and rangers to -- not to historic levels, but it brought it back to a level where I think we have a good complement and a good geographic distribution of our rangers and ECOs.

The problem obviously is to bring in new rangers, they have to go through an academy. And the academy is an expensive proposition, it's a 10-week program or longer. It's a long program. It's a residential program. We put them up, we train them extensively. It's very difficult. Not every person that we brought into the

academy graduated because of the difficulty.

Ideally, we would probably need an academy every other year. So that would mean that in 2015, that would be my hopes, again, for having another academy to account for whatever attrition occurs in the forces between now and then. Because we will lose people to retirements and the ranks will be depleted, if you will. So regular academies is absolutely critical to keep up the level of both the rangers and ECOs.

But I'd say, you know, the academy graduating 48 individuals this year was a big help to the department.

SENATOR GRISANTI: And on another note, along with the new arrivals of some of the academy members -- and you're right, with retirement and attrition, that's a concern, because you're going to want to have new officers, especially with the additional land in the Adirondacks that have been purchased by the state, so on and so forth.

And vehicles as well. That's another issue where, you know, I know you've received

funding for some new vehicles, but I can imagine that you need more with regards to -- is there a pot of funding in the DEC that allows the purchase of vehicles and updated equipment for those two organizations?

dedicating capital, hard-dollar capital this year to purchase another 250 vehicles on top of the hundred or so that we purchased last year. So vehicle replacement is obviously critical to us. We have to keep them in good running order. For years the vehicles were not replaced in a timely way, so the fleet has deteriorated some. And I'm working hard to bring it back up to snuff.

SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. Then the only other comments I've got is with regards to brownfields, you know, significantly -- and I think Senator Krueger touched on this -- BOA monies is something that I think needs to be implemented in there as well.

The work plan, I'll comment that the 90 days of approval for the plan to start, I think it might be too short. That's another

1	concern. And some remediation costs that may
2	be excluded under the new plan that weren't
3	excluded before, that can be an issue. But
4	that's something that I and my staff will put
5	something together addressing some of the
6	concerns. And, Marc, I'll share that with
7	you moving forward.
8	But I appreciate your testimony here
9	today and your candidness and your staff and
10	all your hard work and dedication. Thank
11	you.
12	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you,
13	Senator.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
15	Assemblyman Stec.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thank you.
17	Good morning, Commissioner.
18	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Good morning.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: First, before I
20	ask a few questions, I do want to thank you
21	and your staff for your tremendous efforts
22	last year in the Adirondacks, and
23	particularly the two constitutional
24	amendments that we worked on, the Finch land

classification -- which when I talked to people, I'd say 98 percent of them thought it was a very reasonable compromise and a good outcome from every perspective.

And as was mentioned today, I also know that you've had a few very high-profile search-and-rescue efforts in the Adirondacks, and employing a lot of manpower from your rangers and your ECOs. And we certainly appreciate everything that they do, especially myself personally, because I'm one of those guys trouncing around in the woods up there. Hopefully I never have to call on their services.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We'll be there if you need us.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thanks.

A couple of quick questions. First,

I want to ask a little about some more detail
in your thoughts on aquatic invasive species.

As you know, I'm proud that Warren County
took a very proactive and leadership position
on this as it pertains mostly to Lake George
over the last few years, and I think we've

turned a corner there and we're heading in a good direction there.

And I'm encouraged that the state and your department is now looking at a statewide perspective on aquatic invasive species. Of course, just taking my Lake George perspective and knowing the numbers involved there for funding, this can be staggering.

So I was just curious if you could share a little more detail on the plan. And then, of course, the big question here today is funding of the plan and where the sources are likely to come from for aquatic invasives.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you,
Assemblyman. I will share the actual plan
with you hopefully in April. Staff has been
working on a statewide plan for probably over
a year now, and we hope to put it out in a
couple of months.

I think you're right, what you won't find is that we're going to try to replicate the experience in Lake George every place in the state, because I don't think it is

possible financially. But it's also not necessary. Not all lakes get the same kind of traffic that Lake George does or have the same type of aquatic environment that Lake George does. So it is certainly not one-size-fits-all.

We have dedicated resources to help
that effort in Lake George, and we're very
much regarding it as a two-year pilot because
we want to see if it works the way people
hope it works. And we certainly hope that
things like the Asian clam is arrested,
finally, and that it's successful.

But, you know, there's lots of different ways to approach aquatic invasive species. I mentioned that we've done two separate rulemakings, one proposed and one final, following up on legislation that you all passed. The most recent is a state law prohibiting people from putting boats and trailers in water bodies at DEC facilities where we have jurisdiction. It's just a tool, it's an enforcement tool. It's really meant to discourage people, create awareness.

In the end, I think public awareness of the problem is half the battle. Because if people don't recognize that when they have plants and animals attached to their boats and trailers that could cause a huge problem, it is impossible to police everywhere kind of all the time. It's a huge task.

But, one, creating laws that are disincentives and enforcing them when appropriate I think is going to create a lot of public awareness. So public education will obviously be a big part of it.

regional partnerships and invasive species management programs, PRISMs, as they're known, throughout the state. Those are our, you know, kind of feet on the ground where we work with not-for-profits like The Nature Conservancy and others to come up with localized plans, and the EPF provides the funds to them to actually implement specific projects in different regions where there are different pests that are problematic.

And it's not all about lakes, it's

also terrestrial problems as well. The ash borer is a huge problem, and thanks to some federal funds we've been successful in addressing at least the slowing down, we think, the spread of that across the state, to stop ash mortality.

So it's a multiheaded beast, and we hope the statewide plan again furthers the cause. And we'll probably be talking to you more about any additional legislation that we feel we might need in the future.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Well, I thank you for that. Certainly my concern -- and I agree with you that each lake and each need is going to be tailored, which makes your job a little more difficult. But I think you're absolutely right, you couldn't treat every lake the same. I don't think it's feasible.

But one of my concerns long-term for
the funding -- because while we've been
talking about plans, the debate on funding
hasn't really hit the front page yet. But
certainly a lot of local governments, a lot
of private property owners are concerned, you

know, what is the local share going to be, should there be a significant local share on state-owned waterways. And then of course, you know, the impacts of dock fees, boat fees, tax overlay districts. I mean, you know, it's potentially very expensive.

UMPs, unit management plans, and the review process really, you know, from a budgetary perspective. I'm not familiar with the number that are out there, but I know that there's a goal to periodically review all of them. And I was just -- my question is are we keeping up, or is there a targeted review shelf life for them, and what does our current average perhaps stand at? And where I'm going with that is, is your department adequately staffed to keep up with these UMP reviews?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Like with most of our work, it's a bit of triage. We put the highest-use public lands at the top of the list for completion of UMPs. Where there are issues with public use, we obviously want

get those done.

And I don't know, I'll have to get you the specific numbers on how many we do annually. Whether that's increased or decreased, I'm not sure. They come across my desk routinely; I just approved two in your neck of the woods for Hurricane Mountain and St. Regis, specifically for the fire towers there. Those were areas that get a lot of public use, there was a public interest, so staff -- you know, those again went to the top of the list.

So we try our best to keep up, but we start with the ones that will have the most impact on public use and where issues of public use are the most significant.

ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: And I'll just close by saying I know that these two questions that I asked are really related to a bigger theme that I know you get from a lot of local government folks, like myself used to be in the Adirondacks, about future land acquisition and balancing your ability to care for the land you currently have. And

1 those are not your decisions to make these land purchases, they come at a higher level. 2 But moving forward, you know, the 3 idea that we need to make sure that we've 4 5 taken care of the land we currently own before we volunteer to purchase more land. 6 Everyone wants to buy land, but we need to be 7 stewards of what we've already taken on. 8 9 that's my perspective. COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Appreciate it. 10 11 Got it. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN STEC: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 14 Senator 15 Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: DEC certainly has a 16 17 diverse set of responsibilities. I noted in the Article 7 bill relating to outdoor 18 sports -- and please understand, my views of 19 20 hunting often tie into my concerns about child welfare, so I'm famously known as 21 Senator No Fun in the Senate when I argue 22 there are child-welfare issues involved with 23

young people and hunting.

So in this Article 7 bill it allows 1 crossbows to be used within 150 feet of 2 structures and homes when the current law is 3 500 feet. Now it would be treating crossbows ٠4 the same way as longbows, as opposed to guns. 5 And frankly I'm very concerned that 6 crossbows are a very powerful hunting item 7 and can be shot with enormous power and go a 8 very long way. Are you not concerned about 9 allowing these to be used within 150 feet of 10 where people and children live? 11 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: All I can tell 12 you, Senator, is that I have been reassured 13 by my staff, many of which are very 14 knowledgeable about crossbows and longbows, 15 that 150 feet is a safe distance, that the 16 arrows lose their force long before the 17 150 yards. 18 SENATOR KRUEGER: You said yards. 19 think it was feet. Am I wrong? 20 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Excuse me, 21 Yes, I'm sorry, feet. 2.2 feet. SENATOR KRUEGER: Okay. So it's 23 50 yards. 24

1	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Yes, 150 feet.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: And would your
3	staff argue that if there were I mean,
4	there's continual changes in the definitions
5	of and technology with crossbows. My
6	understanding is some of them are enormously
7	powerful and can go far farther than
8	50 yards. Perhaps you could get back to me
9	at another time with some kind of research
10	that the current era of crossbows would
11	assure us that we wouldn't be putting
12	children at risk.
13	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Yes, I'd be
14	happy to. Obviously I would share your
15	concerns.
16	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
18	Sweeney for a second go.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	I want to follow up on a few
22	questions asked by other members, Joe.
23	Mr. Crouch asked you a question about revenue
24	from forest products, and you indicated it's

significant and you hope to grow that even 1 more, so to speak. 2 Where does that revenue go to? 3 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: The natural resources account, special revenue fund. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: 6 Mr. Englebright asked you about the 7 pesticide, the changes, which I share some of 8 There is a proposed change on his concerns. 9 reporting requirements in a couple of 10 respects -- first of all, putting the burden 11 on retailers instead of applicators. 12 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Right. 13 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: And also 14 eliminating the availability of site-specific 15 information rather than place of purchase. 16 And I heard your answer, which is 17 something primarily used by researchers, that 18 some of the changes were intended to assist 19 researchers. 20 But when you're talking about 21 something like developing a breast cancer 22 map, for example, which I think you 23 specifically referenced, I'm not entirely

1 sure how reporting the location where product 2 is sold is better information for a 3 researcher than telling them where it was applied. So those two changes in particular 5 concern me. 6 Can you address that, or would you 7 like to get back to me on that? Either way is fine. 9 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'd like to 10 get back to you. What I have heard, you 11 know, from the research community, I think 12 it's the Health Research Science Board 13 basically suggested abolishing the provisions 14 that are currently in law because the data 15 has been unusable. So we're trying to come 16 up with more usable data and also data that 17 would be more widely available. 18 So again, we're open to improvements 19 in this regard, and I'm happy to talk to you 20 about it. But the data that we've been 21 generating apparently has not been helpful. 22 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. I would 23 like to learn more about that.

Marc makes a

COMMISSIONER MARTENS:

good point. It's that the information now does not include information from agricultural users and from homeowners' use. So that's a whole segment that has been absent from the database, and we hope to pick up that by having the reporting come from the sales data. Because that will pick up people who buy products for home use as opposed to agricultural use.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: I think the point is that finding out where people buy the product is not as useful as finding out where they apply the product.

If you're going to do, for example, a breast cancer map and you want to know where the problem areas are -- and, you know, I get calls, I'm sure there are other members who get calls; Mr. Englebright has done a lot of work on this subject -- they want to know what it means in their neighborhood. You know, they don't necessarily want to know where the product was sold, you want to know where it's applied.

So if you could look at it from that

1	respect, I think it would be and let me
2	know that would be helpful.
3	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You bet.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Ms. Glick asked
5	you about abandoned well sites. Is it not
6	accurate to say that there are hundreds if
7	not thousands of abandoned well sites in
8	New York State?
9	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm not sure
10	we were talking about wells. It was
11	quarries, I think, that she asked about.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. Well,
13	let me ask you about well sites, then. Is it
14	not accurate to say that the money that
15	there was money that was paid into a fund.
16	That fund was swept, I believe, correct?
17	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It has been.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: At least once.
19	I don't know what's left in it, if anything,
20	anymore.
21	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It's not a
22	very well capitalized fund. In the first
23	place, it's only \$100, I think, per
24	applicant.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. Would it be reasonable, then, to suggest that with all of these abandoned well sites around the state and with little to no money left in a fund that wasn't well capitalized to begin with, that we're probably not keeping up as well as we should or we might in terms of identifying these sites, reclaiming them, ensuring that they're safe, that sort of thing?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I think we started that process last year when you approved the NY Works II money that included \$2 million for exactly that purpose. Another \$2 million is included this year.

We have put together an RFP for firms to bid on for closing wells, and we've identified the wells that we think are the highest priority in terms of, you know, potential exposure and damage to the environment. So we have two RFPs going out under the current-year contract, and we'll do the same thing next year. And it could result in the closure of dozens if not

hundreds of wells. 1 So I acknowledge that this has not 2 been handled well in the past, and these 3 abandoned wells have been neglected. 4 think we made a start on it in the current 5 fiscal year, thanks to your inclusion of the 6 funds for it. 7 8 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you. Different subject. Can you tell me 9 when the invasive species regulations will be 10 finalized? 11 We're in the 12 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 13 public comment process right now. So I'd 14 have to check to see. We were out for public 15 comment; the public comment period is either 16 closed or is closing soon. So we review the 17 comments, do the responsiveness summary, and 18 then we'll finalize the regs. 19 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. If you could get back to us with a time frame, I'd 20 21 appreciate it. I m COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Sure. 22 sorry, I don't know when the comment period 23

24

closed.

ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: In 2009 we did 1 legislation on E-waste and required 2 manufacturers, as you know, to accept the 3 return of E-waste. There was a report that 4 DEC was required to produce on April 1st of 5 2012. Can you tell me the status of that 6 report? 7 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I'm looking 8 around. I'll have to check. 9 ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Okay. And then 10 you also received funding from the EPF to 11 update wetlands maps. What is the status of 12 that project? 13 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We have 14 several projects underway. I know in the 15 Lake Ontario region we have a wetland 16 remapping project underway. In the Hudson 17 Valley I think we are close to completing the 18 updated wetlands maps. There may be others, 19 Assemblyman, but those are the two that come 20 to mind. 21 Do you have any ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: 22 idea when those reports might be released? 23 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It will 24

actually not be a report, but it will be new
wetland boundaries, proposed new wetland
boundaries. We'd have to notify landowners.
I'll have to see what our schedule is for
getting out notices. But we'll get you that
as well.
ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Are those the
only two areas of the state being done? Or
is it your plan to do additional areas of the
state?
COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Funding
available, we'd like to update the wetlands
maps. There are places where they are out of
date. So we were fortunate enough to secure
some federal funds for that, and we'll be
trying to seek more.
ASSEMBLYMAN SWEENEY: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You're
welcome.
CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
Assemblyman Oaks.
ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you,
Chairman.
And welcome, Commissioner. I'd just

like to say thank you for your staff and efforts in working and being responsive to us. I know you have regulatory responsibilities and issues, and certainly that's important, but it's critical as well to have positive relationships with those of us in the Legislature.

I know earlier you responded to some of the comments about SEQRA and the SPDES process and some discussion on that. I appreciate your response to that. And I know I continue to hear from people not necessarily to -- that changes or help could come, and not necessarily changing compliance requirements, but just making that more responsive and in a timely manner.

The Governor mentioned in his State of the State, I believe, about opening up state lands, more availability. We don't see anything in the budget proposal that would align with that. Do we have any sense on when we might understand more what that might include and how that might be rolled out?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: We do have

50 projects that are associated with the \$6 million of the NY Works funds in this year that are geographically dispersed around the state. We're happy to get you a list of the projects that are on that.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. And I know in the Conservation Fund we've done some changes before in fees, licensing fees.

There's some more proposed within this budget. With all of the current changes, the proposed changes, where do we stand now with the fund itself? Are we solid with that? Is it in good standing?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Well, it is in good standing. I think, you know, that we project very conservatively, based on the changes in the fees and the number of license holders we have now. And I believe that we will be good without any changes other than the ones that are currently proposed through fiscal year 2016-2017.

And we have, again, projections that we can give you where our hope, of course, is that with all of the additional marketing

that the Governor has talked about in the 1 State of the State, and with the lowering of 2 some of the licenses, that we're actually 3 going to attract more license holders and 4 purchasers of fishing and hunting licenses. 5 So that will actually increase revenue. 6 But that's not how we plan. 7 as conservatively as possible. And the 8 2016-2017 budget, again conservatively, we 9 will not need any license increases to 10 support the existing level of programming 11 until that year. 12 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you, 13 Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: You're 1.5 welcome. 16 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 17 Assemblyman Crouch. 18 Thank you. ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: 19 Commissioner, just one quick thought 20 here, or quick question. In the past I've 21 had a number of agricultural producers in my 22 office complaining about the fact that our 23

24

pesticide approval program in this state does

not align itself with the federal government's approval program. And so you've got other states that are able to use certain new pesticides on certain crops, and in New York we can't. So we become uncompetitive.

Especially the small crops, like the berry crops or whatever, I had some berry growers in my office just yesterday talking about the research that Cornell has been doing on a new pest that's now affecting the berry crop, but the pesticide will probably be a long process to get it approved in New York State especially.

California, sometimes they have -- of course they have larger amounts of berries grown there, like strawberries and maybe raspberries. So companies can more afford to go through the approval process in that state. As opposed to New York, if it's a small number of acreage in comparison, the companies don't even bother to register here because they know it's going to be a long process, it's going to cost a lot of money.

2.

Your thoughts on basically aligning our certification and registration process with the federal government -- my understanding is that some states do that -- to be able to give our producers the equal access, if you will, to pesticides and herbicides that will help them be competitive.

put a heavy premium on trying to get approvals done within my agency as expeditiously as possible. But I think the added layer of product registration in New York is, in my view, being protective of the environment and water quality. And particularly in places where natural resources are particularly sensitive, like on Long Island, where the groundwater is the supply of water, it's warranted to go through the extra layer of review.

We do obviously want to do it as quickly as possible, and that's why we've asked for extending the fees permanently so that we have a stable source of income for

1 that program. If there are specific 2 instances where people have had problems and 3 delays are causing problems, let us know 4 about it. We try to be expeditious, but I think it's absolutely critical that we know 5 what we're putting on the ground and on the 6 7 land, because ultimately it does end up 8 affecting that natural resource -- or could 9 if we are not very cautious. 10 Just coincidentally, and I should 11 have mentioned this earlier, is we have put 12 many of our programs at DEC through what is

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have mentioned this earlier, is we have put many of our programs at DEC through what is known as the "lean process." The pesticide program is one of those that's going through a lean process now because we are very sensitive to the fact that there have been delays with getting products registered.

ASSEMBLYMAN CROUCH: That's a good process. Good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblywoman Fahy.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Thank you,
Commissioner. And it's a pleasure to be here
today. Again, sorry I had to step away for a

prior commitment.

Really pleased about the Executive

Order that was issued last night by the

Governor. Can you tell me -- and again,

really appreciate your assertiveness and your

responsiveness, by the way, in delaying the

comment period and in agreeing to hold a

public hearing on this. So really appreciate

the responsiveness.

Can you tell me, with the issuance of the Executive Order, does this mean any permit that was being considered for the expansion there at the Port of Albany, does that mean all of that is on hold until we hear back on this Executive Order? Or what does that mean for the permitting process for the building of the new facility at the port?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: It doesn't mean that the permitting process is on hold. We obviously extended the comment period, and we're very interested in hearing from the community.

We have to go through the permitting process, and we haven't -- there is no

Kirkland Reporting Service

foregone conclusion here one way or the other on the expansion. And I would point out that our jurisdiction on that project is limited. We don't have jurisdiction over the rails, you know, off-site. The application was for a number of boilers to be included so that they could heat the oil for ease of transporting it off the tankers.

So the Governor is actually, between his Executive Order and us, appealing to the federal government to act more quickly. We are concerned and want to look at all of our programs that apply to spill prevention and response.

Facilities like the one in Albany do have a spill prevention response plan that they submitted to us with their original permit. We're going to be reviewing those as they apply to all facilities across the state, taking a closer look at them, making sure that they are as protective as possible. But we have to work within the limits of our jurisdiction.

So while the permit's not on hold, we

want to invite public comment, we're going to scrutinize it, and we'll have to make a decision at the end of the day.

again, appreciate the responsiveness. I known my colleague John McDonald -- the port is on the border of my district, but it's in John McDonald's district, and I know he shares it and has appreciated the responsiveness from your agency. So we'll continue to work with you on that because there are some very serious concerns.

And by the way, we know it's not just our districts in Albany, this is a state issue and in many ways has national ramifications, which is why I'm pleased you reached out to the feds on this.

Just a very quick question. I know you've addressed a few questions on EPF, the Environmental Protection Fund. And at least it's going up, appreciated to see the small increase that was requested. Can you tell me how many projects, how many grant proposals are going without?

1.4

In other words, of the proposals you see, are you funding 50 percent? I mean, what would be an amount there if we were to advocate for additional funding in the EPF? What might that be in terms of who's going without or what percentage is going without?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I don't know the number off the top of my head. And I defer to Anne, who sees a lot of these applications and actually makes sure the money gets to the recipients.

But we can certainly tally up the list of applications. We know, for example, in certain categories we have backlogs that we just get to them when we can get to them within the amount that's appropriate. But those kind of numbers we're happy to share with you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN FAHY: Okay. Just to get a sense of it, because I know while at least it moved in the right direction, which is great, it was a little disappointing, just the smaller increase, because that fund is just so critical to so much of the work.

And then I just want to associate my 1 comments previously, in terms of the bond act 2 and Albany, with one of Chairman Sweeney's 3 comments on the need for better wastewater 4 infrastructure. Albany is one of those areas 5 that still has some wooden pipes in the 6 ground, so we recognize the need for that 7 investment. 8 And that's it. Thank you so much. 9 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 11 To close, Mr. Abinanti. 12 Thank you, ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: 13 Mr. Chairman. 14 Good morning, Commissioner. 15 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Good morning. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: I'd like to go 17 to a local issue, if I can. Westchester 18 County, Saw Mill River. As you're probably 19 aware, it's one of the many rivers in 20 Westchester County that's constantly 21 flooding. The Army Corps of Engineers has 22 indicated that they have had some money for 23

quite some time to become involved in a

project there. We've finally succeeded in passing some legislation in Westchester to get the county involved. There are community groups that are working along the Saw Mill River that want to get something done. The missing piece is the state.

We've spoken with DOT, they tell us that you have to be involved. What is your department's plans for dealing with the Saw Mill River, and where will I find some money in this budget that will accomplish that?

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I don't have an easy answer for you. We are the nonfederal partner in all Army Corps projects, so that's why I'm sure DOT said that DEC needs to be involved.

We do have NY Works funds that
provide our state's share of the cost of
federal projects. The specific -- I'm not
even sure what stage the inquiry is at,
whether it's a reconnaissance study or not.
But I'm happy to look into it and find out
whether or not we've been even approached for

funding on the Saw Mill project.

ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: I'd appreciate the response.

As a second piece to this, as I indicated, Westchester County, like I'm sure a lot of other of our communities, has very significant flooding problems. And now the county is involved in each part of the county. We've divided the county into watersheds, so it's not confined only to the Saw Mill River.

Does your department have a mechanism to fund a piece of each of these studies? I mean, as the newspapers and the TV broadcast several years ago, the Village of Mamaroneck on the east side was under water, and other parts of the county are under water. In my district, parts of Greenburgh, parts of Elmsford. I mean, it's an impairment to business. If we're talking about business, we have businesses that are just literally floating away.

So is there a program that you have that local governments, municipalities,

counties can avail themselves of some money 1 to move these projects forward? 2 3 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Well, the primary focus, for better or worse, has been 4 on the recent spate of flooding, lastly in 5 the Mohawk Valley. And the Governor did 6 secure federal funds for the New York Rising 8 program. And I'm not sure how many communities we're up to, but it's well over a 9 hundred communities that have been invited to 10 11 participate in that program. ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: T understand 12 the need to address those immediate issues. 13 14 But we had the immediate problem, and just because Elmsford and Mamaroneck have dried 15 out doesn't mean that the problem isn't still 16 17 there. 18 COMMISSIONER MARTENS: I understand. ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: And so I'd 19 like to pursue with your office how we can 20 get some money. I mean, we finally have the 21 Army Corps of Engineers on board with some of 22 these, and we've got the county on board. 23

And what's holding us up is the state.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Well, I wasn't 1 aware that we were holding it up. But I 2 will --3 Well, I mean, ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: 4 you understand, everybody else is saying 5 "Where's the state, we won't go forward until 6 the state comes down." 7 Julie just COMMISSIONER MARTENS: 8 handed me a little background on the fact 9 that a feasibility study apparently has been 10 undertaken by the Army Corps of Engineers. 11 So that's really the first step in the 12 process. Actually it gets a reconnaissance 13 study, then a feasibility study, which is 14 more detailed --15 ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Well, they did 16 that feasibility study several years ago, and 17 I think they're now talking about a new 18 project, saying that the old one is so old 19 because we never moved forward and did 20 anything with it. And so maybe we can have a 21 discussion offline. I'd just like to 22 highlight the issue in public. 23

Be happy to.

COMMISSIONER MARTENS:

1	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you,
4	Commissioner. And thank you for being
5	willing to serve in your capacity. You're
6	doing a great job at a very difficult time in
7	our history, and the same holds true for your
8	staff. So thank you very much.
9	COMMISSIONER MARTENS: Thank you.
10	Thanks to all of you. I appreciate the
11	support.
12	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next
13	speaker is Rose Harvey, commissioner of the
14	New York State Office of Parks, Recreation
15	and Historic Preservation.
16	(Chanting among the audience.)
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Can we can
18	we please can we please have some order.
19	We get your point. Could we have some order
20	so we can continue business.
21	All right, Commissioner.
22	Commissioner, you can begin as soon as the
23	audience will allow you to. Excuse me.
24	Excuse me. Yes, please. Commissioner, would

you please go forward.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you.

Good morning, Senator DeFrancisco and

Assemblyman Farrell. Thank you for including

me in this discussion of the Executive

Budget.

I'm Rose Harvey, and as commissioner of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation I'm charged with overseeing one of our nation's greatest treasures, the New York Park system.

New Yorkers take great pride in the 179 parks and 35 historic sites that comprise the system, and we're looking forward to adding our 180th park this year on the Buffalo waterfront.

Governor Cuomo recognizes our parks
system is a powerful economic and
environmental catalyst with great benefits
all across every corner of this state. He's
introduced initiatives that are connecting
people to the parks, tackling the
long-standing infrastructure challenges, and
keeping parks open, welcoming and accessible

1.1

for all New Yorkers.

The 2014-2015 Executive Budget provides State Parks with the tools that it needs to continue serving the New York public. It maintains level funding for park operations so that we may offer valued recreational and educational services throughout the state. It continues our commitment to modernize and revitalize the park system's infrastructure and our public facilities. And finally, it maintains robust funding for the Environmental Protection Fund.

The parks system this year again was very successful. Despite the devastation of Superstorm Sandy, all our parks were open for Memorial Day weekend and still, in spite of that delay, attracted more than 60 million visitors statewide for the second year in a row, an all-time high.

Throughout the season our parks hosted major outdoor concerts, festivals, and hosted hundreds of athletic events -- 5Ks, triathlons, basketball, skating, hockey,

tennis, swimming, teams, tournaments and lessons -- to encourage everyone to get out into the great outdoors, to teach them the skills to be active, to be healthy and, in doing so, to provide an economic boost to the local economies.

The success of the parks system in 2013 has helped to attract large national-scale events. This past year alone we entered into an agreement with the PGA of America and announced that Bethpage State Park on Long Island will host two of professional golf's biggest events -- the PGA Championship in 2019 and the Ryder Cup in 2024 -- at the famed Black Course. And as well, we are hosting in 2016 the Barclays.

Our historic sites are closely involved in the Governor's "Path Through History," a statewide roadmap, literally and figuratively, that ties together historically and culturally significant sites, locations and events throughout the Empire State. The effort to highlight New York's rich heritage showcases New York State's history and

1.2

cultural significance and promotes tourism and economic development in communities in every region in this state.

Our agency administers one of the strongest historic preservation programs in Last year the State Historic the nation. Preservation Office advanced 97 listings to the State and National Registers of Historic Places, which in turn assists property owners in the preservation of these structures. reviewed 5,800 state and federal projects for compliance with historic preservation laws, completing these reviews in an average of Our state and federal historic 11 days. rehabilitation tax credits spurred more than \$1.1 billion in the redevelopment of commercial properties listed on the National Register, and more than \$14.3 million in home improvements to help revitalize historic neighborhoods.

In order for our parks to continue serving their communities and remain anchors of our tourism economy, the Executive Budget for the third year in a row continues making

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

investments in these parks and in these There is \$90 million in the historic sites. NY Works funding for capital improvement projects to provide visitors with safe, welcoming and modern facilities. The first two rounds of this landmark funding has enabled the agency to advance 192 separate projects within 81 parks and historic sites, to restore, to repair, to enhance while also improving energy efficiency and operational efficiency. This year's \$90 million of NY Works funding will allow a dozen more improvement projects to begin in 2014 at all of our sites, which will continue to create jobs, encourage tourism and transform our parks system from a 20th-century to a 21st-century park system.

In his State of the State address the Governor announced the Adventure License, a new initiative to improve services for many outdoor recreational enthusiasts. The Adventure License includes the option for a Lifetime Empire Passport, offering

New Yorkers the opportunity for paying just

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

once and then experiencing all that the parks have to offer for the rest of their lives.

It saves frequent visitors thousands of dollars over a lifetime, and it creates a way for people to show their lifetime support for our irreplaceable state park system.

For those who enjoy New York's waterways, the Adventure License will make it easier to carry on the tradition of safe boating. New Yorkers who have taken a boating course and received their boating safety certificate can, with just a few easy steps, choose to have the certification noted on their driver's license or nondriver ID, therefore carrying only one card to show that they are certified.

Finally, the Executive Budget

contains \$170 million for the Environmental

Protection Fund. Parks and Historic

Preservation administers funding from four of

these EPF categories: State Lands

Stewardship and Open Space land purchases,

which are shared between Parks and Historic

Preservation and the Department of

Environmental Conservation, along with the 1 2 Local Parks and Historic Preservation Grant 3 Program and the Zoos, Botanical Gardens and 4 Aquariums, the ZBGA program. The Executive Budget includes level 5 or increased funding for all four categories. 6 7 For the past two years, the Local Parks and Historic Preservation Grants section was 8 included in the Governor's Regional Economic 10 Development Council Awards, and it proved to be an effective mechanism for promoting 11 12 tourism and economic development in local 13 communities throughout the state. 14 Thank you again for your commitment 15 to our magnificent state parks system, and 16 I'm very, very appreciative, on behalf of 17 Parks and Historic Preservation, for each and every one, for all of your support for our 18 parks and our historic sites. 19 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 20 Grisanti. 21 22 SENATOR GRISANTI: Thank you, Senator DeFrancisco. 23 24 Good morning, Commissioner. How are

1 you today? COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Good. How are 2 3 you? SENATOR GRISANTI: Good. 4 You know, I was happy you mentioned 5 that in your speech about the 180th park in 6 7 Buffalo, in our region. I was more happy that the NFTA got out of the real estate 8 business and went back to the transportation 9 business. 10 What is the time frame for that park 11 along the Outer Harbor in Buffalo, and what 12 is the plan or what's being done to move that 13 14 along? So we've just 15 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: completed all of our testing, which will then 16 17 be reviewed by DEC and Department of Health. We are working out a master plan for it. 18 we're looking forward to announcing the state 19 park in a few months. And it will be open 20 21 and operating under NFTA's tutelage for this 22 summer, but with additional programs and park 23 resources.

SENATOR GRISANTI:

24

When you have the

master plan, is there a comment period that goes out? Are you taking comments right now from groups or individuals regarding what the master plan would look like?

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We're just right now really finishing all of the testing, looking at the marina and how it operates. And we'll get to a public process once we get all the information.

SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. question that I just asked Commissioner Martens is -- and I've heard this from stakeholders, that the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation is sometimes late or slow in paying bills on the ZBGA contracts, including large contracts. It's stressful for a lot of not-for-profits partnering with the state through this program, since ZBGA is a unique operational funding category within the EPF. So when they don't receive their prompt payments, what happens is it affects their ability to continue the programs, including care of living collections and payment of staff and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

salaries. 1 2 What, if you know, is the status of ZBGA's grant payments? Are they on schedule? 3 What fiscal year are you involved with? 4 what are you doing about it, if they're not 5 on schedule, to get that on schedule in the 6 7 future? COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Actually we've 8 paid almost every single one. And we have 9 just a handful left, and they will be paid by 10 the end of this fiscal year, on time. 11 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. And you 12 mentioned the historic tax credits. 13 14 happy to see the amount of redevelopment. I've been pushing each year a Senate bill for 15 an increase in the historic tax credit 16 monies, and I think we can redevelop even 17 more of these projects across the state. 18 I look forward to trying to push that again 19 20 this year. 21 And that's all I have for you, Commissioner. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you. 23 24 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.

Assemblywoman Glick.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: It's very good to see you, Commissioner. And I have to say in all the years I've been doing this, I don't know that I've ever seen a commissioner just show up by his or herself and sit at the table alone. So kudos to you for doing this on your own. Even if they may be back there somewhere, most people don't do this without a net.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I'm totally supported by everyone back there, and they'll probably be getting you the answers to your questions.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: First of all, parks are incredibly important, and thank you for doing a good job with them.

Harriman State Park is relatively

close to the city, and there had been a

closure of the lake there. And I'm not sure

why, and not sure when it would be opening.

I think it's Sebago Lake, or Sebago Beach.

And it's important because it's so close to

the city and yet it is very much the sort of

experience that one might have if one had traveled up to the Adirondacks to, you know, Raquette Lake or something.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: So our one of three goals is to get as many people into the parks and get all of our facilities open.

Sebago Lake was unfortunately, because of Irene and Lee, flooded out and sustained great damage. And we're looking at using it for events and other areas while we're in the process of getting it back online. And it will be open to the public, it will be used, but it's still under repair.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Has that impacted the -- there are -- I don't know if it's a Boy Scout camp or a Girl Scout camp or whether they alternate. Is that a usable facility?

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Well, we have the group camps that are nearby, and actually they still do use it sometimes. And that is a big focus of our Parks Department, is to get them all online, improve them, and get more camps there. And so we will give them

all the facilities and all the access that they need.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you. One last question which is, for me, very parochial, but it affects probably a larger number of people, and that is the Hudson River Park. It sustained quite a bit of damage through both Sandy and Irene. Some of those dollars that have come in to repair the bulkhead and so forth may be some federal dollars. But I think that there continues to be -- there was extensive damage.

But it is, in my humble opinion, not just a park, but it is the western edge of Manhattan. And to some extent having the park responsible for protecting the island's western edge is, I think, a little bit unfortunate to have the park responsible. In the absence of the park, there would have been damage to the island, more damage. And I don't know why the park is being -- why it's limited to the park to repair some of the bulkhead, et cetera.

Do you have any thoughts on that?

20:

know, our parks, in Sandy, sustained
\$120 million worth of damage. But
Governor Cuomo was very effective in bringing
in the federal dollars. And it's a long
process to qualify. And we have been working
with the Hudson River Park to make sure that
they get through that process and get that
federal money.

And I know it's on the list for the

And I know it's on the list for the federal money. I can't say that they're going to get everything, but we are certainly working to make sure that they get their fair share of the federal money.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GLICK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: We've been joined by Assemblyman Nick Perry.

Senator?

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Yes, you had mentioned in your prepared remarks that the first two rounds of the capital funding for the parks advanced 192 separate projects with 81 parks and historic sites. How were the sites selected as to where the money was

going to go?

commissioner Harvey: We go through a process working with each of the regions, and each of the regions work with the Friends group and the stakeholders, and a list is developed and vetted. And actually I think in both subsequent years you did get a copy of that list.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. And the Legislature got a copy of the list prior to any announcements of any type of the projects that were going to be funded by the money?

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I do believe so.

And if you look at criteria, we look at infrastructure, first and foremost, sewage, water, roof repair, boilers. We look at putting back online within the parks recreational facilities that have been closed down -- you know, bathhouses, beaches, athletic fields, whatever it may be. We look at new facilities. Any new facilities are typically in partnership with our Friends group, and actually the new facilities often

1	are leveraged by private donations that are
2	made. And those are kind of the main
3	categories.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: So are you
5	intending, once there's been some selection
6	process and I assume legislators have the
7	opportunity to comment on what their
8	districts may need. Are you going to follow
9	the same process this year where there's
10	going to be a list provided as to how that
11	money is going to be used?
12	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Before there's
14	any formal press conferences and the like?
15	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: My
16	understanding is yes.
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay, thank
18	you.
19	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman
20	Englebright.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you,
22	Mr. Chairman.
23	Commissioner, how are you today?
24	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Good.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: It's 1 wonderful to see you. 2 First, I just want to say thank you 3 for your response in the Sandy crisis. Your 4 agency was heroic. That's in one word a 5 summation. 6 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: They were. 7 Everyone behind me was. 8 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Everybody 9 in the agency really deserves recognition and 10 appreciation. But especially at the 11 leadership level, you and your immediate 12 staff, thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you for 14 that acknowledgment. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: I have a 16 couple of questions. The state's Heritage 17 Area Program, it seems to me that with the 18 Path Through History initiative that there's 19 an opportunity to revitalize the relationship 20 between the Heritage Areas and your agency, 21 or at least to plan for a more active 22 integration of that program with the agency. 23 I just wonder, do you have any thoughts in 24

that direction? What are your plans or the possibilities?

Areas are our crown jewels and gems, and they're local partnerships with the localities and private groups and with the state. And they are woven into the Path Through History and part of that roadmap, and I think the Path Through History is bringing more visitation.

As well, we've encouraged all of the Heritage Areas to continue to apply for CFA grants, because they're all about economic development. And in the last two years they've received over \$5 million of grants to promote them, to fix, you know, to restore buildings, whatever it will be. And those decisions should be local and made by the local partners.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Let me shift to something again related. I think the Path Through History that the Governor has initiated is really one of the most impressive initiatives of our recent time.

And within the context of its potential, I'm just wondering if the other great parks system inside of the orbit of State Parks, which is the ZBGA orbit of parks — these are private, not-for-profit parks, but they form a parallel universe with State Parks. The relationship presently is mostly that they come to ask for money through the ZBGA program, and that's the main nature of the relationship.

I'm just wondering if you see an opportunity within the context of the Path Through History type of initiative for making a more robust connection between these zoos and botanical gardens and aquaria sites, which are parks, in the not-for-profit world with a public/private type of partnership. That already exists, but I'm just wondering if there's a way to enhance it going forward within the context of its potential for economic stimulus, tourism enhancement similar to what the Path Through History theme is about.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I think it's a

great idea. And we do have some informal partnerships. They help to advise us on environmental education --

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: And they have Sonnenberg Gardens as an interesting model.

COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Right. Right.

But to the extent that we can weave them more completely into the Path Through History and deepen and enrich that partnership, a great idea.

ASSEMBLYMAN ENGLEBRIGHT: Thank you.

Just a request, the Susan B. Anthony
House in Greenwich is languishing. If you
could just take a look at it to see if
there's a way to bring it into adaptive
reuse. It's vacant. It would seem to me
that there's an opportunity, again, to weave
it into the types of programs you've just
been discussing, and perhaps to have a guest
curator or perhaps even a business for
utilization of the site.

Again, thank you very much for all the good work. You make us proud. Thank

you. 1 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman 3 Walter. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN WALTER: Thank you very 5 much, Commissioner, for being here. 6 you, Chairman. 7 Just to follow up on some of the 8 questions that Senator DeFrancisco had 9 regarding the project list for funding for 10 the NY Works, the \$90 million, you do not 11 currently have a list of the projects that 12 you hope to fund with this \$90 million? 13 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: We do, in that 1.4 we -- the NY Works is the first 15 substantial -- the Governor has made the 16 first substantial capital in over 30 years, 17 and we're now on our third year. And some of 18 that is to finish what we've already started, 19 and then as well to go forward with other 20 21 projects. ASSEMBLYMAN WALTER: How much money 22 is left over from the previous allocations or 23 uncommitted at this point? 24

1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Actually,
2	almost all of the first year is either almost
3	spent or committed. And of the second year
4	of the \$90 million, almost all of that is
5	spent or committed. And now we move forward.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN WALTER: If I could get
7	or everyone, certainly, could get a list of
8	the projects that are already completed and
9	the ones yet to be completed based on what
10	you've already developed, that would be very
11	helpful.
12	Just real quickly, what's the status
13	of the Empire State Games?
14	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: The Empire
15	State Games are no longer associated with our
16	agency. But they were really spun off and
17	were regionalized. And there has been some
18	activity in some of the regions and not as
19	much in others. And I can get you a more
20	precise update if you'd like.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN WALTER: Okay. Thank you
22	very much.
23	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
24	Assemblyman Otis.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Hello, 1 Thank you for coming. Commissioner. 2. And I'd like to start off by thanking 3 you and thanking Governor Cuomo for the great 4 commitment of capital dollars to the state 5 parks system. As we all know, prior to the 6 Governor taking office we went through a few 7 years of tremendous neglect and really 8 starving the capital needs of the parks 9 system, and so that's been turned around. 10 And implementing and spending those dollars 11 appropriately, it's been turned around. 12 And so thank you to you and your team 13 for that great work, and to the Governor for 14 the commitment to one of the best park 15 systems in the country. We need to maintain 16 it properly. So thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER HARVEY: And I know the 18 Governor would say right back to you, thank 19 you all for your support of this. It's huge. 20 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: I had a question 21 about your -- you've had in the last few 22 years a very nice increase in the number of

park visitors, and I'm curious as to whether

23

or not staffing-level-wise you have the staffing to properly attend to the increase in visits, which has been significant. And also if you have a sense of a breakdown of where in particular parks or regions where you're seeing the increase. Is that something that I'm sure you have some sort of analysis of?

increase, just to generalize, is pretty much all throughout the state at certain flagship parks. We have, you know, the top 10.

Interestingly enough, this year on

Long Island, because of Sandy, that's down a little bit. But still, to have 60 million statewide is amazing.

And with respect to staffing, I think we're doing a great job and we're leveraging our staff. We're working with partners and Friends groups. And I feel that we're doing a very good job at providing welcoming, safe, accessible, affordable parks to all.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Thank you very much, and thank you for your great work.

r	
1	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you,
3	Commissioner.
4	Just one question for the record.
5	You remember the last time we talked, I think
6	I mentioned to you that we were discussing,
7	in my staff, the plant and the roof of the
8	park, the Holland River Park. Has anybody
9	spoken to you about the discussion to fix
10	that?
11	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: With respect to
12	the
13	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Did anybody from
14	my staff call you, or have you heard
15	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: I have not.
16	I'll call your staff.
17	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Yes. We're trying
18	to figure out the cost of adding the new cups
19	to catch the rainwater because of the problem
20	that we're getting too many mosquitos
21	downstairs in the pollution plant. I'm
22	saying that so the record will say what it
23	is.
24	And I just want to make sure I'm

1	going to reach out and find out when they're
2	going to contact you, because we're going to
3	have to add it to the budget.
4	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Okay, tell you
5	what. We'll look right into that and we'll
6	give you a number.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
8	much. And you have a good day.
9	COMMISSIONER HARVEY: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you for
11	your testimony and your service.
12	James B. Bays, first deputy
13	commissioner of the New York State Department
14	of Agriculture & Markets. And on deck is
15	John Rhodes of NYSERDA, if the on-deckers
16	could move closer to the front.
17	Whenever you're ready, you're on.
18	And you've got to push that button for the
19	red light.
20	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Good morning,
21	Chairman DeFrancisco, Chairman Farrell,
22	Senator Ritchie, Assemblyman Magee and other
23	members of the State Legislature. My name is
24	James Bays, and I serve as the first deputy

commissioner at the New York State Department of Agriculture & Markets.

On behalf of Governor Cuomo and our new Acting Commissioner Richard Ball, I'm pleased to be with you today. It's an exciting time to be working in New York's agriculture, in large part because this administration understands agriculture's importance to New York's economy, especially in upstate.

The 2014-2015 all-funds budget
proposed by the Governor for the Department
of Agriculture & Markets is \$163.6 million.
This funding allows us to fully maintain our
wide-ranging responsibilities and continues
strong support for a variety of programs
important to New York agriculture.

The Executive Budget also funds the Taste NY initiative, a joint venture between Ag & Markets and Empire State Development. This program fuses the state's agriculture sector with tourism to highlight the great variety of wine, beer, spirits and food products grown and produced here in New York.

Taste NY markets New York State

products through specialized events as well

as retail locations throughout the state,

including stores in high-traffic rest areas,

train stations and airports across New York.

We already have stores at JFK Airport,

LaGuardia, the New Baltimore and Chenango

service areas, and more will be coming in the

weeks and months ahead.

Last year Taste NY participated in more than 15 events to promote agricultural producers, including the Great New York State Fair in Syracuse, the PGA Championship in Rochester, the Saratoga Race Course, the Manhattan Cocktail Classic, the Hudson Valley Food and Wine Fest, Farm Aid, Cider Week, and the New York City Wine & Food Festival.

We've exposed hundreds of thousands if not millions of people already to the best food and beverage products our state has to offer. In the process we've increased tourism and also awareness of the Empire State of Agriculture.

'I should also note that Taste NY

complements our existing branding program, known by over 3,000 businesses across the state as the Pride of New York. Pride companies participate in taste events, their products are sold at Taste stores, and the program continues to grow.

The Executive Budget continues to support the FreshConnect farmers markets, which are helping to feed underserved and undernourished communities across the state. The Governor launched this program in 2011, and last year the state supported 24 projects. The FreshConnect program also helps to maximize consumers' purchasing power, allowing SNAP-eligible consumers to receive a \$2 incentive to purchase locally grown foods for every \$5 spent at a FreshConnect market.

The Executive Budget continues the department's work with DEC to protect the state's farmland, combat invasive species, control pollution, and conserve our water and soil.

The Executive Budget recognizes that

the Great New York State Fair is an economic driver in New York, and especially in Central New York. The department did a great job last year in bringing the fair back to its agricultural roots, and we plan to continue this in 2014. There are already plans to expend our agricultural presence at the fair by making enhancements to the barns, and to build upon the success of last year's highly successful Taste NY at the Fair initiative.

Bridging the gap between upstate agricultural producers and downstate consumers remains a challenge that the department is poised to overcome. New York is home to the city with the largest appetite in the world, and we need to make it easier for our producers to tap into this market. The Governor's new Upstate-Downstate

Food-to-Table Agriculture Summit will focus on linking our upstate agriculture industry and downstate consumers and markets in order to create a self-sustaining agricultural/commercial food system for the state.

The summit will identify strategies

1.7

that will maximize procurement of local food and beverage products by public schools and government agencies, it will increase access to fresh fruits and vegetables in underserved communities and address hunger, and it will upgrade and streamline the distribution of fresh and processed food products and beverages around the state.

To ensure that New York retains its

leadership position in dairy production,

\$21 million has been made available to help

dairy farmers produce renewable energy

through anaerobic digesters and improve their

business operations through the Dairy

Acceleration Program, which is jointly

administered by Ag & Markets and DEC.

Due to the department's efforts to identify new growth opportunities in the yogurt industry -- where New York already leads the nation -- New York is poised to expand its share of this critical market area.

To further continue our support for growing industries, Governor Cuomo will host

a second round of agricultural industry summits, one for our yogurt industry and another for farm-based beverage industry, to identify additional growth opportunities. As large as these sectors are, they still haven't reached their ceilings, which means more jobs and more opportunity for economic development upstate lies ahead.

Today, New York's 36,000 farms contribute more than \$5.3 billion in direct sales to the state's economy. We are a diverse producer of commodities ranging from cabbage and apples to milk and eggs. last year, over 600,000 cows produced more than 13.1 billion pounds of milk, and nearly 1,500 vineyards and 350 wineries produced 180 million bottles of wine. We are a top producer of agricultural products in the country -- maple, dairy, farmed-based beverages, apples, you name it. And the best part is that we still have more room for growth and our potential has never been higher.

In conclusion, the department

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

recognizes and appreciates its partnership with the Legislature. I'm confident that New York's farms will continue to grow, creating more jobs and making our state's home-grown products known across the globe. I thank you for this opportunity to be with you, and I'm pleased to entertain any questions.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. We've been joined by Assemblyman and Majority Leader Morelle.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: We've been joined by Senator Cathy Young.

And the first questioner will be Senator Ritchie, the chair of the Agriculture Committee in the Senate.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Welcome, Deputy

Commissioner. For the record, I'd like to

start off by saying that we certainly

appreciate the Governor's support of

agriculture. And the newest venture

connecting upstate and downstate together is

something that Senator Krueger and I have

talked about a number of times, connecting

1 producers in my area and upstate with the 2 New York City market. So I'm certainly 3 thrilled to see that included. 4 Just a couple of technical questions 5 to start. In the Ag & Markets budget, with 6 regard to local assistance appropriations, I 7 see that 675,000. It looks like it is an 8 increase in the Cornell Diagnostic Lab. 9 you tell me if that really is an increase or 10 it's just moved from state ops? 11 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Actually, 12 that's due to the movement of those funds 13 into the -- yeah, it's moving from the state 14 operations part of the budget to the local 15 assistance part of the budget, Senator. 16 SENATOR RITCHIE: And can you just 17 maybe elaborate on why that was moved? 18 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Really we felt 19 that it made sense to get them all in one 20 place. That was the justification. 21 SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay. And then 22 further down, as far as the Governor's 23 Taste NY, which is a wonderful program also,

it looks like there's an increase of

\$1.1 million. But was that actually moved 1 from the economic development portion of the 2 budget? 3 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: That's 4 correct. 5 SENATOR RITCHIE: I'm getting to 6 something here. 7 So in the calculations from Senate 8 Finance, it looks like there's a decrease in 9 the local assistance appropriations by 2.9. 10 But when you add back in that the money for 11 the Taste NY is actually a decrease overall 12 and that the 675,000 was shifted from another 13 location, it looks like there might have been 14 almost a \$4 million decrease in local 15 assistance appropriations. 16 And I can just tell you, in my 17 position as chair I hear from so many of the 18 local farmers how these programs that have 19 been decreased are really the lifeline for 20 them, whether you're a maple producer or the 21 increased money for the Wine and Grape 22 Foundation. And I know the Governor is a 23

24

huge supporter of agriculture. So I'm just

wondering if maybe you could shed some light on why there's a decrease in those lines.

Budget maintains those levels as proposed a year ago, Senator. We recognize that this is just a first attempt here, and we recognize the Legislature has a role in looking at those budgets. And so we're certainly willing to entertain any thoughts that you might have with regard to any of those programs.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Well, in the years that I've been here, when we've come back, the Governor has always been certainly supportive in entertaining the suggestions by the Legislature.

as, say, a program like Farm Viability, which we touched on last year, is that something that your agency sees worth in and would support an increase in funding? Or is there maybe a different direction that the department sees with regards to Farm Viability as one?

raim viability as one

Certainly. Ι FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: would suggest that the relationship with the Farm Viability Institute and the board is better than ever. We did work closely with them throughout this year on a number of occasions. Our efforts really were simply to try to assist the board in becoming more effective, and really that's it. We want to do whatever we can do to provide some incentives. And it's our intent to continue to work very closely. I know they're also developing a strategic plan, which we think is a very good move. So we embrace the Farm Viability Institute and we'll continue to work closely with them.

SENATOR RITCHIE: That's great. Just a couple of other questions. I do hear from some of the groups that get the funding that by the time it goes through each step, when they get the money sometimes it's far past when it would be the best time to get it for research or whatever they're using it for.

I'm assuming DOB has some part in that.

Can you see any way to expedite the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 money that's put in the budget so it gets to, 2 say, the maple producers sooner than it is 3 Can you see any way to expedite that? FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: 4 You make a 5 very good point. And it is a bit frustrating 6 from time to time for us; we'd like to get 7 those monies to the producers or to the entities as quickly as we can. 9 Obviously we have a balance there. 10 We have to do our due diligence with these 11 applications to make sure that there's 12 integrity. But yes, we're very interested in 13 trying to try to streamline whatever we can 14 to get these monies to the recipients sooner. 15 So we share your concern on that, Senator. 16 SENATOR RITCHIE: And one final 17 question, and then I have a comment. 18 Because of the age of farmers in 19 New York State, and it's now approaching the 20 average of 56, that's a real concern for the 21 industry. Do you have any ideas of what we 22 could be doing, working together, to try to

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS:

entice more younger farmers into agriculture?

Certainly the

23

programs, the Ag in the Classroom and the various things that Cornell has done with the Ag Education Program through the years, has been very important. I also would think that the proposal in the Executive Budget to raise the level of exemption on the estate taxes will help with the succession planning that various farms are having as well.

clearly it's a concern that we all share. It's very difficult to get involved in farming based on the investment requirements. So I don't have any personal sense as to exactly what we could do, but we share your concern and we want to do the things we can do as an agency to try to support those programs that would bring more students into the fold and to get them prepared to operate a business. And that's really what we're talking about here, a very complicated and yet still physical business, Senator. So we share your concern as well.

SENATOR RITCHIE: And just to add on that, we were certainly thrilled, anyone that I've talked to from the agriculture industry,

that the Governor included that.

The reduction in the estate tax was something that the Senate included in their plan last year. And that just is going to bring, I think, the issue to the forefront.

When you have family members coming in saying that someone has passed away and they're going to have to sell off part of their farm in order to pay the estate tax, that's not the right thing to help encourage continuing generations to stay on the land. So that's a wonderful thing that the Governor has proposed, and I look forward to making sure that makes its way through in the end.

And just as a final comment, I'm certainly glad to see you here again, look forward to working with the department. I had the opportunity to speak with the acting commissioner who will be coming shortly for confirmation, commend the Governor on his nomination. Richard Ball will be, I think, a great asset to the department, someone that I first got to meet during the flooding in Schoharie. And he's a farmer, he knows the

1.1

1	business. And I think the Legislature and
2	your department will be able to do good
3	things together.
4	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Thank you,
5	Senator. I absolutely concur. We have a
6	wonderful, I think, staff at the
7	commissioner's level. I'm one of three
8	farmers. I was happy to have another farmer
9	come into the seat. We think that sends a
10	strong message to the industry. We're
11	equally as excited about Richard's arrival.
12	Thank you.
13	SENATOR RITCHIE: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very
15	much.
16	Assemblyman Magee, chair of the
17	Agriculture Committee.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Thank you.
19	Welcome, Commissioner.
20	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Thank you,
21	Bill.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Yes, thank you,
23	Commissioner, for coming and talking or
24	giving us some facts on the budget the

Governor has proposed.

There's a couple of things that -- I don't know whether you talk about transfer of farms from one generation to another and so on. And one of the programs that's being cut rather significantly I think helped do that, and that's the FarmNet. And the Governor has proposed to reduce it by \$200,000. Do you think the program is still going to be able to do what it's been doing? And it has been doing a lot of good things.

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I do, I do believe it will. Assemblyman. We feel very strongly about those programs. are a number of programs that I think are important. Again, the Executive Budget is what it is. We recognize that you folks will have an opportunity to make changes in the budget, depending upon where you'd like to But yes, I believe those programs are essential, like PRO-Dairy and FarmNet and the other programs that we have through the Local Assistance Program budget.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Okay. And in the

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	funding this year, was did it result in
2	any reduction in staff?
3	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: No. No,
4	actually the proposed fill level for the
5	department is 487, which is right on par with
6	where we ended up last year. So no.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: And in the area
8	of and this is a program that's been
9	around for a long time, the Rabies Program.
10	In the area of the Rabies Program there's
11	been a significant cut proposed for that. I
12	wonder if you have any comments on that.
13	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Fifty thousand
14	dollars is proposed to be in the rabies part
15	of the budget, Assemblyman.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Right. Reduced
17	by 200,000, though, from what it was.
18	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I think that's
19	the same as was proposed in the Executive
20	Budget last year.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Have you looked
22	at that to see what impact it might have on
23	rabies in the state?
24	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: We will. We

will. 1 2 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Another one that 3 I think of in terms of agriculture programs 4 and farming is a coming back of the 5 hops-growing in New York. Has the department 6 been involved at all with that? 7 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: We have. We've been involved I think since the very 8 9 first moment. And I believe there's \$40,000 10 in the budget to help evaluate and test hop 11 varieties in concert with, I think, the 12 experiment station. And we want to do 13 whatever we can do to increase the 14 availability of hops. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: And earlier the 16 Senator mentioned something about Farm 17 Viability. And there has been a significant 18 reduction in that program, but you still think it's going to be able to function and 19 20 do what it's been doing? 21 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I do, 22 Assemblyman. I feel very strongly that 23 the -- I think this past year we've had some

Our discussions

very productive discussions.

were simply to try to get the board to develop a strategic plan to look at perhaps other funding streams to see if we couldn't perhaps attract some private dollars or some more federal dollars into that program. But we believe that there's some real opportunities on the private side as well, and that was a big part of the discussions that we had with the Farm Viability Board.

mentioned and talked about quite a bit, so that is -- basically we think it's working, doing what we want to accomplish. And one thing that you also spoke about in your comments was the State Fair. And I think it's just terrific that we're bringing agriculture back to the fair, because it is an agricultural fair.

And local county fairs, does the department get involved with them at all?

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: The local fairs? We do. We do indeed. In fact, they're on our website. We make sure that we put something on our website so that folks

1 can reach in, get the dates, find out what 2 exactly is happening at that fair or proposed 3 to happen at that fair. We get involved in 4 some of the premium work and sit as an 5 advocate for, counsel to the local fairs. 6 And another ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: 7 thing, the Governor has proposed increasing 8 the number of months for food inspections 9 from 12 to 24. Do you feel that once 10 every -- after 24 months is adequate? 11 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: The proposal, 12 Assemblyman, is one that we think will help 13 us to do a better job of inspections. 14 the proposal is for us to move to an 15 every-two-year inspection cycle for the 16 low-risk entities that exist, and that way 17 that will free us up a little bit more to 18 tune in, to focus more on those higher-risk 19 facilities. 20 So yes, we think that's an important 21 component of the budget to be able to do 22 that. 23 ASSEMBLYMAN MAGEE: Okay, I quess 24 that's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 1 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 2 Savino. 3 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator 4 DeFrancisco. 5 Thank you, Deputy Commissioner, for 6 your testimony. 7 I just want to focus briefly on -- I 8 like the way you artfully put this, that 9 New York is home to the city with the largest 10 appetite in the world and we need to make it 11 easier. We definitely do. I'm assuming the 12 city that you're referring to is the City of 13 New York. Yes, we do have probably the 14 largest appetite. We also have the largest 15 food redistribution center I think in the 16 country, some say in the world, at Hunts 17 Point. 18 And I notice that in your testimony 19 you're talking about a summit, an Upstate-20 Downstate Food-to-Table Agricultural Summit, 21 to figure out how do we get some of that 22 produce that's grown right here in New York 23

down to the city with largest appetite.

And this is a problem that's existed for quite a while. So I'm curious as to have we figured out that part of the problem is the incredibly high cost of transportation and how far trucks have to go. And what can we do about that? Do we need a summit?

Can't we come up with some sort of a transportation credit for our farmers where we can give them something in exchange so that it doesn't cost them so much to bring their products to our hungry New York City residents?

might well be one of the considerations that we would look at. One of the very positive things that we see is the arrival of the new commissioner. Commissioner-Nominee Ball is a farmer from Schoharie who is currently involved in this. He moves some of his product into the city. So we think with his good thinking and his leadership we can find our ways.

But we need to look at all the various options that are out there for us to

Kirkland Reporting Service

create that link. It's not an easy thing.
We're talking about a perishable commodity,
obviously. And so we need to look at the
entire waterfront in terms of overcoming that
obstacle that exists.

SENATOR SAVINO: Well, I've actually met the new Commissioner Ball. I went to his farm recently, in November, and it is an amazing operation. And for those of you who haven't been there and you can get to it in Schoharie, I suggest you go there. Because they not only have a great farm, they have a great restaurant, and he has four daughters who are wonderful cooks.

That being said, I believe we really do need to find a way to focus on how we use alternative methods other than trucks. If we can put them on barges, if we can put goods on rail, we should start to move in that direction.

Senator Krueger represents Manhattan, and she will tell you that one of the largest Green Markets in the city is at Union Square.

If you walk around that Green Market, there

are almost no New York State farmers there.

They're coming from Pennsylvania, they're coming from Connecticut, they're coming from New Jersey. I represent Staten Island; of course we're always at war with New Jersey, you know, competing for business. Many ways, not always over the bridge.

(Laughter.)

getting our produce coming from our own
farmers in a state where agriculture is our
largest business. And that's a real shame,
and it's a failure. And there's such a
demand for it. We've got to do better. And
I hope that the summit provides real
solutions, not just window dressing and, you
know, a nice banner for the event.

So I look forward to working with you guys on that, because it really is critically important that we close that gap. I'd like to see the day when Hunts Point -- and by the way, Hunts Point needs some work. It's outdated, and there's a lot of investment that should go into it. But I'd love to see

the day where Hunts Point has all New York 1 agricultural products and just a little bit 2 from Jersey. Thank you. 3 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I concur. And 4 thank you, Senator. 5 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 7 Krueger. 8 Thank you. Good SENATOR KRUEGER: 9 morning. Or afternoon, sorry. 10 I actually am piggybacking on the 11 points that my colleague Senator Savino just 12 I have long been an advocate for 13 trying to come up with new ways to get .New 14 York State agriculture into New York City 15 mouths. And since she finished with Hunts 16 Point, let me point out that for years now 17 some of us have been trying to work with the 18 state and the city, not just to modernize the 19 20 entire Hunts Point produce market, the primary Terminal Market, but to establish 21 either within that new market or, I would 22 argue, even in the parking lot of the fish

market right next door, a wholesale market

23

for New York State product, the way that farmer's markets are a retail mechanism for moving farmers' products to citizens in New York City.

And she's right, we need to make sure more New York farmers are at these farmer's If they could figure out to how to markets. wholesale their product -- and I would argue Hunts Point is the best place to do so. Because if you are a small to medium-sized food market, if you are one of thousands of restaurants that are interested in local foods, you are already going to the Hunts Point section of the South Bronx multiple times a week, usually starting at 2 in the morning, to buy everything else you use in your restaurant. And I would argue that New York State could do so much if there was a wholesale center that was the Pride of New York wholesale location.

And then we need to ensure that farmers do have a mechanism where they can perhaps piggyback their transportation or co-op effort their food down to Hunts Point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I'm curious, do you have any new plans for moving this forward? We've been talking about it forever.

augmenting the FreshConnect program is something that we would love to do. I think you've outlined a number of possibilities, taking advantage of transportation networks, merging some of those efforts. But we're going to look at it top to bottom. The summit is designed to do exactly that. Let's take a full accounting of what's in the way of making this happen and to do everything we can do as a department to streamline, to expedite and to link. So I think that's exactly the intent of the summit, Senator.

SENATOR KRUEGER: But I wondered looking at how short-term and long-term we could absolutely increase wholesale distribution right there in Hunts Point.

Oddly, as a Senator from New York City, I started working on the Hunts Point market in 1983 and was there again this weekend with Senator Gillibrand. There's so much

potential for what we can do.

And following up also with the summit or with economic development, New York City's school system is the third-largest purchaser of institutional food in the country. It serves 800,000 meals per day; hopefully, with the new program for universal lunch, soon 900,000 meals per day. We already successfully got into federal legislation language that allowed school districts to purchase local foods or an advantage to purchasing local foods. And I know the New York City school system has already switched to purchasing all their milk and I believe all their apple products from New York State farmers.

I think that Ag & Markets needs to look very seriously at how it can support targeted processing of New York State foods to meet the specs of the federal breakfast and lunch program. Because the potential for school meals, not just in the City of New York but throughout the state, to actually buy New York State products as

opposed to products from other parts of the country, the potential is enormous. It has to be hundreds of millions of dollars a year. But New York State farmers have to be able to provide the products in the standard specs that the feds require.

So I'm wondering, does your agency have any plans to work on that?

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: The idea of trying to better direct fresh produce into institutions -- schools, prisons, higher education -- is something that we feel very strongly about. We have taken some measures already in that direction. We've begun to inventory where those entities are.

It clearly is on the screen for us,
Senator, and something that we will be
focusing on this year. Obviously it's an
important component of the upstate-downstate
summit. And these are the kinds of things it
seems to me that we'll be talking about and
hoping to come to some consensus around,
moving forward.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And I would just

urge you to be at the table, so to speak,
when the new tax credits and incentives for
manufacturing and for being near campuses are
implemented. Because it's not just being
able to move product farm-to-table, but also
the kinds of processed products that
institutional food programs purchase.

I mean, the concept that we are buying products from California that have been processed and frozen there and training them into New York to sell them to our school food system and other institutions -- we should be able to do that with New York product. But that requires really evaluating what kinds of new processing plants we may need to create or support in order to move that food more effectively into institutional food programs.

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Yes. Again, totally concur. I think we share the same frustration. And we need to find a way. And we will certainly be zeroing in on that, Senator. It's a frustration to a number of us in the department.

1	SENATOR KRUEGER: And I will happily
2	continue to work with my colleague
3	Senator Ritchie to see if we can accomplish
4	these things, because I think we have a very
5	long list of things that can work. And it's
6	a win/win for everyone.
7	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: We look
8	forward to working with you on these issues,
9	absolutely.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Otis.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: I have a follow-up
14	question on Assemblyman Magee's question
15	about the inspections.
16	Are the numbers of inspections
17	. anticipated in the course of a year going to
18	stay the same? Will the number of inspectors
19	on staff remain the same?
20	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Certainly
21	there will be no decrease in the number of
22	inspectors. We have, I think, about 83,
23	84 inspectors. Those numbers would certainly
24	not decrease.

Yes, we think we can do a better job
by moving to a more risk-based program or
protocol here. There are lower-risk
facilities that we think will be fine if we
go in there every couple of years. There are
others that absolutely really need to be
visited on an annual basis.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: And does your department inspect statewide? Or where there are county or city health inspection programs, do you not play a role? How does that work?

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: We inspect statewide, Assemblyman.

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: So in some cases there are local inspectors who are also inspecting in addition to what you do? I'm in Westchester County; they do health inspections to food establishments as well.

restaurants, yes. We generally have the bodegas and the grocery stores and those kinds of facilities. So there is a bit of a duality there, but that's where it really

11.

1.4

splits. 1 ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Okay, thank you 2 very much. 3 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: You're 4 welcome. 5 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 6 7 Tkaczyk. I'll be brief. Ι SENATOR TKACZYK: 8 just wanted to let you know how delighted I 9 am that Richard Ball is now acting 10 commissioner at the department. He's a 11 neighbor of mine, and he's a phenomenal 12 farmer and very visionary and has been 13 intimately involved in connecting our 14 produce, our local farms with schools and 15 with New York City. 16 And he was a key person in helping 17 the Corbin Hill project to be successful; I 18 don't know if we mentioned it while you were 19 here. But his farm is the hub to bring 20 20 farmers in the area, and they send a 21 tractor-trailer-load of produce to the South 22 Bronx every week. And there are models like 23

that that -- what he said at the committee

should be replicated nationwide. And I think there's other models like that. And I'm very supportive of the conversation we're having to connect producers with consumers.

But I wanted to also put in a plug for how important it is to make sure that farms and farmers have the research available to help them understand what crops grow well, what pests might be coming up. And there are critical research facilities in Geneva and in the Hudson Valley, which I represent, that are so important to the farmers. And I will tell you, I can't talk to a farmer without them saying "And don't close down my research facility that helps me understand what's going on with the apple season and how best to provide that productive apple crop."

so I think that's really important to make sure that those research components are there for the farmers when we want them to expand. Like the brewery industry, we want them to grow hops and grow barley. Well, it's hard for them to do the research plots to figure out which barley is the best for

beer and how it grows in these different regions. So we really definitely need that research support.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.

Senator Young.

1.5

1.7

SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. And I just have one quick question -- welcome -- today.

It's my understanding, from what I've been reading, that on the federal level there's a bipartisan agreement on the Farm Bill that has several changes to how agriculture is dealt with. For example, I think there's an elimination of the MILC program to help dairy farmers, but they have a substitute program to offset the loss of the MILC program.

I know it's early and I know you probably haven't done any kind of in-depth analysis yet about the agreement. But I was wondering, is that something that the department will issue a report on upcoming?

Or do you just keep tabs on it? Because I'd

1	like to get your analysis of how it affects
2	agriculture in New York State.
3	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Yes, Senator,
4	we will look in-depth at the Farm Bill.
5	It's, as you probably know, a thousand-page
6	document. We have taken a cursory look at
7	it, an examination, but we're not through
8	that analysis. But we too are concerned
9	about the if in fact the MILC provision is
10	not in the bill, that is a concern of ours
11	for sure.
12	And yes, we will be issuing a
13	response to the farm bill as proposed. I
14	think today is a fairly important day in
15	Washington around the Farm Bill. But we've
16	not been by any means finished our analysis
17	of the Farm Bill.
18	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you very much.
19	I look forward to what you find out.
20	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Very good.
21	Thank you, Senator.
22	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
23	Very quickly, there's \$1.1 million
24	included in the budget for the Taste NY

program. Has there been any discussion or 1 are there any plans right now as to how to 2 use it or what programs or what events are 3 planned? 4 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I would 5 suspect, Senator, that we'll do -- obviously 6 we'll be again in a prominent way at the 7 State Fair. I would imagine that we'll be at 8 some of the other higher-priority public 9 events so that we can continue to promote the 10 product. 11 Part of those monies will be in 12 support of the various retail stores that we 13 have on the Thruway and at JFK and LaGuardia 14 and I believe at Islip as well, we're there 15 at Islip. So some of those monies will go 16 for staff support and signage and for the 17 other components of the program. 18 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And some will 19 go for events, I assume, special events? 20 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Absolutely. 21 22 Yes. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Are there any 23

on the horizon that you think you might be

1 considering? FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: I'd have to 2 3 get back to you, Senator. I'm not sure 4 what's right on the horizon. 5 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay, that 6 would be fine. 7 Speaking of the State Fair, are we 8 going to have a director, one that will stay more than a year? 10 FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Let's hope so. 11 Yes, I'm confident we will. The Governor, of 1.2 course, kicked off a search. We had just 13 short of 60 applications from a national 14 pool. A very impressive group, I might add. 15 That has been pared down now to I believe 16 three finalists. Those interviews are 17 ongoing. And I would think soon, the undefined "soon," we will have a new State 18 19 Fair director. 20 Let me say that a premium is being 21 put on venue management experience for a new 22 State Fair director, and I think that's a 23 very positive development.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Because it

happens to be in my Senate district, but I've been going to the fair since I was a kid.

And usually it's someone who's there -- the director's usually there for four, eight years, or sometimes more. And it seems like every year we've got a new State Fair director.

And the attendance has been going down, and that isn't only because of weather, believe me. There was some great weather this year. And we need some stability there because it's a great venue for agriculture, as you've all mentioned, and just for people to have some fun.

FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: You're absolutely right. It's the crossroads of 90 and 81, it's right in the heart of Central New York.

Actually, attendance was up 5,000 this year from last, but we did experience nine days of rain out of 12. We do think that had a little bit of an impact as well.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: But then some of the acts were canceled at the main

Kirkland Reporting Service

1	performing center. I mean, it just seemed
2	like it was not done professionally.
3	So with that said, as I say, you'd
4	have some fun there. Because we all deserve
5	some fun nowadays, every so often.
6	So I appreciate it. Thank you for
7	testifying. Thank you for your service.
8	FIRST DEP. CMR. BAYS: Absolutely.
9	Thank you so much. Thank you, everyone.
10	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next
11	speaker is John Rhodes, president and CEO of
12	NYSERDA.
13	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Good
14	afternoon.
15	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Good
16	afternoon. You no doubt know your business
17	like the back of your hand, and I know that
18	you don't need to read a single-spaced
19	four-page writing without expressing your
20	true thoughts and feelings about this job.
21	Am I correct?
22	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Is my
23	microphone on?
24	(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. 16

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Do what you But thank you. choose.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: afternoon, Chairman DeFrancisco, Chairman Farrell, and members of the committees. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you today. I am John Rhodes, and I serve as the president and CEO of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, NYSERDA.

NYSERDA is a public benefit corporation that plays an important role in helping the state meet its goals of reducing energy consumption, promoting energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources, and protecting the environment. part of its central mission, NYSERDA collaborates with many stakeholders, including business, academia, public interest groups, the environmental community, energy producers and consumers, and communities at NYSERDA is heavily involved in energy innovation and in business and economic development, supporting the growth and

deployment of innovative clean energy products and technology. Our programs are funded principally through the System Benefits Charge and the Renewable Portfolio Standard, which are assessments on gas and electric bills.

To support our work, the Executive's budget proposal for NYSERDA includes \$16.2 million for energy research and development programs, including funding for our competitive R&D grant program. This is the same amount that was included in last year's budget.

Since NYSERDA's inception, our professional staff has provided expertise in research and development and an ability to successfully reduce energy consumption across all sectors, through our nationally acclaimed programs. These programs have provided cost savings to consumers and helped to make businesses more sustainable, while at the same time reducing the impact on the environment and encouraging economic development.

NYSERDA is also playing a key role in helping the state become more resilient to natural disasters, which are now occurring more frequently and with greater intensity.

Governor Cuomo's Fuel NY initiative is a comprehensive solution to ensure that the state is better positioned in the future to maintain access to fuel while the industry recovers from a temporary disruption caused by storms or disruptions otherwise resulting in extended and widespread power outages.

As part of Fuel NY, the Gas Station

Back-Up Power Program and the Portable

Emergency Generator Program are designed to

help ensure that first responders, essential

personnel, residents, and other motorists

have access to fuel in a declared energy or

fuel supply emergency.

Currently designed to serve the

downstate New York retail market, the

Gas Station Back-Up Power Program allows gas

station operators to apply to NYSERDA for

grants to help pay for the wiring necessary

to accept portable emergency generators and

for installation of permanent back-up generators. Through 2013, the Gas Station Back-Up Power Program issued grant contracts to more than 300 gas stations. We expect a steady increase in 2014 as we continue outreach in the downstate area and expand the program to include upstate New York, as proposed in the Governor's Executive Budget.

Another component of the Fuel NY program is the creation of the nation's first state-based Strategic Gasoline Reserve, which was designed to create a physical reserve in Long Island of approximately 3 million gallons of gasoline. Upon declaration of an energy or fuel supply emergency, this fuel could be released to meet a gap in supplies serving the general retail market or the first responder community on Long Island or neighboring regions. Like the Gas Station Back-Up Power Program, this initiative will also be expanded to serve upstate emergency responders.

In 2014, NYSERDA will be launching the NY Prize initiative to fund innovative

community microgrids. These new energy systems will combine clean distributed energy resources with microgrid technology that can allow communities to operate as an "energy island" in the event of a power outage.

In addition to NYSERDA's role in the state's storm recovery, we have continued our core mission work of developing and delivering programs that are making

New York's energy more efficient and cleaner.

Here are a few highlights of our work.

NYSERDA's Home Performance with

ENERGY STAR Program reached a major milestone
this year with the completion of the
program's 50,000th project at a Rochester
area home.

NYSERDA Clean Energy Incubators have
leveraged \$96.5 million in private
investment, along with \$41.3 million in
external federal funding, to advance start-up
companies in New York. We expect the number
of clean-energy start-up companies to reach
155 in 2014 with the assistance of NYSERDA's
Proof-of Concept Centers program.

1.4

As part of Green Jobs-Green New York, over 46,000 energy audits have been conducted across the state, and a total of 4,507 loans have been closed, valued at \$43.6 million.

This number includes 1,289 On-Bill Recovery Financing Program loans valued at \$13.7 million.

Under the NY-Sun Initiative, statewide efforts in 2012 led to the successful installation of more than 58 megawatts of solar panel capacity in the state, which nearly doubled the amount of customer-sited solar installed in 2011. A combined total of 299 megawatts of solar has been installed or is under development as part of NY-Sun. NYSERDA has petitioned the Public Service Commission to expand and improve the program to install 3,000 megawatts of solar by 2023.

Last year the Governor introduced the \$1 billion NY Green Bank initiative in his State of the State address as the financial engine that will mobilize private investment to build a more cost-effective, resilient,

and clean energy economy in New York.

The NY Green Bank will partner with private-sector institutions by providing financial products such as credit enhancement, loan loss reserves, and loan bundling to support securitization and build secondary markets. These financial products will support economically viable clean-energy projects that cannot today access financing due to market barriers, such as federal policy uncertainty, insufficient performance data, and the lack of publicly traded capital markets for clean energy.

The NY Green Bank is a costeffective, powerful and complementary
addition to New York's existing portfolio of
clean-energy support programs, which NYSERDA
believes can provide unique value that
current programs alone cannot deliver.

In 2013, the NY Green Bank launched with an initial capitalization of \$218.5 million. Initial funding combines \$165 million reallocated from other programs approved by the PSC and \$52.9 million from

the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, RGGI.

The NY Green Bank is expected to open for business and offer its first financial products early in 2014.

Under Governor Cuomo's leadership, New York and NYSERDA continue to be recognized for supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy. This year, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency bestowed on NYSERDA its highest honor, the Sustained Excellence Award. In addition, the American Council for an Energy-Efficient economy recognized NYSERDA with its Exemplary Program awards for our On-Bill Recovery Financing Program, Existing Facilities Program, and New Construction Program. The Bond Buyer, a leading finance publication, recognized NYSERDA and the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation, under the leadership of Matt Driscoll, with the "Deal of the Year for Small Issuer Financing" for our multimillion-dollar bond issuance for energy efficiency financing.

This concludes my opening remarks,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and I would be happy to take any questions 1 that you may have. 2 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 3 Savino. 4 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Senator 5 DeFrancisco. 6 And thank you for your testimony. 7 I want to focus a bit on the gas 8 station backup plan. I represent Staten 9 Island and South Brooklyn, two of the areas 10 that were incredibly hard-hit by Sandy, and 11 so I witnessed the hours and days that people 12 waited on line to purchase gas. And I know 13 that out of that came this idea that if --14 one of the problems we realized was that many 15 of the gas stations didn't have electricity. 16 If you don't have electricity, you can't pump 17 If you can't pump gas, you can't sell gas. 18 19 it. But I think the proposal is somewhat 20 shortsighted because it only focuses on the 21 end user in many respects, not the pipeline 22 of gas. So what we saw during Sandy was not 23

24

just that gas stations didn't have power and

couldn't pump the gas that they had in their tanks, but that once they ran out there was no way to replenish them because the first thing that happens in the storm is they sent the tankers back out to sea because you didn't want them in the terminal because it's safer out at sea.

So if you don't have anything at the terminal, you don't have anything to get on the truck. If you don't have anything to get on the truck, you can't get it to the gas station. If you can't get it to the gas station, what are we going to do with the generators?

The other problem that we've found from speaking to the service station dealers is the majority of service station dealers don't own the stations, they lease the property from petroleum companies. They also don't own the gas. They get paid a commission, 6 cents per gallon for every gallon of gas they pump.

So the question is why are we requiring the service station dealer, who

1.3

doesn't own the service station, doesn't own the gas, to purchase and maintain a generator to pump out a product that he doesn't own? Wouldn't it make more sense to address the pipeline problem, number one? And I think maybe the reserve will help with that, you know, setting aside that 3 million gallon reserve.

But why not require the petroleum dealers, who own the gas, to provide the stations a generator to pump out their product? And that was a long question, so take your time.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: And I appreciate the length of question but also the urgency of the question.

Our studies, and I'd be happy to share the information with you, was that the principal problem after Sandy was the lack of power at the gas stations, that in fact the availability of supply to the gas stations recovered relatively quickly and the long outages were due to the lack of power at the stations. As I said, I'd be happy to have my

staff share that work with your staff.

My understanding of the program is
that it was designed to provide at the gas
station the means of providing the power to
run the pumps and particularly to allow
motorists, especially along evacuation
routes, to have the means of egress that they
needed. I'm not sure what the particulars
are about why that mandate was imposed in
quite the way it was. But from a
preparedness point of view, it's certainly
true that you want -- it's our belief that
what you want is power at the gas stations.

SENATOR SAVINO: That might be true.

However, again, you didn't answer the bigger question. Which is the service station dealers don't own these properties, someone else does.

So what I'm suggesting is two things.

One, we're proposing a solution -- or it's a partial solution, because only some gas stations are going to be required to have generators, the ones that are determined to be on an evacuation route. Not all of them.

So you could conceivably have a power outage across, let's say, all of Staten Island -I'll just use my own little corner of the world.

All of Staten Island is without power. We have multiple service stations on Staten Island, but some of them will be designated as being on an evacuation route. Some of them won't. So some of them will have full tanks in the ground with no electricity and can't pump them out. Some of them will be determined to be on an evacuation route and necessary, so they'll have generators that they're required to pay for to pump out a product that they don't own. But once they run out, we'll have gas available in other parts of the island and no way to pump it out.

So what I'm suggesting is perhaps we don't close the door on this, because I don't believe we have an adequate solution. I think we still have to address the fact that we may wind up with gas that's not available because it can't get to the terminal. If it

23.

2.

can't get to the terminal, it can't get to a truck.

Trucks also, in this business, they have a set schedule that they're not allowed to deviate from just because a particular gas station runs out. So I'm not sure if your plan addresses that, but it needs to.

So the only suggestion I have, with the minute and a half I have left, is that this plan isn't quite done. We need to do something about making sure we have access to fuel. We also need to make sure people aren't going out to get gas just because they want it. I had people parked in front of my home, which was a mile and a half away from the closest gas station, overnight for no reason whatsoever. They weren't going anywhere. So we need to address that issue as well.

But I think we need to sit down with the petroleum dealers, with the independent service station dealers, the franchise dealers, and come up with a solution that really makes sense so that we have access to

fuel when we need it, that first responders 1 have access to it, and that we're not asking 2 small businesses to take on a loan or a grant 3 to purchase a piece of property that's not 4 theirs to begin with. That's my only 5 suggestion. 6 And I look forward to working with 7 you on this, because I think it's kind of 8 half-cooked. 9 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Well, let 10 me endorse the sense of urgency that you 11 bring to the issue. Of course we share it. 12 The community, the recipients of assistance 13 that you're concerned about are precisely the 14 And we ones that we are concerned about. 15 absolutely will not close the door on 16 reviewing the program to make sure that it is 17 as effective as possible for this critically 18 important mission. Thank you. 19 SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Assemblyman Oaks. 21 Thank you, ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: 22 Chairman. 23

24

Just a couple of questions, one on

1 the Governor's Energy Highway, just the 2 latest information on that. Are there 3 current projections of when, you know, we 4 might see progress on that? 5 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: The Energy 6 Highway? Well, NYSERDA has very specific 7 responsibilities in connection with the 8 Energy Highway. We have a certain 9 responsibility for conducting studies and 10 supporting collaborative research. 11 But I can assemble the broader answer 12 to your question and get it to your office 13 from other agencies that are involved in the 14 State Energy Highway. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you on that. 16 I know that Vice President Biden was 17 here recently and talked with the Governor, 18 and they talked about strengthening the 19 electrical grid in the state and modernizing 20 Is that incorporated at all within 21 this year's budget? 22 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: There are 23. activities that we are taking to do so this

year, and they are incorporated in the

different agency budgets that are involved.

In addition, the State Energy Plan, which was just released for public comment, anticipates further work on this agenda as we go forward. So some items are in this year's budgets of the different agencies, but this is an undertaking that's going to proceed over several years.

ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: There was just some discussion on the prewiring in the generators for gas stations and whatever, and I know that some of that effort has taken place downstate. I represent some of the upstate areas, and I know there is now further discussion on moving forward to cover some of that area as well.

Along with that, I know there was some discussion about perhaps NYSERDA gaining possession of generators and renting, or a process of doing that. Have we made any progress on that, or where is the standing of that?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: With respect to downstate, the program that we are

24 respect to down

1	in the process of implementing is to prepare
2	around 1100 gas stations downstate to accept
3	generators and then to organize and contract
4	for a pool of quickly deployable backup
5	generators that could be dispatched to those
6	prewired gas stations that are most affected
7	by the emergency that we are then responding
8	to. And that process is underway in terms of
9	contracting for those services and support.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: They're not
11	purchased at this point, but the process in
12	working toward that is?
13	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: The process
14	is working towards a model where a firm would
15	undertake to deploy the generators as needed,
16	
	where needed, into the prewired,
17	where needed, into the prewired, ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a
17 18	
	ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a
18	ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a leased basis.
18 19	ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a leased basis. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you.
18 19 20	ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a leased basis. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Pat
18 19 20 21	ready-to-receive-them sites on effectively a leased basis. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS: Thank you. SENATOR KRUEGER: Senator Pat Ritchie.

within 90 days by NYSERDA. And I know that there is a problem, we had a hearing in Watertown and a number of farmers testified that they're awarded the grant, they make the investment, and then it sometimes has been taking them a year to get payment from NYSERDA. Sometimes the farm is not able to keep that debt until they get payment from NYSERDA.

So can you tell me why that is taking place and what can be done to rectify it?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Thank you for the question. I share your sense of urgency about this pattern. And we are working, we are developing plans for speeding up exactly this kind of issue as we speak.

I'd like to perhaps get back to you with more information about specifically where we are on those programs with respect to the farming community.

I absolutely endorse the impatience and frustration that you express.

SENATOR RITCHIE: And when you're talking about a proposal, are you talking

Because 1 about maybe fast-tracking that? 2 there are farmers out there right now who are 3 still waiting for payment. So I don't know if -- are we talking about having something 4 together and trying to move forward quickly, 5 or is this going to be a lengthy process? 6 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: I will need 7 to look into that, but I imagine that we will 8 9 be looking at the full range of 10 possibilities, including expediting what we 11 can expedite and fixing the system so that it 12 is faster in the future. 13 SENATOR RITCHIE: And I just have one 14 last question. 15 Three-phase power is needed now for 16 dairy operations but also for digesters. 17 you tell me what NYSERDA is doing to make 18 themselves helpful to those farmers who are 19 trying to make such big investments in their 20 farm operations? 21 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: In the 22 anaerobic digesters? 23 SENATOR RITCHIE: Yes. And also when 24 they're upgrading their milking parlors.

Most of them are waiting now for three-phase power, and when they hear back from the utility the large costs associated with them, they can't expand.

And I know the Governor is certainly interested in expanding operations. But if they can't afford to upgrade to three-phase power, then that's something that we should be looking at. I'm just wondering what is NYSERDA doing to help the problem.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Let me get back to you on the broader question of what we are doing to help farmers.

With respect to the anaerobic digesters themselves, NYSERDA has an active program both to assist with the investment costs of the anaerobic digester equipment and installation as well with the costs associated with connecting to the grid.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Do you just know basically what percentage of the cost of a digester NYSERDA might be helping with?

Because it sounds like we're talking about maybe a million-dollar investment. And are

1	we talking that NYSERDA may have a 5 percent
2	investment in it, or are we looking to have a
3	realistic pot of money available to actually
4	help a farmer?
5	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: I think the
6	pots of money are quite, in your words,
7	realistic. I believe the cap is I think
8	\$2 million per project. So I think that is,
9	for most projects, quite a significant
10	contribution and improvement of the
11	economics.
12	SENATOR RITCHIE: Do you know what
13	percentage of projects are funded?
14	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Project
15	applications that have come in?
16	SENATOR RITCHIE: Yes.
17	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: I would
18	have to get back to you on that. I believe
19	we are I know we are funding all projects
20	that seem fundable to us. And I know we are
21	about to open another round of solicitations.
22	SENATOR RITCHIE: Well, I would
23	appreciate it if you could get to me, at
24	least with regards to the farm operation

projects, the number that was funded and what 1 percentage of the project is funded. 2 if we have a pool of money and we're funding 3 such a small amount of it, we're not going to 4 be able to help them expand at their farm. 5 So I just want to make sure that we have the 6 right amount of money in the pot and we're 7 actually giving a large enough share of that 8 to a farmer to encourage them to expand. 9 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: 10 understand the question and will get you the 11 answer. 12 SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay, thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you. 14 Assemblyman Abinanti. 15 ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you, 16 17 Mr. Chairman. Thank you for joining us today. 18 like to talk a little bit about -- you 19 mentioned the NY-Sun initiative. I want to 20 drill it down even more. 21 I've had some inquiries from 22 individual homeowners about converting to 23

24

solar power or adding solar panels to their

roofs and things like that. In fact, there are even some members of the private system that call people and say that there's a program out there that you can take advantage of, it's not going to cost you anything to install solar and in the end it will benefit you, you'll cut your energy bills, et cetera.

Can you address that program for a minute? And I heard something about it being cut back. Is it possible for you to comment on that?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: I cannot comment on the report that you've heard that NY-Sun might be being cut back. We are I think expanding, we are proposing to expand the NY-Sun program to a very meaningful extent. I think to date we have installed something like that 200 megawatts, and we are going to aim for 3,000 megawatts.

ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Right, I applaud your efforts in that area. I'm just trying to understand what this individual homeowner initiative is. I don't know if it's through NYSERDA or through someone else.

It sounds like it would be a NYSERDA program.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: It is a

NYSERDA program.

I'm not sure how to respond to the report that you're describing. The design of the expanded NY-Sun megawatt block program is designed to achieve the end of the need for subsidies over a period of time, a multiyear period of time. So that is the purpose of this. The mechanism is not to starve the homeowners but rather to help the private sector develop the ability to provide solar power at economics that are good for them and good for the homeowner, and to do so over time so the costs will come down as the market matures.

ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: So are we talking about shifting from a homeowner subsidy to a business subsidy?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: No, we are talking about preserving the subsidy to the homeowner, the owner of the panels, but we are talking about doing so while developing a market that can be more mature and therefore

1	reduce costs and become more efficient over
2	time.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Now let's go
4	to the budget aspect of this. Where does the
5	funding for this come from?
6	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: The bulk of
7	NYSERDA's funding comes from ratepayer funds.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: This is the
9	same funding, then, it's not a separate
10	source of funding than the one you referred
11	to already?
12	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Correct.
13	This is something that has been or would be
14	approved by the Public Service Commission as
15	a use of ratepayer dollars. So it's coming
16	out of that part of the budget.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: But the
18	existing program with homeowners is already
19	in place, has been approved and is ongoing?
20	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Correct.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Is the amount
22	of money set aside for that the same for next
23	year as it has been in the past?
24	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: It is going

1 up. ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: All I wanted 2 to hear. 3 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: At a 4 meaningful rate. 5 ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you. 6 Senator Tkaczyk. SENATOR KRUEGER: 7 SENATOR TKACZYK: Hi. I just have a 8 couple of questions. 9 You talked about the NY Green Bank 10 initiative and it's going to have a billion 11 dollars. When is that going to be available, 12 and what types of financial programs is it 13 going to be offering specifically? And what 14 types of projects will be able to get funded 15 under it? Could you give me an example 16 17 first. NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: The Public 18 Service Commission at the end of 2013, I 19 think December 19th, approved the 20 redeployment of certain funds to provide 21 initial capitalization to the Green Bank. 22 The Public Service Commission approved around 23

24

165.

And together with other funds that

NYSERDA and the Governor's office determined could be usefully deployed here, there is additional capitalization of the Green Bank of around \$218 million.

The Green Bank is opening up for business. It has a leader, it is building up the staff, and it is in very good communication with prospective partners. So we expect the Green Bank will be doing business before the end of the first half of this year, 2014. So that was one part of your question.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Who is the leader?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: The leader
is a seasoned executive by the name of Alfred
Griffin. He comes to us from Citibank, where
he has considerable experience and quite some
record of accomplishment in just this sort of
field.

The intended uses of the facility will be to provide solutions that block -- where there's a financial market failure that prevents an otherwise good clean-energy project from going forward.

1.6

an apartment building complex that would like to borrow money to implement energy efficiency in its buildings. The economics of this are very good, the landlord wants to do it, and the payback is some reasonable number of years -- and the bank says "I have concerns because this is a new product, I'm only supposed to lend where there is a record of loan repayment performance."

And you're in a chicken-and-the-egg world where people aren't making the loans because there is no track record, so the track record hasn't developed so people can't make the loans.

The Green Bank, with due scrutiny of the creditworthiness of the borrower and the economic soundness of the project would step in and in this case potentially provide a loan loss reserve, which is a way of giving assurance to the borrower that if the loan falls behind in terms of payments come in late or payments stop coming in, they can go to this loan loss reserve first and get the

money.

At this point they don't need a loan performance track record, they know they have cash that they can go to, so they make the loan. So that creates the track record, and that starts the virtuous cycle of getting the bank or other entity into the business and possibly putting the Green Bank out of business in this particular respect.

So it is working in partnership with lending entities, in this case, and it is about solving gaps or failures in the market for finance in order to support projects, clean-energy projects that would be renewable energy or energy efficiency that would be otherwise eligible for NYSERDA support. And if those are sound, we will solve the financing gap.

SENATOR TKACZYK: One last question.

I had an area that was hit by a flood and it was mentioned that NYSERDA had assistance available to potential property owners.

Could you describe what those programs are from NYSERDA that might help a business owner

1	recover? Let's say they have a property that
2	has several apartments in it. Is that
3	something you could assist with? And they've
4	lost several furnaces because of the flood.
5	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Yes. I
6	could give you more detail on that, but there
7	are programs to provide assistance for
8	upgrading and replacement of energy
9	equipment, particularly if there is an energy
10	savings that can be associated with that
11	upgrade.
12	SENATOR TKACZYK: There was just a
13	lot of confusion about what NYSERDA could do
14	in the community that I was working with in
15	Fort Plain. So I would love to get someone
16	from your staff to contact me and let us know
17	some of those details.
18	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We will do
19	that. Thank you, Senator.
20	SENATOR TKACZYK: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
22	Krueger.
23	SENATOR KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
24	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Good

afternoon.

SENATOR KRUEGER: The Governor in his budget talks about Renewable Heat New York

Low Emission Biomass Heating Initiative. I'm sure there's a nickname, and I'm not sure what that would be. And he talks about it as a clean-energy initiative, but my understanding is that biomass heating is actually wood heating.

And so I'm wondering what are we doing with that program and do we actually believe expanding on wood heating is a actually a clean-energy model in the 21st century.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We believe that there are technologies out there that burn wood much more efficiently and with much less particulate emission than existing wood furnaces and boilers. And this program is about making available resources to help install that new generation of cleanerburning equipment to replace other, dirtier, more pollution-emitting equipment.

And we believe that we can do this at

an economic savings to the consumer, and we believe we can do this in the service of resiliency and diversification of fuel source, particularly in areas that have very few alternatives.

So our judgment, based on studies, is that this is in fact a cleaning-up program as well as an economic development program as well as a cost-savings program. As well as, in some sense, a resiliency/diversification of resources program.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And are we going to have standards for this program that are equivalent to the emissions from ultra-low-sulfur heating oil or other models that are defined as having a cleaner footprint than conventional wood-burning --

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We will have standards that absolutely ensure that what we put in will have a cleaner footprint than what we replace.

SENATOR KRUEGER: So the only standard is it has to be better than what you had before and not an actual standard?

1.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: No, we will
have we will make sure of that, but we
will also make sure that it is an
improvement it is clean by accepted
definitions.
SENATOR KRUEGER: And how are we
going to pay for this?
NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We are
developing proposals to do that now, as the
members of the state's Energy Portfolio and
other sister agencies may be contributing to
that.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Is there an
estimated price tag?
NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We are
developing that. And I can share it with you
as it comes into being.
SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
There was an article in Bloomberg
News about the Northeast being price-gouged
on natural gas prices as everything got cold.
And I'm just wondering does New York State
and it may not fairly be a NYSERDA question,
but you're here in front of me. Is there a

mechanism the State of New York has in place either to prevent price gouging or protect us when we see it happening?

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Well, I will defer to other agencies on what we can do against price gouging.

To the larger question of what can we do in response to fuel shortages and fuel price increases that follow unhappily but inevitably from cold spells such as the one we are experiencing, we do have mechanisms to understand the need and possibly implement measures to supply and reduce costs. And the Governor's office is actively monitoring those situations across all the critical fuels — including propane, including ULDS, as you mentioned, and including fuel for electric power generation — and is on a day—to—day basis determining whether there is a need to activate those measures.

SENATOR KRUEGER: Again, just for the record, according at least to the articles, the minute it got cold, so to speak, the natural gas prices in New York skyrocketed,

even though most of the contracts are on a long-term basis so you can't actually have a shortage of natural gas the minute the temperature goes down. Which is why I'm defining it as price gouging. It's not suddenly a shortage exists in the State of New York or even in the market overall. I believe it is taking advantage of an exceptionally cold period of time to use as an excuse to skyrocket the cost.

So I am very interested and concerned about if we don't have a system in place that can help New York State address and protect from this, our creating some mechanism where we can track and do something about this in future. Because I suspect this is not a one-time story.

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Senator, I think this goes beyond the remit of NYSERDA. But I can certainly make sure that we get back to you with our view of who is responsible on these kinds of issues.

SENATOR KRUEGER: And conceivably I can ask the Power Authority that question as

well. Thank you. 1 CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Mr. Abinanti for a 2 3 second go. ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. 5 The Greener Communities initiative, 6 this is something that you were actively 7 pushing the last few years. What's the 8 status of the program now, and are we on 9 schedule to provide more grants for regional 10 sustainability? 11 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Yes. The 12 Cleaner Greener Community program is up and 13 running. We have just awarded \$30 million, 1.4 approximately, in funding to a number of 15 projects across the state, all of which go to 16 economic development and to supporting the 17 clean-energy economy. And we are expecting 18 to implement another round of Cleaner Greener 19 Community funding before the end of 2014. 20 Now, this ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: 21 money is available to our local communities; 22 correct? They work in a partnership with 23

businesses, or how do they work?

1	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: It depends
2	on we solicit applications and they come
3	in, and then the money goes to the successful
4	applicant. In most cases I believe there
5	will be a local community that is deeply
6	involved in the application.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN ABINANTI: Thank you very
8	much.
9	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
10	Grisanti.
11	SENATOR GRISANTI: Yes. Thanks,
12	John, for being here.
13	You would agree and I think you
14	touched on this when Assemblyman Abinanti was
15	asking you this that there's bottlenecks
16	in the transmission lines in Central New York
17	and other areas of New York State?
18	Bottlenecks in the transmission lines, would
19	you agree with that?
20	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We have a
21	very limited role in those transmission line
22	issues.
23	SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. Well, when
24	he was talking to you and asking about the

Energy Highway, that's the Governor's program 1 to move energy efficiently across the state? 2 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: 3 SENATOR GRISANTI: So you have a 4 limited role in that? 5 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Right. 6 have the lead responsibility for certain 7 studies, but we do not have lead 8 implementation responsibility on that. 9 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay, that's what 10 I'm getting to. 11 Are the studies done because -- and 12 you've mentioned this on other questions, 13 about a sense of urgency. It is a sense of 14 urgency because, you know, we have, 15 particularly in my district in Western 16 New York, we have plants that are able to 17 produce electricity to get it down to 18 New York City rather than bringing a line in 19 from Quebec. 20 So are the studies done with regards 21 to -- I don't know why you need a study if 22 there's -- I mean, there's bottlenecks, 23 there's problems with the transmission lines. 24

1 And fixing these lines can create tens of 2 thousands of jobs and boost the economy 3 significantly. Is that what your studies are 4 showing, or do you already know that to be the case? 5 6 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: NYSERDA 7 studies are limited in scope to exploring the 8 feasibility and impact of certain potential solutions. Those solutions that we are addressing do not in fact include 10 11 transmission lines and the like. 12 include measures that might reduce the need 13 for increased transmission. 14 I believe that it is other agencies 15 within the Governor's emergency portfolio 16 that have the lead responsibility on those 17 broader transmission-oriented issues. 18 SENATOR GRISANTI: Okay. But you 19 just said there's a study to reduce the need 20 for less transmission? I don't understand 21 that. 22 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: For 23 instance, a study that NYSERDA might do is to

assess the potential for renewable generation

•	
1	or for smart-grid investments on the other
2	side of the bottleneck, if you will, so that
3	you need to push less energy through the
4	bottleneck.
5	SENATOR GRISANTI: I got you. Okay.
6	Okay, understood. All right, thanks. That's
7	all I've got.
8	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: All right,
9	just a couple of questions and you can go
10 .	home to your loved ones.
11	The first question is your funding
12	is there's only like \$28 million in the
13	budget; correct?
14	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Twenty-
15	eight, yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. But you
17	spend a lot more than that.
18	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: How many
20	hundreds of millions do you spend? Give me
21	the nearest hundred million.
22	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: It's
23	\$760 million in this year's budget.
24	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. When

1	you say this year's budget, your budget;
2	correct?
3	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Correct.
4	Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Do you have
6	some breakdown of where that money comes from
7	and where it goes to? I mean a line-by-line
8	kind of accounting of what you've done with
9	your money in the past and where it came from
10	so you could spend it.
11	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Yes, sir.
12	But let me just correct myself. I looked up
13	the number. Our budget this year is
14	\$747 million.
15	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I was just
16	looking for the nearest hundred million, so
17	that was
18	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: May I
19	answer your question in two parts?
20	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Three, if
21	you'd like.
22	NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: One, there
23	was a request for kind of a line-by-line
24	accounting. Can we get that to your office?

And

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 1 I just want -- the first thing I absolutely. 2 need, I just want to see the various dollars 3 from each source of income and then just -- I 4 don't need a line by line, but just where 5 those resources go, how they're allocated and 6 where they come from. That's really the most 7 important thing. 8 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Right. 9 so the bulk of the funding comes from 10 ratepayer surcharges. And those go to uses 11 that are approved by the Public Service 12 Commission as a use of those ratepayer 13 monies. 14 So meaningfully, those include our 15 energy efficiency programs, where we provide 16 grants and incentives for reducing energy 17 consumption, and they go for renewable 18 portfolio standards where we provide support 19 for installation of solar and of wind and of 20

renewable energy.

21

22

23

24

And there is -- the bulk of our R&D expenditure is also funded by those ratepayer

anaerobic digesters and of other forms of

1 surcharges. 2 In addition, we get funds from the 3 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative auction 4 proceeds, which we use for related but 5 supplemental uses, including the Cleaner 6 Greener Communities and so forth. 7 \$28 million that we are asking for in this 8 budget, \$12 million goes to the New York 9 State cost share of the West Valley 10 operation, which is a cleanup of a site in Western New York in partnership with the U.S. 11 12 Department of Energy, and \$16 million goes to 13 R&D and planning activities. 1.4 So I can give you more detail, but 15 more or less that's how it goes. 16 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: But the only 17 numbers you just gave me -- and I know you 18 don't have them here, but the numbers you 19 gave me were the numbers that are budgeted, 20 the 28 million? 21 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: 22 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The rest is 23 just big globs, this is where it comes from,

this is where it goes to?

We NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: No. 1 will give you the details, but there's about 2 \$500 million or so in ratepayer funds that go 3 to --4 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: No, you just 5 went through it. I just want to get 6 something in writing showing X in and X out 7 for these various programs, from the money 8 in, another pot of money in, and how much 9 it's costing these programs. 10 NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: Shall I do 11 that for that past year and for this budget 12 13 year? CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Well, do you 14 know what it's going to be for this budget 15 16 year yet? NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES: We can tell 17 you what we plan. 18 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Well, that 19 would be great. That would be even better. 20 I'd like last year, but if you've got it 21 pretty well figured out where you expect it 22 to go, that would be terrific. 23

With the

NYSERDA PRES/CEO RHODES:

1	caveat that sometimes the world forces
2	changes on us. But yes, we can share
3	expectations.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Great, I
5	appreciate it. And thank you very much for
6	your testimony. And you are free to go.
7	Now we next have Wayne Bayer. He is
8	the vice president of the New York State
9	Public Employees Federation DEC. And on deck
10	is Jeff Williams, Farm Bureau.
11	(Off the record.)
12	MR. BAYER: Good afternoon,
13	Mr. Chairmen, Senators and Assemblypeople. I
14	would like to clarify from the beginning that
15	I'm speaking as a representative of the
16	PEF/encon Division 169 Steward Council at DEC
17	headquarters. We represent approximately
18	1800 of the dwindling number of employees at
19	DEC. We're down to 2917
20	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Excuse me.
21	Excuse me. Stop for a minute, take a breath,
22	relax before you continue and go on.
23	Seriously. Seriously, compose yourself.
24	You're fine. We've got till midnight

tonight. Only till midnight because then we start tomorrow's hearing at midnight.

(Laughter.)

MR. BAYER: As I started to say,
we're down by 597 positions since -- on
January 31, 2010, the Joint Fiscal
Committee's staff analysis said that DEC was
down by 539 positions. We're now down by 597
positions. And that's just since 2010. But
the committee and everybody else should be
cognizant of the fact that we're down by
one-quarter to one-third of what our highest
staffing level was in the early 1990s.

And I think most of you know, but some of you may not, that almost every year since DEC was created in 1970, there's been added new statutory and regulatory responsibilities that the staff has had to take on. I can think of only one program that has been cut in that time period, but the staff responsibilities still increase.

Preparing for today's testimony, I was struck by the fact that how many things that I mentioned in my 2011 testimony are

still relevant. Particularly DEC is still not the agency that it was when it was established and envisioned under Governor Rockefeller. Our staffing level, as I said, is down by one-quarter to one-third from its high point in the '90s. We are still contracting out work that DEC staff used to do, could do. And if they did that, the New York State citizens would have higher confidence that the people doing the work were hired under the merit and fitness system, under the increasingly marginalized and unused New York State Civil Service system.

Furthermore, state employees, PS&T staff as well as I think some managerial employees, are covered by the Public Officers Law. We're not beholden to the end-of-the-year possible bonus that a contract employee may have. That's not to single out any contract employees, as many contract employees that work for the State of New York under contract are doing great work and are great people.

1.0

In that testimony we mentioned the cuts and in previous testimony we mentioned staff that was not adequately on staff to do dam safety inspections. We also mentioned there wasn't enough staff on hand to do oversight of CAFO operations, concentrated area feed operations. And shortly after we mentioned both those things in previous testimony, there was the huge fish kills in the Beaver Creek and I believe the Black River. There also was that Hadlock Pond dam that collapsed, causing major economic disruptions and controversy.

And as you know, DEC is not the only agency that's suffering a lot of staff cuts and staff shortages. Almost every state agency in New York is. And you all know that every local government, school district and fire department is suffering from inadequate staff and inadequate funding. A lot of this is due to the fact that the federal government no longer provides federal revenue sharing. And that is because a lot of the tax cuts on the federal level have been cut.

Nobody likes to pay taxes. And they're particularly onerous for property owners, as most of you know, and there's a large clamor to do some cuts for those this year.

But I would ask you to keep conscious, as you're considering tax cuts, of how much taxes have been cut since the 1950s. For example, under President Eisenhower, a Republican, under a Republican-controlled Senate and House of Representatives, the top income tax rate was 92 percent. So was the capital gains rate. So that's part of the reason why there's not enough adequate funding.

We also warned about not having enough staff to monitor and survey the huge lumber harvesting producing areas under DEC control. That is causing maybe some reduction in the potential for lumber harvesting revenue that could come into the state.

And we also warned about the staff shortages for inspecting and monitoring the shellfishing areas off of Long Island -- that

reduction in staff as the U.S. FDA has threatened to take away the agreement that they had with the State of New York for DEC staff to do that monitoring.

And upstaters should not be too complacent about the cuts in downstate staff because those staff down there also have a responsibility for inspecting and monitoring the major seafood distributors located throughout the State of New York. So think about that the next time you order seafood when you're out.

We'd like to mention two others that are fairly critical right now. And the one is for the shortages in the Emergency Response and Spill staff. And that's particularly concerning with increased freight rail traffic traveling throughout New York State carrying the Bakken field oil. And as you know, that in the last year there's been more rail train accidents than the previous 30 years.

The DEC staff is fairly well trained to deal with that. And most professional

fire departments, including the one in Albany, are fairly well trained. But it's not quite sure if a lot of the other fire departments throughout the state are adequately prepared and trained and have the equipment and the staff to investigate and respond to a major rail train accident. And that's because a lot of them are volunteer fire departments. There's good volunteer fire departments throughout the State of New York, but they may not all have the resources to get the adequate training that they need.

And you should be cognizant of the fact that each region office of DEC is down to approximately two spill responders. And that is particularly onerous and concerning where the large regional offices have large tracts of land with a lot of geographical distance between where the spill responders are and where the accident may occur. And part of that is because DEC has not been authorized to backfill any positions. Part of that is because of the

micromanagement of the Division of the Budget and perhaps the second floor of the Capitol, because we like to believe that the top-level administrative staff at DEC is cognizant and aware of the potential harm they could do without adequate staffing.

The other fairly new program that is very understaffed is the Invasive Species
Unit. Back in 2003 Governor Pataki signed a bill sponsored Senator Marcellino and then-Assemblyman DiNapoli to create an Invasive Species Task Force to recommend how to respond to the growing problem of invasive species. And I won't go into a lot of detail now, I'll just refer you to the website links that are included in the backs of the testimony, which provides a lot of information about what those task forces did and what they recommended.

One thing you should be aware of that's very concerning to the DEC staff is that one task force called for up to eight additional professional staff, full-time staff, as well as a number of interns to help

out with developing all these task forces and contracting these task forces to do the investigation and oversight for invasive species attacks.

Invasive species, I don't think
there's a figure yet for the potential cost
to New York State. But I think the last
estimate that I'm aware of is that the cost
of invasive species nationally is over a
billion dollars. It affects the agricultural
industry, it impacts the fishing industry,
which is a huge revenue driver for New York
State, including a driver for the tourist
industry in New York. So a lot of these
invasive species have come in and had really
negative impacts.

They've had negative impacts on boating, because a lot of the -- I think it's hydrilla algae or weeds that are proliferating and getting into a lot of lakes and streams upstate, you know, slow down boats and crowd out other species, clog water pipes, water pipe intakes and outpipes. And you all know about the problems with the

West Nile virus, which is an invasive species.

You all hopefully know about the Asian carp that is coming up through the Great Lakes and the canals and is probably destined to come into New York, and that Asian carp is really a vociferous eater of other productive and important species of fish that are very important to the fishing industry.

Some of you have probably seen those videos of those things that are -- they mass-produce and regenerate so rapidly that some people are out fishing and those carp are really flying into their boats and hitting them in the head. And that's of great concern to the fishing industry.

And we don't have enough adequate staff to respond to this stuff and investigate or try to remediate or stop the expansion.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Excuse me.

Can you start summing up a little bit? See

the clock in front of you? Can you start

1.

summing up?

MR. BAYER: Okay. The last two
things, I'd be remiss if I didn't mention on
the part of my colleagues two staffing issues
at DEC. One is the fact that we had a labor
and management joint task force to recommend
expand telecommuting and compressed pay
period. That program was successfully
evaluated and recommended for expansion and
making permanent.

That has not happened. And why is that concerning? Because that has the potential for major energy reduction, air pollution reduction. With reducing traffic on the roads and in parking lots, it saves costs for maintenance and repair and expansion of more parking garages and parking lots. It also is very good for helping with recruitment and retention of DEC employees and potentially other employees at other agencies, because it helps out for those staff that have elder care, childcare or second job responsibilities.

And it's particularly troubling that

under President Bush that program was expanded and directed to apply to almost every federal agency. And it's quite ironic that President Bush had more of an environmentally friendly policy than the governors of New York have had in the last 10 years.

In closing, I just want to mention also that lately there's been some staff harassment and hindering our ability to meet on labor/management issues and health and safety issues. And health and safety issues are very important to DEC staff because we have some of the greatest variety of job responsibilities on the air, land and water, with planes that restock isolated lakes in the Adirondacks and on tidal currents and waters off the shores of Long Island and the Great Lakes.

And we also have staff that are working in isolated forest areas. If you remember, the successful reintroduction of the bald eagle in New York State, that was done because of DEC staff going high up in

1	trees in isolated areas.
2	And we believe that the good health
3	and safety programs we've had previously has
4	helped to reduce the number of serious
5	accidents, if not deaths, of state DEC
6	employees. And without a good health and
7	safety program with staff participating in
8	it, the possibility of those accidents could
9	increase costs in workers' compensation
10	claims, lost sick leave and injuries.
11	So I thank you for the opportunity of
12	going a little over. Sorry I made the great
13	introduction.
14	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: We'll
15	definitely remember you.
16	(Laughter.)
17	MR. BAYER: And I would be happy to
18	respond to any questions, either now or
19	later, that the members of this committee may
20	have.
21	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
22	Savino.
23	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you,
24	Senator DeFrancisco.

And thank you for your testimony. 1 want to focus on the last two points that you 2 3 made. First, with respect to the size of 4 the workforce, I can guarantee you there will 5 be a lot of discussion about the state of the 6 state workforce at the Workforce hearings, 7 which are coming up in the next week or two. 8 MR. BAYER: Plus I can't really 9 testify on behalf of all of the state 10 agencies. 11 SENATOR SAVINO: You know, what's 12 happening to the state workforce is 13 14 appalling. But you mentioned two issues at the 15 16 end of your testimony about alternative work schedules and DEC's failure to implement what 17 came out of the labor/ management meetings. 18 Do other agencies have an alternative work --19 MR. BAYER: Some do, but it's not 20 universal. And some are still, I think, 21 experimental programs. But it's not 22 widespread throughout New York State. 23

24

And we believe and contend that DEC,

the agency responsible for air pollution reduction, energy reduction and elimination of fossil fuels, eventually, should be a role model for all other state agencies. And if the state agencies successfully model this, it could be a role model for local governments as well as in the private sector and would have enormous benefits for massive reduction of energy, global warming, and air pollution.

SENATOR SAVINO: Do any of the agencies that you know of, do you have flex-time schedules where you kind of extend the work day, which cuts down on overtime and also takes into consideration people with children, people who have parents that they have to tend to?

MR. BAYER: Yeah, for those of you who don't know, compressed pay periods are that if that agency has a 40-hour work week, a 37.5-hour work week, people still put in those hours. The only thing is that instead of working five days a week for a shorter number of hours, they only work four days a

week and get a day off in the middle of the week or on either end of the weekend. And it depends on the staffing analysis and the preference of that agency which days they have off.

SENATOR SAVINO: And on the final piece about retaliation, that's a very disturbing thing to hear. Because you have collective bargaining agreements, you have duly elected shop stewards who, when they're acting on behalf of the union, they are not supposed -- I mean there's very clear law about not interfering or treating them as if they are not in fact shop stewards.

So what is the process that PEF follows when there is retaliation against a shop steward who's acting on behalf of the union?

MR. BAYER: Well, I should qualify, there's not direct, very obvious retaliation as of yet that we've observed. But there's sometimes indirect, there's harassment and questioning and denial of time to go to meetings and participate in meetings.

1	SENATOR SAVINO: That's pretty
2	direct, in violation of labor relations.
3	MR. BAYER: Well, you're right. But
4	we are working to explore possible actions we
5	can take. And we're also looking at past
6	practice being a major issue that we could
7	rely on and focus on. Because we've enjoyed,
8	with past commissioners and with agency
9	staff, a very good labor relations process.
10	And it's just that in the last year or two,
11	under some of the new probably human
12	resources and personnel people, they've been
13	a lot more restrictive and obstructionist in
14	our ability to meet on a number of issues.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: I would suggest you
16	aggressively pursue those kind of cases,
17	either through improper practice charges or
18	going to the Public Employee Relations Board,
19	because that's a situation that will only get
20	worse, it won't get better.
21	MR. BAYER: Understood.
22	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
24	Tkaczyk.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Hi. I wanted to ask about the hazardous spills response team or emergency spills response unit at the DEC you mentioned in relationship to the rail trail potential accidents.

What do you do now with local fire departments, and do you have formal arrangements with local volunteer companies to do training with them or help them with training? And the reason I ask is I know I have local fire departments that respond to emergencies on the Thruway and can use help with hazardous cleanup training. Is that something that you do now or would consider?

MR. BAYER: I would to get back to you on the details of that. I believe there's a fair amount of communication and cooperation between the state spill responders that work in not only DEC headquarters but work in the DEC regional offices, but I don't have direct information on that. I can try to get that for you and provide that information to you.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Thank you.

MR. BAYER: One thing I didn't mention was one good thing in the budget was further reform of the State Brownfields
Program. Because we've been concerned about not only the corporate welfare with the enormous tax credits -- and I forget, some
Assemblyman or member of the committee asked Commissioner Martens about what the total dollar amount was on the brownfields tax credits.

I would suggest or recommend to you, when you get that information, that you ask a further follow-up question, and that is the difference between the total brownfields tax credit and what actually was spent on investigation and remediation costs.

I think it's pretty obvious that a lot of the tax credits went not only to investigation and remediation but also went to development costs. That a lot of these for developers that would have built this, remodeled, or did something in that brownfields area because of the prime geographical location, which would have made

quite a good return on their investment.

And the other thing about the brownfields program is that DEC staff, especially ones in the Environmental Remediation Unit, are spending so much time on the brownfields program, the oversight with that, they're not doing the other job responsibilities adequately enough or fully enough, especially proactively, that the remediation program, the State Superfund Program, was originally set up for.

They don't have enough time to monitor these other sites satisfactorily, to say nothing about doing investigation of the potential sites, you know, the so-called P-sites. Years ago they used to be called two-way sites.

And they're not being proactive in

looking for -- one thing that was recommended

10 years ago at an EPA site assessment

conference was that DEC and DOH, as a result

of a survey that was done of dry cleaning

sites -- a lot of dry cleaning sites have

long gone out of existence, as it wasn't

1	profitable or they were merged or bought up
2	by bigger dry cleaning operations, and the
3	local area became just a place where people
4	dropped off their clothes and actual cleaning
5	would be done elsewhere. But a lot of these
6	dry cleaning sites at one time legally, and
7	because of unknown science and medical
8	information, dumped chemicals in their back
9	lots or doors. And
10	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: I think you're
11	going into other areas
12	MR. BAYER: I know. I know.
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: and we've
14	got people really, really dying to testify.
15	Thank you very much. I appreciate
16	it.
17	MR. BAYER: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And the next
19	witness is Jeff Williams, director of public
20	policy, New York Farm Bureau.
21	(Discussion off the record.)
22	MR. WILLIAMS: I apologize for the
23	tardy entrance.
24	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: That's okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: I will obviously abridge my comments from my prepared statement which you have in front of you.

I just want to offer a few reactions to the Governor's budget, and first offer that we're very happy with the selection of Commissioner Richard Ball for Ag & Markets. He is a great farmer and an even better person. So we're very happy and looking forward to working with him.

We're also very happy with the Governor's budget on the Ag & Markets side when it comes to the animal health programs in the budget. The Governor chose to fully fund those programs, and so we have not much more to say than that, other than the fact that they're critical programs and we're happy that he recognizes that.

There's still work to be done, however, when it comes to promotional programs for agriculture. The promotional programs are our economic development programs in the state, and they demonstrate and bring back a lot of bang for the buck.

Whether it's maple promotion, Christmas tree promotion, apple, wine, grape, wood products, these are the markets for the sectors that they help to promote themselves -- in the state, across the country, internationally -- and really help make the match between farmers in upstate New York and New York City.

So if it's a natural-cut Christmas

tree being marketed in New York City as

opposed to one from North Carolina or Canada

or a fake tree, maple products in some of the

best hotels and restaurants in the city, an

apple to every marathon runner who finishes

the New York City Marathon, those programs

get the word out about the good things that

New York agriculture does. And more

investment is needed when it comes to those

promotional programs.

Likewise, applied research. We're happy with Farm Viability getting a good start of \$400,000. And that's a farmer-led board to address emerging research on farms in the state. But more is needed for the

Farm Viability Institute, and likewise for the Turfgrass Environmental Stewardship Fund, berry fruit fly research, and programs for corn, onion, vegetable and bee research.

These programs help increase yields, extend growing seasons, reduce pesticide use, and control pests and disease. So it's a win/win for not only the farmer's bottom line but also for the environment.

Next up is FarmNet, which is an amazing program if you're actually a farmer and unfortunately need to use FarmNet. They offer business planning and mental health counseling. Farm profits are very slim, and being a farmer is pressure-filled because you're constantly on the brink. Whether a farmer loses a field of vegetables to disease or a flood, apples to cold weather or dairy cows to a barn fire, unfortunately, FarmNet steps into the brink and helps that farmer pick up the pieces.

When a farmer invests a million dollars to purchase seed on a vegetable farm in the spring and plants that seed, there's

an expectation that he or she gets the crop back and makes some money. When they're left holding the bag for a million dollars in that season and have to invest another million for the next season, FarmNet becomes incredibly important to manage stress on farms in the farm families.

With regard to the EPF, we appreciate the Governor's investment in the agricultural programs. Whether it's for nonpoint, water quality, farmland protection, invasive species, we look forward to working on these programs in the coming year.

Soil and Water Conservation Districts also receive an increase in funding, which we applaud. They could use more funding, to be perfectly honest. To help farms meet the challenges of increasing milk production in an environmentally sustainable way or meeting the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL, Soil and Water Conservation Districts are our partners in the environment and on the farm.

And lastly, it's not under the purview of this committee, but it has an

1	incredible importance to Green New York in
2	the environment and keeping farms operating,
3	and that's increasing the estate tax
4	threshold from \$1 million to \$5 million at
5	the federal level.
6	Not having to sell the farm to pay
7	the estate tax keeps a farm in the family and
8	the next generation coming on. Three
9 .	thousand farms have estates of over
10	\$1 million and are in danger of losing their
11	farms if there's a death in the family. Most
¹ 2	of those assets are farmland, machinery,
13	animals, the things that make a farm a farm.
14	And so increasing that threshold keeps a farm
15	in operation and open spaces open.
16	That's my comments. Thank you very
17	much.
18	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
19	Senator Ritchie.
20	SENATOR RITCHIE: Good afternoon,
20 21	SENATOR RITCHIE: Good afternoon, Jeff.
21	Jeff.

1.1.

When the deputy commissioner was
there, one of my colleagues asked about
whether the amount that was appropriated,
since it was decreased, was enough with
regards to FarmNet. And I believe that he
said he thought it was enough. We had
increased it in the Legislature because
FarmNet was doing such good work with regards
to some of the farmers who had gone through
some of the hurricane damage and flooding.

Could you kind of elaborate on that and whether you think that level is enough?

MR. WILLIAMS: I think the Governor's budget inclusion is a good start, but more is needed. As I mentioned, farms are under stress from a variety of ways -- whether it's the weather, whether it's commodity prices, whether it's the price they're getting from the market. The number in Governor's budget is a good start, but I think last year it was over \$900,000. And I think getting to that point would be obviously fantastic, but perhaps a number in between would be reasonable.

SENATOR RITCHIE: And with regards to Farm Viability, I hear on pretty much a daily basis directly from farmers who say what an advantage it is for them to get the expertise from Farm Viability. And that was cut substantially again. Can you kind of give us an overview on what your feelings are on that program?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. As I mentioned in my testimony, Farm Viability helps farmers become more profitable. They engage in research on one farm to help with extending the season through high-tunnel technology. And if it works on that farm, that research, the goal is to replicate that from farm to farm to farm. So the research doesn't just benefit one farmer, it benefits the entire industry.

And the board is farmer-led. So as you probably all know, farmers are pretty penny-pinching people so they don't spend money unwisely. So they really try to target the research to the exact kind of research and the exact kind of farm that's needed. So

certainly we would definitely support a large 1 increase in Farm Viability. 2 SENATOR RITCHIE: A couple of the 3 groups that have come in and asked for 4 funding were funded, one of them last year, 5 and they did have some real success. 6 proposing that this year, if we were able to 7 put money in the budget, that we ask for 8 matching funds. What would Farm Bureau's 9 10 feeling be on that? MR. WILLIAMS: For Farm Viability or 11 12 just for --SENATOR RITCHIE: No, for any of the 13 14 programs with state support, set up a program with matching funds. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: A lot of commodity 16 groups already match anyway, through a 17 self-assessment. And so they're willing to 18 invest one to one or something like that from 19 their own private money. 20 21 We are certainly open to ongoing conversations about which programs they are 22 and the ability to match those programs. 23

certainly in this day and age, with the

economy what it is, it certainly seems in 1 some cases that grower matching or grower 2 investment to get other state investment 3 could make sense. 4 And the last SENATOR RITCHIE: 5 question, if you could pick one thing that we 6 could do that would make the biggest 7 difference to farmers right now, what would 8 that be? 9 Wow. I think MR. WILLIAMS: 10 everything is very important, but the estate 11 tax portion of this, increasing it to 12 \$5 million and indexing it to inflation, 13 would make a big difference for farm 14 longevity in the state. Not to discount 15 anything else that I testified about, but 16 that's long been a priority for Farm Bureau. 17 SENATOR RITCHIE: Okay, great. thank 18 you. 19 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 20 Tkaczyk. 21 I wanted to SENATOR TKACZYK: Hi. 22 just get your specific amounts that you're 23 requesting these programs that you've been 24

talking about that are great and we want to be supportive of.

And you can come back to me later with it, but what specifically is the amount you want to see FarmNet go up by? How much are you recommending the Farm Viability increase up?

And you also mentioned the County
Soil and Water Conservation Districts have
been really helpful and important in
providing technical assistance to farmers and
helping with recovery from storm and flood
damage. You strongly support proposed
increased funding. I want to know how much,
because I need to how much do we need out
there to do the job. Because I know they've
been incredibly productive and helpful to
farms in my district.

And lastly, you propose increased funding for farmland protection. You know, what are you proposing and what's it going to do for the state? So if you could specify those specifics, I definitely would appreciate that.

1	MR. WILLIAMS: It's obviously a very
2	timely question, and our board of directors
3	met this week to address exactly that. We're
4	in the process of putting together a
5	spreadsheet in a document. So I will have it
6	ready hopefully by next week, and if not,
7	I'll definitely share our numbers with
8	everybody on the panel.
9	SENATOR TKACZYK: Yeah, definitely.
10	And also the rationale behind those numbers.
11.	'Thank you.
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, absolutely.
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator
14	Savino.
15	SENATOR SAVINO: Thank you, Jeff, for
16	your testimony.
17	You know, when you read the
18	testimony, in your demeanor it's like you're
19	happy, happy, happy with the Governor's
20	budget proposals. But we know that there's
21	got to be obviously some things that you are
22	all advocating for increased funding in
23	certain areas.
24	And I'm curious about what you think

about the question that I posed to the deputy commissioner of Ag & Markets: What can we do to close the distance between our upstate farmers and our downstate consumers?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I think -- from our budget testimony, first of all, I think we feel luckier than other trade associations or organizations in the Governor's budget. So we characterize the Governor's budget as a good start. I'm not discounting how much work needs to be done to get back to even where we were last year, let alone 2008 levels. We've lost close to 50 percent in ag funding over the last couple of years.

But to address your question, we've long advocated for an E-ZPass system in New York State that would offer farms delivering product into New York City -- or urban areas, it doesn't have to be New York City -- with a discounted E-ZPass rate.

Because if you're shipping apples or milk into Bronx or Brooklyn or Hunts Point and you're coming from Western New York, the tolls are already considerable and the bridge

tolls are spectacular. And you multiply that by a number of trucks a day over a number of days in a year, you can go a long way through offering an E-ZPass or some kind of discount for transportation.

SENATOR SAVINO: I spoke to an apple farmer yesterday, and I asked him a simple I said, "How much does it cost for question. you to bring a truck of apples down to the Which he does rarely, Hunts Point market?" but when he does. He said \$1,400 one way. That's an extraordinary amount of money.

And so I think what we're trying to say to you is that we really need you to give us some specific solutions to these problems that we can help work on in the budget. There's a tremendous amount of tax credits and tax cuts that the Governor is proposing. I think maybe we could retarget some of them in a way that provides meaningful support for our upstate farms.

And I won't talk to you about workers' issues, not in this forum, but I would like to thank you guys for your

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

assistance with opening up that dialogue.

And it's one that's going to continue until

we find a solution that works for both sides.

But in the Governor's budget he does talk about this estate tax, increasing the threshold from \$1 million to \$5 million. And I know the reaction from some has been, you know, it's only for rich people. I don't think people really are focusing on the effect that would have on farms.

And I just use my own short
experience in the farming world or the area.
I lived in Orange County once for two years.
Orange County used to be considered rural.
Now it's an exurb of New York City, and that has definitely had an effect on the property values of what used to be farms. And they're selling them now to developers for residential property.

So I think as you guys go along talking about this, remind people that not all estates are multimillionaires. Some of them are farms who just found themselves in the pathway of residential development, which

has drastically driven up their property 1 values. 2 First of all, we MR. WILLIAMS: 3 appreciate your interest in the agricultural 4 industry. 5 Then on the estate tax, it really does come down to us making sure that we tell 7 that story. We do not want to get lost in 8 the overall clamor of what you're talking 9 about. And it's very easy to reach 10 \$1 million in New York State. We lag behind 11 many other states when it comes to the 12 inheritance tax or the estate tax. 13 think just the idea of pegging our threshold 14 to the federal threshold to us doesn't seem 15 like we're catering to the rich fat cats; it 16 just seems more of a parity issue to us. But 17 you're right, it's a story that we need to 18 make sure that we tell. 19 Thank you, Jeff. SENATOR SAVINO: 20 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. 21 And just to wrap it up, I agree with 22 you a hundred percent that you've got to be 23

24

very visible in your push for the estate tax.

1 I've had that bill for years. It was in our 2 recommendations from the Senate hearings throughout the state. The Governor picked it 3 up, thankfully. But the debate on the floor, I've 5 done it before, it's all the rich people. 6 And the rich people are bad in the State of 8 New York, according to some people. They're 9 always able to pay more. So the farmer's 10 voice has to be heard, or else it's not going 11 to pass. 12 So I appreciate your recognition of 13 that and the fact that you're going to make 14 sure it happens. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you for your 16 long-time support as well. 17 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. 18 Thank you very much. 19 The next speaker is Judy Littrell, 20 executive director, New York Association of 21 Conservation Districts, to be followed by 22 Laura Ten Eyck. MS. LITTRELL: Good afternoon. 23

you, Chairman DeFrancisco and Chairman

Farrell, for giving me the opportunity to speak here today.

And on behalf of the New York State

Association of Conservation Districts, I too

want to echo how pleased we are about the

appointment of Richard Ball as the new

commissioner of Ag for New York State. We

appreciate the work that he's done with the

local Soil and Water Conservation District in

Schoharie County, but also for his passion

and his drive to get local foods into the

downstate markets.

The Soil and Water Conservation

Districts serve as primary, local resource management entities. They are implementation-based, non-regulatory, while serving urban, suburban, rural and agricultural landowners and their communities. And because of their diversity, districts have unique opportunities to work in concert with a wide variety of coalitions, government agencies, local partner organizations and municipalities, all promoting cooperative conservation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NYACD is pleased with the Governor's proposed funding of the EPF at a total of \$157 million. We are encouraged by this action, and urge the Senate and Assembly to meet and possibly exceed the funding levels the Governor has proposed. NYACD does ask that as the RETT fund balance continues to increase, that the amount of that fund dedicated to the EPF be brought back to the pre-2008 levels. The demand for EPF funds and protecting the environment is blatantly apparent, and in most program areas the demand is not being met from a funding perspective.

The 2012 legislative session resulted in Governor Cuomo signing the legislation that doubled the Soil and Water Conservation District reimbursement cap from \$30,000 to \$60,000 annually per district. To fulfill this new statute, Governor Cuomo has proposed a \$1 million increase of funding to the Soil and Water Conservation District EPF line item, which would be divided by the 58 districts. Reimbursement and payment from

the state would be made to each conservation district under Soil and Water Conservation District Law Sections 11a, b and c.

NYACD fully supports the Governor's proposal to bring the funding level for districts in line with the district law that was amended in 2012 and asks that the Senate and Assembly also fund the districts at the \$4.5 million level.

Increase in district funding should be strongly considered, mainly due to the ever-continuing growth in demand for services, which is becoming more diverse every year, and in turn, is allowing districts to consolidate services at their local level. Districts are trying to meet these demands while being faced with tighter budgets, particularly funding from county sources which has been decreased in many localities or just plain flatlined.

Just in the past 12 months, retirement payments into the state retirement fund that districts are required to pay into for district employees has risen 18 percent.

The proposed increase in EPF funding is needed not only statutorily, but from a practical standpoint. Soil and Water Conservation Districts have implemented more than 1400 EPF-funded projects from 2006 to 2012.

The districts expedite projects in short time periods, are proven to be effective and proven to be very efficient. The districts spread program dollars fairly equally across all regions of New York State, from Long Island to Buffalo. The EPF funds are leveraged by districts, many of them working together, to attract more money for resource conservation practices from various sources.

All in all, in 2012 conservation

districts in New York State conducted

\$90 million in conservation projects and

programs, which has benefited all

New Yorkers. However, without the \$1 million

proposed increase in Soil and Water

Conservation District funding, the districts

will be unable to maintain their capacity so

as to be able to continue to deliver the technical support and assistance needed to meet this kind of demand for their services for their communities and all of New York State.

And I have listed here quite a long
list of accomplishments of the various
programs that districts have accomplished in
2012. And I will not go through them one by
one, I'll let you read them on your own.

The weather, flood recovery and future natural disasters. Frequent extreme weather events continue to place more demands for district services, especially when flooding occurs. Not only do farmers depend on district staff to assist in evaluating storm damage to their farmsteads, municipalities are depending more and more on districts to assist with mitigation and prevention of future floods.

In a direct response to Hurricane

Irene, the Delaware County Soil and Water

Conservation District developed a

DEC-approved training program to help

municipal officials, contractors and machine operators respond to flood damage. The training is based on sound stream science by working with the stream's natural tendencies for post-flood corrective action. And following the guidelines and recommended procedures, the need for communities to go back and repair mistakes can be eliminated, saving time, money and resources, along with the intentions of preventing extreme flooding in the future.

In 2013, more than 400 municipal workers, machine operators, contractors and statewide district employees have been trained through this program, and all districts have completed flood mitigation projects in 2012, restoring 77,000 acres of public and private land protecting people, property, and the environment.

Upon reviewing the diversity and the wide scope of district programs, ultimately, increased EPF dollars is necessary to meet the statute of the law, and so as to carry out the intent of the district law where it

1.7

states "to protect and promote the health, safety and general welfare of the people of this state."

The Executive Budget has also proposed to fund the Ag Nonpoint Source Program at a level of \$14.2 million. While supportive of this funding level, more funding is needed to meet the constant demand of the program. The goal of the Ag Nonpoint Source Program is to support New York's diverse agricultural community in their efforts to reduce potential sources of surface and groundwater contamination while maintaining the economic viability of the farming community. It is a competitive grant program that is locally led and implemented by the districts.

As a result of the 2012 Ag Nonpoint
Source RFP, 76 proposals were received and
state funds requested totaled \$21.5 million
for 296 farms, but only \$12 million of funds
were available. For the past four grant
cycles, more than \$20 million in requests for
project funding are applied for, and the

demand is expected to remain above the \$20 million level as many CAFO-sized operations continue to utilize the program.

The diversity of New York farm operations are changing, with food processing waste now becoming part of the Ag Nonpoint Source Program. Currently, EPF funding barely meets the needs of only half of the RFPs that are submitted.

Another challenge for compliance is
the federal conservation funds distributed
via the Natural Resource Conservation Service
are being cut due to the federal budget
deficit. These funds, which were utilized in
conjunction with Ag Nonpoint Source dollars,
will be reduced to farmers, which will cause
greater costs to become more environmentally
compliant.

Included in the Ag Nonpoint Source

Program is the Ag Environmental Management

Program, which continues to be a critical

piece in growing the agricultural industry in

New York State, as it provides the framework

for all types of farms, from dairy to

vineyards to equine operations, to protect and enhance the environment while maintaining the economic viability of these farms. And currently over 12,000 farms are participating in the AEM program in New York State.

During its seventh year of existence, the AEM base funding program provided nearly 5,300 hours of technical assistance to farmers by conservation district staff, the equivalency of 32 full-time positions. The 52 participating districts added 605 new farms to the AEM program.

The AEM program and the Ag Nonpoint
Source programs have been recognized by the
EPA and DEC to be the leading mechanism in
New York State to reduce agricultural
stormwater pollution, while also being
identified as a significant element to the
federally mandated Nonpoint Source Management
Program. In addition, the Ag Nonpoint Source
Program has been recognized as an important
initiative to meeting the goals of nationally
significant pollution reduction strategies in
the Chesapeake Bay Watershed.

If the Ag Nonpoint Source Program and with the AEM program are not appropriately funded, environmental stewardship on our farms will not progress forward, water quality will decrease, and farm profitability will suffer, as well as our local communities. The Ag Nonpoint Source Program has a New York State Health and Safety designation and, as such, should be given a high priority for funding.

NYACD respectfully requests that the Assembly and Senate maintain the funding of the program at the \$14.2 million level, with consideration of funding increases in the future based on demand for the program.

In closing, the conservation

districts promote cooperative conservation

and the programs they implement play a vital

role in local communities across all of New

York State. Increased funding is necessary

to continue to build capacity at the local

level within the Soil and Water Conservation

Districts, to support program goals that keep

New York's waterways and land resources clean

and viable, which benefits local food production, tourism and recreation for all New Yorkers.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: First, I see the stenographer taking a deep breath and her one finger fell off because it was going so fast.

(Laughter.)

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Would you like to take a break? You sure? You just raise your hand whenever you're ready.

Anybody? Oh, I'm shocked. Senator Tkaczyk.

SENATOR TKACZYK: Thank you, Chairman DeFrancisco.

And I want to personally thank Judy
Littrell for being here. And she also helped
organize a tour for Senator Krueger and I in
Montgomery County last spring when it was
about 90 degrees out. And we visited many
manure pits and learned about the role that
your organization provides to farmers to
really help them with the technical expertise
to make sure that they're complying with our

CAFO recognition regulations.

I'm just confused, in your testimony you said in 2012 there was a demand for more funding. You had 76 proposals requesting \$21.5 million, and only \$12 million was made available. But you're not asking for an increase in the funding level?

MS. LITTRELL: We are -- well, we would like an increase in funding for the Ag Nonpoint Source Program because obviously we're not meeting the demand. Yes, we are asking for an increase in that line item if the funding is available, realizing that we are getting an increase in the line item as well for the districts. But again, yes, we would like an increase in funding for the Ag Nonpoint Source Program.

SENATOR TKACZYK: And remind me how your operation is funded. Your administrative costs are covered how exactly?

MS. LITTRELL: Partly through that line item in the EPF that's labeled just Soil and Water Conservation Districts. And then every district gets a county appropriation,

1	
1	and that varies. No county gets the same
2	appropriation across the board, it just
3	varies from county to county. Which is the
4	primary source for the administrative part of
5	things.
6	SENATOR TKACZYK: We know how
7	important you are, and I appreciate you being
8	here today. Thank you.
9	MS. LITTRELL: Thanks.
10	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
11	Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
12	And Laura Ten Eyck is next. Darren
13	Suarez is on deck.
14	MS. TEN EYCK: Do I have to do
15	something to start the clock? I've never
16	done this before.
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: No, just talk.
18	MS. TEN EYCK: That I can do.
19	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Just talk and
20	the clock will stop you.
21	MS. TEN EYCK: Okay, thank you.
22	My name is Laura Ten Eyck and I work
23	for American Farmland Trust. American
24	Farmland Trust is a national nonprofit

organization that protects farmland or works towards the protection of farmland from being consumed by suburban sprawl. And I work for the New York field office.

I would like to thank Senator Ritchie for being a big supporter of farmland conservation, and I also want to say hello to Senator Tkaczyk and Senator Krueger, who were both at my family's orchard earlier -- well, last year actually.

And I think what I'm going to do is sort of base my remarks on my own family farm's experience with farmland protection.

But first of all, what I want to talk about is the State Farmland Protection

Program, which is basically funding to do two things. One, to supply funding for municipalities and counties to develop agriculture and farmland protection plans to minimize the loss of agricultural land to development in their communities. And the other is to provide funds for the purchase of development rights on farmland to permanently protect it from development.

The Farmland Protection Program is part of the Environmental Protection Fund.

And the Farmland Protection Program, like many programs, has been inching its way back from severe cuts during the economic meltdown several years ago. The program was funded at \$13 million last year, and we were pleased to see an increase in the Executive Budget proposal of \$1 million. However, there is a need for a lot more funding for a variety of reasons. And what we're requesting is funding of \$25 million.

Our rationale for that request is included in the budget testimony that I provided you with, and I'll be happy to answer any questions about that. But the main thing is I think the Farmland Protection Program has historically been dramatically underfunded, and the demand for the funds has vastly exceeded its needs. And I think the reason for that is because a lot of people don't really understand what the Farmland Protection Program is and what farmland conservation is and the role that that plays

2.0

in many different components of our society.

New York State has 7 million acres of farmland, but we are losing it at the rate of about one farm every 3½ days to suburban sprawl.

What I want to do is share the story of Indian Ladder Farms, which is my family's farm. And I think the conservation of that farm demonstrates a lot of the benefits of farmland conservation and why it's necessary in order to help keep farms going from one generation to the next. It's not really a dramatic story. It's not as if the state jumped in and stopped the bulldozers from tearing down the barn for a housing development. But it does illustrate the precariousness of the agricultural land base.

My family farm was started -- I'm the fourth generation. It was started as a dairy farm in the early 1900s. The barn burned down, it became a beef farm, and now it's an apple orchard. The farm was placed under a conservation easement in 2003. We decided to apply to the State Farmland Protection

Program in 2000 and received funding in 2003.

And a variety of things have been achieved by protecting this farm. One is it played a significant role in keeping the farm in business. The funding we received in exchange for the development rights were reinvested in the business to get us through different times when a partner left the farm, and also was able to help us diversify our crops in response to the growing interest in local foods and begin to grow a lot more different kinds of fruits and vegetables and install a farm-to-table cafe and other activities like that.

The environmental benefits to the Farmland Protection Program are shown at Indian Ladder Farms, which is located between two ecologically significant wetlands and very near John Boyd Thacher State Park. By putting an easement on the Indian Ladder Farms we've expanded the amount of protected area between the park and the wetlands and farm to a swath of over 3,000 acres, the farm representing about 325 acres.

The farm is a pretty big business.

We attract tens of thousands of customers a year to the town of New Scotland, where we're located. We employ a lot of people. Year round we have about 10 employees, and that goes up to about 80 during the fall season.

We have a pick-your-own, we have a big farm market. And many of these people are seasonal workers, but many of them come become every year. And a large number of them are high school students who we constitute their first actual job and basically teach them how to work.

In our customer base we have people who have been coming to the farm since they were children and now they're bringing their grandchildren. In addition to selling, we also do a lot of buying. We buy from all different kinds of local vendors, whether other farm products. One of our big people that we buy from is our acting commissioner of agriculture, Richard Ball. We sell a lot of produce from Schoharie Valley Farms at Indian Ladder Farms.

And we also employ a lot of service providers. With the infrastructure that we have, which includes a number of barns and cold storage units and lots of farm equipment, there is rarely a day that goes by that somebody is not pulling in in a truck of one kind or another to do work on the roof or fix equipment. And these are local people who also benefit.

We decided to put the easement on the farm because in the late 1990s we noticed all around us a lot of farmland was turning into 3-to-10-acre lots and growing McMansions.

They literally now abut the farm on almost all sides except for where the wetlands are, and they continue to appear in spite of the economic downturn.

And so the easement has taken a lot of the stress out of the situation for us, because we are now coming to a generational transition. My father is the principal operator of the farm, and he's 75 years old. It's a little known fact that he is actually immortal and will never die, so --

(Laughter.)

MS. TEN EYCK: Actually, that's not true, but this is the belief that is held by the majority of farmers of his generation.

There's been no succession planning that's taken place. We are now, my brother and sister and I, engaged with him in trying to figure out a way that the farm will stay in the family.

operate the farm. The farm is not able to support three families. Between my brother and sister and I, we have six grandchildren from the ages of 12 to 21 who are all too young to know whether they want to stay in the business or not, so we need to find a way keep things going and try to get on to the next generation.

Having the easement on the farm has taken a lot of the pressure out of the situation, because that's where we all live and none of us want to see it sold and turned into a housing development. And that can't happen.

Basically we're now working starting 1 a new business on the farm, planting hops and 2 barley. We're going to take advantage of the 3 farm brewery legislation and start producing 4 a farmstead beer. We're working with 5 FarmNet, which has been referred to often, 6 consulting with us on how we're going to 7 transition to the next generation. 8 future is unknown, but there is one 9 certainty, and that's that the land will 10 remain available for agricultural production. 11 And that's the end of my comments. 12 If anybody would like to ask me any 13 questions, I'll happy to try to answer them. 14 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 15 16 Tkaczyk. Indian Ladder Farms SENATOR TKACZYK: 17 was one of those farms I took Senator Krueger 18 to go and visit. It's nearby, it's in my 19 district, and it's a wonderful farm. 20 And we're so happy it has that 21 easement and will be a forever farm. 22 your dad, you know, I said hi. 23

I will.

MS. TEN EYCK:

SENATOR TKACZYK: And it's great that 1 you're here. 2 And we really do appreciate your. 3 story, because I think it tells what can 4 happen when we preserve our farmland and how 5 important it is in your community. It's a 6 major economic engine. And there are so many 7 visitors who are coming to visit your farm 8 and then go see Thacher Park. 9 beautiful area, and it is going to stay that 10 way because we've invested in the farmland. 11 So thank you. 12 Thank you. MS. TEN EYCK: 13 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 14 Ritchie. 15 You know, the first SENATOR RITCHIE: 16 17 time American Farmland Trust came to see me, I think they told me that the backlog was 18 like five years back because the funding was 19 cut. Can you tell me, do you have a a 20 backlog right now? 21 MS. TEN EYCK: The backlog -- well, 22 let me just say that the Farmland Protection 23 Program had a history of taking a long time 24

to close its projects.

The reason for that -- there's basically three reasons. One is there's only one guy at Ag & Markets who is responsible for processing all that paperwork, and he's extremely diligent and wants to make sure that the taxpayers' money is put to good use. The land trust and the municipalities and counties that are involved in these deals often have very limited capacity. And the farmers are also to blame, because once they start looking at a conservation easement on paper, all of a sudden they have a lot of questions and a lot of reservations and there's a long process in finalizing all that language, which I can personally attest to, having been through that with my father.

were a lot of projects in the backlog and it was about a \$70 million backlog involving about 60 farm families. That has now been reduced to approximately \$15 million with a few projects outstanding.

There has not been a new request for

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

proposals since the dramatic cut that we had in 2008-2009. We're really looking forward to the Department of Ag & Markets issuing a new request for proposals so that the demand -- I mean, farmers are coming to the land trust and the municipalities all the time hoping to apply for this funding because it's a way for them not only to protect their land but to generate income from their land to reinvest into their businesses.

And we think that it's time for a new request for proposals, and we're hoping as part of that that there can be efficiencies introduced so that these projects don't take any longer than two years to complete.

SENATOR RITCHIE: So this increase in funding actually still will not take care of the backlog?

MS. TEN EYCK: Right. I mean, currently \$14 million will fall just short of closing out the backlog, won't allow any new projects to be put into the hopper. So we're hoping that we can get additional funding on top of the \$1 million to sort of jump-start

Kirkland Reporting Service

the program.

SENATOR RITCHIE: Do you have any idea how many proposals are out there that you haven't been able to look at? Or do you actually keep them potentially in a folder if somebody calls to ask in case you get to the point where you can fund new ones?

MS. TEN EYCK: The regional land trusts have been sort of assembling waiting-list files, but it really has been so uncertain as to when applications will be sought again that not too much advance work has been done.

There is one thing that's happening, though, is that because of the interest in the local foods movement we have a lot of farmers entering the field of agriculture who are really quite young, who do not come from farm families. I mean, as my story shows, farm families who have farms face a lot of problems in transferring them from one generation to the next. The farmers who do not come from farm families are facing huge hurdles in accessing farmland.

We recently were meeting with a 1 couple that was leasing land and then with an 2 FSA loan was able to buy land, about 50 acres 3 in Columbia County. They have a CSA they 4 want to expand into a goat dairy. And they 5 have such a big loan that they can't get any 6 more credit. So they would like to place a 7 conservation easement on their farmland in exchange for funding that they can then use 9 to start up their dairy operation. And these 10 people are probably about late 20s. 11 SENATOR RITCHIE: Well, looking at 12 ways to encourage young farmers is a priority 13 for this session. So appreciate your input, 14 and you'll be hearing from us soon. So thank 15 16 you. Thanks, Senator 17 MS. TEN EYCK: 18 Ritchie. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Senator 19 20 Krueger. SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you. 21 First, my regards to your father. 22 am so glad that Senator Tkaczyk arranged for 23

24

me to visit a number of dairy farms and your

apple orchard farm on that two-day visit.

And next time I visit dairy farms, it won't
be 90 degrees out because that's not the
right time to visit manure pits, I learned.

But I have to say I learned so much from meeting with you and your father and touring and learning all the creative things you've been doing, and including encouraging young people to learn about farming, including constantly experimenting with new farm products to grow and to market, including your proposal for hops.

Senator Ritchie just asked one of the questions I wanted to ask you, which was is there some kind of an economy of scale that we could help build New York successful -- I mean, when I visited your farm I thought, wow, this is a very successful and exciting place. And yet I hear you say that there's a potential problem for three families to continue it together if and when your father decides to stop farming -- because I'll accept that he's immortal and perhaps wants to slow down.

Is there something where we could be doing -- you mentioned that farmers have trouble getting the credit to expand, both I guess for land and equipment costs. Does the farm trust know are there models that are successful in other states that we should be doing here to not just encourage new farmers to come and farmers to stay but also for farms to be able to potentially grow so that they can actually be a larger footprint that increases the economic outcome for themselves?

MS. TEN EYCK: Well, just to come back to farmland conservation for a minute, for land that isn't under easement, as our farm is, extracting the value of the real estate development rights from the land does huge things to make it affordable for the next generation. Often a senior generation will sell the development rights to the land and get public funding and use that towards their retirement and then sell the land at its reduced value without the development rights. The next generation is able to then

afford it at that price and buy it. So that does play a big part in helping the land turn over.

In terms of how to make farming more profitable so that more people can survive off of a farm, that's a really big question and I don't have any specific proposals right now.

I know one thing we're looking at with our family farm is it's grown, like a house that's had additions put on it, over the past 50 years into quite an unwieldy operation. And we're looking at trying to break it into components that individuals can take on and grow from there, especially with emphasis on direct marketing and increasing the amount of food that we can sell directly to the consumer.

Another issue, as was mentioned earlier today, is the institutional purchasing of food. And here in New York State agencies and institutions run by the state are buying a lot of food. That represents an enormous market that's

1.3

1	essentially untapped by farmers in New York.
2	You would think that it wouldn't be so
3	complicated for New York to buy food from
4	New York farmers. But American Farmland
5	Trust has an initiative called Farm to
6	Institution New York State. We've put
7	together a group of people to work on this
8	issue. There's a lot of barriers, so we're
9	trying to identify what they are and ways to
10	overcome them so farmers can tap in that
11	market.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: We have to stop,
13	but I bet all three of us here would love to
14	work with you and the Farmland Trust to
15	explore those options. So thank you.
16	MS. TEN EYCK: Thank you very much.
17	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And that
18	concludes the questions, except that I would
19	like to work with you when you finish
20	producing your first case of beer. I'd like
21	to visit your farm, okay?
22	(Laughter.)
23	MS. TEN EYCK: Absolutely. No
24	problem.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very 1 much. 2 Next, Darren Suarez, to be followed 3 by Eric Carlson. If you would come forward, 4 that would be helpful. 5 And I'm certain that you could 6 paraphrase this very small print on several 7 pages, because you're a very bright guy. 8 know that. I will MR. SUAREZ: Yes, Senator. 10 make sure that we move through it quickly. 11 So thank you and good afternoon. I'm 12 Darren Suarez, the director of government 13 affairs for the Business Council of New York 14 State. And thank you for the opportunity to 15 testify about the Governor's Executive Budget 16 and the proposed changes to the Brownfield 1.7 Cleanup Program. 18 As an organization dedicated to the 19 advancement of an economic climate that 20 encourages opportunity, entrepreneurship and 21 innovation, I offer today's testimony to 22 articulate our support for the current

program, because the brownfield projects

23

right now have successfully redeveloped properties, neighborhoods and communities, all while returning a direct capital investment of over \$6.80 for every \$1 of tax incentive.

In a soon-to-be-released study by the Public Policy Institute, they have determined that the current program has provided significant return to the State of New York in terms of state taxes and also payroll.

In my testimony today I will articulate our support for the framework and much of the intent contained in the Executive's proposed amendments to the BCP. However, we do have concerns about the results of some of the specific provisions. Many of our members are currently reviewing the proposed amendments to determine their real-world impacts. Today I will share with you some of our earlier observations, but in the coming weeks our members will provide to the membership of the committees and the Legislature a thorough and detailed response.

The program has been very successful

and, to anyone who is actually interested in taking a look beyond the headlines, brownfield projects have successfully redeveloped properties have in neighborhoods and communities better than many other programs in the state, all while returning a direct capital investment of over \$1.2 billion. The data is undeniable.

New York taxpayers see \$6.80 in capital investment for every dollar of tax incentive.

And that's only direct investment and doesn't include other benefits to the economy, including jobs, wages, taxes, sales taxes, corporate sales taxes, property taxes, infrastructure savings including roads, power lines, sewers, et cetera, or the environmental benefits.

There are numerous examples of brownfield redevelopment projects that have literally transformed properties. That's contained in my testimony. Right now, though, we've reached a critical juncture. In December the Business Council joined with other members of the development community,

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the environmental justice community, and the environmental community to request that the Executive Budget contain an amendment to extend the brownfield tax credits.

The letter stated that the state has reached a critical juncture, that sites currently entering the Brownfield Cleanup Program are increasingly less likely to complete the program before the Brownfield Redevelopment Tax Credit sunsets at the end of 2015. It is paramount that the Brownfield Redevelopment Tax Credits be extended, along with the BCP and the BOA program, as they are driving brownfield cleanups and redevelopment in New York State.

Many of the requests contained in that letter are contained in the Executive Budget proposal, including extending the tax credits for a period of not less than 10 years, maintaining the current site preparation tax credits for all eligible participants, amending the tangible property tax credit to provide targeting of the tangible property credits based on

quantifiable criteria, increasing the incentives for developers to redevelop brownfields consistent with BOA plans, the inclusion of Class 2 significant threat sites into the BCP, and the development of a fast-track program for lightly contaminated sites that do not seek tax credits.

The Executive Budget proposal has provided a critical framework to focus a discussion on the BCP. After initial review of the proposal, our membership has developed an early list of critical items that should be addressed by all parties to ensure the BCP continues to encourage persons to voluntarily remediate brownfield sites for reuse and redevelopment. It is very clear that with the right reforms the BCP will empower communities and private capital to redevelop New York's brownfields.

Our specific concerns are that to be eligible for the tangible property credits, future applicants must demonstrate that the site meets one of three tests. The Business Council believes that the tests as currently

drafted are too restrictive and will lead to excluding some sites which should be eligible for the tangible property credits. Our membership is in the process now of reviewing past projects to determine the practical effects of the three criteria. Today I will share some of our initial concerns.

The vacancy test. As it's currently drafted, this is too restrictive and doesn't acknowledge that many sites are not completely vacant but are underutilized. There's a requirement in the proposal that a site remain vacant for 15 years, or 10 years and be in arrears with taxes.

The PED project test contains a threshold job requirement that appears to be too high, given current experiences. And most importantly, the project test doesn't value capital investment or job retention. An individual must create between 100 and 300 jobs, and our recent experience is most projects don't create nearly that many.

The Business Council has concern with some of the proposed modifications to the

1.1

The Business Council current program. strongly urges a rethinking of the proposed grandfathering. Breaking from past reforms, the proposal would remove sites for which a brownfield cleanup agreement was entered into before June 23, 2008, if it doesn't obtain a certificate of completion by December 31, 2015. A site with a BCA dated after June 23, 2008, and before July 1, 2014, would be removed unless the CoC is completed by December 31, 2017. Sites accepted into the BCP after July 1, 2014, would have to receive a CoC by December 31, 2015, to qualify for any BCP credits. These provisions don't take into consideration the market conditions or newly discovered environmental conditions.

A new provision would require the implementation of an approved work plan within 90 days of approval and must proceed on the department's approved schedule. This is not necessarily practical even in the best of real estate markets. This provision could force poor long-term choices to satisfy an unjustified urgency. The DEC is right to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

concerned about the time frame for completion 1 of the program, but the development of 2 uncompromising statutory solutions does not 3 reflect the long-term best interests of the 4 community. 5 Finally, the effective date of this 6 proposal should be amended to January 1, 7 2016, thus providing a greater transition period between the programs. 9 Thank you again for the opportunity 10 to comment, and we look forward to continuing 11 our conversation with the administration and 12 the State Legislature to advance a 13 multifaceted effort to strengthen the BCP. 14 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. 15 You said that you provided some 16 recommendations to the Governor as to how the 17 brownfield program should be changed. 18 said some of them were in the Governor's 19 20 proposal; correct? MR. SUAREZ: Mm-hmm. 21 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: But the 22 critical points -- and I've read them and I 23

heard you -- those are things that you feel

still need to be changed to make it in 1 . accordance with what you think would work 2 best. 3 MR. SUAREZ: That's correct. I think 4 the letter reflects what a group of 5 organizations, both environmental and 6 business-wise, thought should be in the 7 Governor's budget. And seeing sort of obviously what was contained, there are some 9 things that we are suggesting should be 10 adjusted. 11 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: So there's 12 some tweaks that have to be made. 13 generally, the concept of amending the 14 program, you're in general agreement except 15 for these particular points? 16 MR. SUAREZ: That's correct. 17 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Okay. Anyone 18 Thank you very much. I appreciate it. 19 else? Eric Carlson, president and CEO of 20 Empire State Forest Products Association. 21 MR. CARLSON: Thank you, 22 Mr. Chairman. Appreciate it. And I will 23 paraphrase my testimony in the due diligence 24

of time. I appreciate your tenacity for taking all this time yourselves.

I want to thank the chairmen and all the members of the standing committees on environmental conservation for the opportunity here to provide some perspective on the budget.

of the Empire State Forest Products

Association. Our association represents the forest products industry, which really generates 66,000 jobs across the state and provides nearly 7 percent of the economic activity. In addition to that, we also own, manage and have responsibilities over recreational values at many of our private forests, and another 31,000 jobs.

And I would say today, on this really cold day, our members are out there in this below-zero weather working very hard. This is for many of our members a hardscrabble job, and they work very hard in very dangerous conditions.

First of all, and foremost, is the

budget. We're extremely pleased to see the

Governor has laid out the Renewable Heat NY

to use the biomass energy in New York to

replace imported oil to heat our homes,

businesses and public institutions. His

message includes -- and I think this is

important -- help to encourage more

sustainable forest management, an important

focus for our industry for the past 20 years.

I want to also lay out some opportunities this Legislature can provide to expand markets for our forest products, deal with the impact of invasive species, and provide new opportunities for cooperation.

I'll start with the way government can help foster our markets.

This Legislature in 2009 enacted the Wood Products Development Council to be that tool to help promotion of wood products manufactured in New York State. The council needs funding this year. We've recommended a level of \$300,000. And there's a rationale for that, because during the last year we are poised to take advantage of the upturn in the

markets. New check-off programs have been passed with self-imposed assessments to our own industries through the paper and softwood industries, and we're currently considering this year a hardwood check-off that would be voted on later this year. Now's the time that New York can provide that seed money that's so important for competitiveness for our industry against our competitors.

The council has really developed a detailed strategy, working with the Departments of Agriculture and Markets, Environmental Conservation, and Empire State Development that if we begin to implement it will be a true partnership with the forest products industry to increase the use of New York's forest products right here in this state. We need to focus the funding for these true partnerships. This will help us focus on developing local markets for our local wood.

Let's also consider some legislation that includes a New York preference for the Office of General Services in purchasing

bids. You may not realize it, but very few
New York manufacturers have the opportunity
to bid on acquisition of their products here
in New York State, and I think it's time we
try to create an opportunity for them to be
competitive on state contracts.

Next I'll turn to the Environmental Protection Fund, which is a great example of where our industry shares support with many groups. We understand the value of public lands. Many of the state's acquisitions have helped assure our industry that there would be land for acquiring wood supply in the future, in addition to providing recreation, watershed protection and clean air. lands have remained as sustainably managed forest, and we're pleased with the DEC acquiring easement ownerships to assure both the industry with wood supplies in the future and the public with access. We encourage this type of acquisition be favored, especially inside the Catskill and Adirondack Parks.

Another topic is the DEC's work with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Ag & Markets on invasive species. The sad result of global trade is that these pests have the potential to ravage our forests.

DEC has used working with our industry, along with the Department of Agriculture and Markets, to listen to our concerns and work through the difficulties to manage these pests.

We applaud the DEC for their work, but they must have the resources. In a state with 19 million acres of forest owned by 660,000 individuals, it's a daunting task and we strongly urge that we address the invasive species allocation within the EPF so that pest funding be increased. Not at the expense of other programs; let's increase the size of the EPF. We support a level that others have supported.

Now let's turn to property tax

reform. And we know that's a topic that's

always a challenging policy issue. We share

the responsibility and burden, along with the

agricultural industry, that we need to earn

our living off the land. Property tax reform

must consider that we need to have decades for our crops to mature, and encourage a more sustainable forest management system that provides tangible benefits for open space, clean water and clean air.

The Forest Tax Law provides that, and we encourage you to consider some technical corrections to the 2008 tax legislation that will encourage landowners to embrace sustainable forest management systems to meet the law's requirements. This won't cost any funding to the state, but it will reduce DEC oversight time and provide no difference in the quality of the results. It will accomplish both that goal as well as providing the assurance that the Governor's Renewable Heat NY initiative would provide an incentive for sustainable forestry.

Finally, let's talk about revenues
that DEC creates. And we heard Commissioner
Martens talk this morning about his desire to
increase revenues from state forest
contracting. I think that we need to work
with the Comptroller's office to provide some

1.3

1.8

efficiency tools so that the state has both the assurance that they're properly managing these forests as well as the flexibility to respond rapidly to the impacts of the forest health conditions of our state forests.

We've seen damages from Hurricane
Sandy and Irene and we've seen the impacts of
invasive plants and the ash borer and gypsy
moth and number of forest pests that have
impacted our resource, and we need to give
our professional foresters the tools they
need to rapidly respond to these needs and
provide much-needed revenues to the State of
New York. We spent decades developing these
resources, and we certainly want to
capitalize those resources to the benefit of
the state.

Lastly, I will remind the committee
that three years ago I came and recommended
to this committee that the state begin to
look at incentives for installing
high-efficiency, low-emission wood biomass
boilers. And we are pleased with Renewable
Heat NY in this year's budget. Helping

communities, businesses and state
institutions that have limited opportunities
to switch from fossil fuels will provide
local markets, encourage more investment in
the community, and keep New York's heating
expenditures here in New York.

Just for an example, New York exports \$6 billion a year annually to purchase fuel oil for heating our public facilities and private homes. Our communities need to keep that money here in town, and Renewable Heat NY will begin to foster that type of investment.

I want to thank you for the opportunity and look forward to any questions.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.

Any questions? Senator Krueger.

SENATOR KRUEGER: I don't know if you were here earlier where I asked the commissioner of NYSERDA what kind of standards would be applied to a biomass model. Obviously you're supporting it. But I have concerns about the environmental

impact.

1.1

MR. CARLSON: Absolutely. And I think that was a very appropriate question that you asked, because that was something that our industry has had to respond to for many, many ways.

What this program would do is really build upon the research investments that NYSERDA has done, as well as the National Lab of Brookhaven, who have developed really important new technologies that are being developed and used in appliances that are available today.

We have two manufacturers in New York
State that actually manufacture those types
of low-emissions, high-efficiency wood pellet
boilers here in New York State. And we have
dozens of schools and businesses that
actually are using them this very day. There
are public institutions using those
facilities in some of our most sensitive
populations, our children. So they're very
confident that the research has been done,
and I think it's really capitalizing on the

research that NYSERDA has done. They have 1 been vigilant in demanding we have the 2 highest standards, and constantly pushing the 3 envelope. And we see this as a great 4 5 opportunity. SENATOR KRUEGER: And do you think 6 you could get me some of that research? 7 MR. CARLSON: We absolutely can. Absolutely. 9 I appreciate that. SENATOR KRUEGER: 10 11 Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: ESF is in my 12 district. And what type of contacts does 13 your industry have or how do you benefit from 14 whatever ESF does in this area? 15 MR. CARLSON: Actually we work very 16 closely with ESF. One of the programs that 17 our industry self-imposes upon ourself is an 18 environmental and safety standard training 19 program. Many of the people at ESF are used 20 as instructors in that program. Over 2500 21 loggers in this state have went through that 22 certification program. We continue to 23

It's required by anyone

operate it today.

1 harvesting on state forests. In addition to that, some of the 2 3 research that they've done, specifically in the research that they're doing on biofuels and bioenergy, has been significant. The new 5 Gateway Center there at ESF is a great 6 7 example where we have a high-efficiency, low-emissions wood boiler that's going to 8 fire that Gateway Center. They're in the 9 final stages of firing that up, and it's 10 going to be a great demonstration of how we 11 12 can develop not only just wood energy but 13 also biofuels from the facility. So they have been the leader in terms of R&D. 14 15 In addition to that, they're also a partner and a member of the Wood Products 16 Development Council. And I think that's the 17 18 benefit of having that Wood Products Development Council funded, is to really 19 20 advance the research and development that we 21 need. 22 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very 23 much. I appreciate it.

(Discussion off the record.)

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: The next speaker is Chuck Parker, New York State Conservation Council.

And then David Corr, Conservation

Fund Advisory Board, if he could make his way
down.

MR. PARKER: I appreciate the opportunity to speak today. For over 80 years the New York State Conservation Council has been a leader in advocating for the wise use and management of New York's valuable natural resources to ensure that they are protected for our children's children. The council supports the sound management principles of New York's fish and wildlife and their natural habitats. We believe in conservation, which we see as the wise use of our natural resources.

The New York State Conservation

Council represents over 300,000 sportsmen and outdoor enthusiasts throughout the state.

While we are not alone in representing the sportsmen, we are one of the largest if not the largest sportsmen advocate groups in

New York. And we also believe our points of view go well beyond our membership and represent the vast majority of all sportsmen and outdoor enthusiasts in New York State.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Excuse me one moment.

Dave Corr, are you here? Why don't you join him, because it looks like we had you both together here and I didn't notice that.

Go ahead.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you.

The Governor in his State of the State message mentioned that there's a \$9.2 billion economic impact to New York from hunting, fishing and trapping. We are pleased that the Governor realizes that there is this \$9.2 billion impact. It shows that we and what we accomplish through hunting, fishing and trapping deserves the support of the state and what is being offered in this year's budget. The amount shows that there is a positive feedback from investments made by the state. We as taxpayers get a great

return on the state's -- our -- investment, in the New York DEC.

The environmental conservation

portion of the budget is vast. I would like

to comment on six items concerning

conservation. These items have long been in

the bucket list of many a concerned sportsman
and sportsmen groups.

The DEC deserves the full funding as proposed by the Governor's budget. In fact, we would like to see more. Through the sportsmen's license fees and resulting matching funds, we do much to support the efforts of wise conservation. The economic impact of money budgeted to the DEC gives a positive return. To fully realize that positive impact, we need the support of the infrastructure within DEC. This infrastructure includes the proper staffing necessary for them to do the best job possible.

Point two, the state fish hatcheries repairs. The \$7.5 million listed in the Governor's capital budget under

1.7

reapportionments is not an unrealistic number. It is a needed number for normal maintenance to keep our hatcheries functional for now and the future. This is a long-time concern of the sportsmen.

The crossbow needs to be recognized as a legal hunting implement, and the Governor promoting that the New York State DEC should determine how it will be used is long overdue. The ability to use a crossbow for hunting has had the support of the majority of sportsmen.

The 500-foot to 150-foot shooting distance reduction for archers from structures is another logical proposal. It offers adequate safety and increased opportunity to manage and harvest wildlife.

The 50 proposed access projects,
access is a key element for sportsmen to be
able to hunt, fish and trap. More access
leads to more license sales, which translates
to more money to be used for conservation and
wise resource management.

Liability relief for landowners would

open up more opportunities for the sportsmen to hunt, fish and trap. The opportunity for a landowner to manage his lands soundly without subjecting themselves to undue legal liabilities. While New York State is well-recognized nationally for the opportunity to hunt, fish and trap and its availability of quality public lands, the availability to hunt on private lands offers additional opportunity for quality hunting and fishing.

We, the council, appreciate the support that the State Assembly and State Senate has offered over the years. I hope that the legislative members in this hearing today can convince their colleagues to support all the environmental conservation funding and legislative initiatives presented under the Governor's 2014 budget.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: David Corr.

MR. CORR: My name's Dave Corr, and
I'm here on behalf of the New York State
Conservation Fund. On behalf of the
Conservation Fund Advisory Board, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

New York State Conservation Council, and the Fish and Wildlife Management Board, I'd like to thank the committee for the opportunity to provide testimony today on the budget.

The Conservation Fund is unlike any other special revenue fund in the state. The purpose of the Conservation Fund is "the care, management, protection and enlargement of the fish, game and shellfish resources of the state and for the promotion of public fishing and shooting." It is funded by the sales of hunting, trapping, and fishing The members of CFAB are from the licenses. very community that provides the revenue deposited into the fund.

The New York State Fish and Wildlife Management Board also serves in an advisory capacity to the New York State DEC. members are the local sportspersons, landowners, and county legislatures from regional boards. In addition, numerous state agencies are represented in non-voting positions on the board, including the DEC, Ag & Markets, Transportation, Cornell College,

and others.

The purpose of the Fish and Wildlife

Management Board is to "approve or disapprove

the fish and wildlife management practices

formulated by the regional boards" as well as

recommend "uniform fish and wildlife

management practices for similar ecological

types within the various ecological regions

of the state."

Our organizations have a common aim, to advise the state on practices and issues that affect sportspersons and landowners who harvest wildlife, are a primary segment of users of state-owned land, and who are the most knowledgeable on these issues from our direct experience in the outdoors. You recognized the need for this expertise in passing laws to create our board and commissions.

Staffing levels within the Division of Fish, Wildlife, and Marine Resources. We remain concerned with the number of staff that are now solely supported by the Conservation Fund. For instance, 31

additional environmental conservation
officers have been added to the Conservation
Fund line. Many of these enforcement officer
positions and duties have little to no
involvement with game species or promotion of
public fishing and shooting. Rather, their
duties are in large metropolitan areas that
have no relation to the purposes of the
Conservation Fund and have no impact on the
license holders whose fees are supporting
their positions.

In fact, since 2009 the Conservation

Fund is supporting more and more staff -
approaching now 350 people -- yet programs

and staff to work with game species, be it

coyote, grouse or others, are lacking. The

shifting of staff is at odds with Department

of Environmental Conservation's five-year

plans on staffing levels that would be

supported by the Conservation Fund.

I would just highlight one quick item on the last page of the staffing levels and just say that in August of 2009 there were a total of 412 positions, and now there are 356

filled positions within the DEC. When you add to that fact that in 2009 there was only one environmental conservation officer, and there are now 86 charged to the fund, you see where the emphasis has gone, to primarily subsidize the Department of Law Enforcement.

Our organizations recognize the budget restrictions that all agencies in our state are facing. You are the ones who are the most familiar with these fiscal realities. Our organizations continue to work closely with the DEC and the Governor's office at these times.

We have also been open to creative solutions. When the number of license sales decreased and the Conservation Fund balance stabilized, we supported the Governor's plan to reduce license fees to spur sales and tourism. However, our support for current license-fee levels remains grounded in the understanding that hunting, fishing and trapping license fees do not go to the General Fund but rather remain dedicated to the Conservation Fund for specific purposes.

As we have in the past, we again request that the Conservation Fund only be used to support staff positions that are in line with the purposes of the fund, namely "the care, management, protection and enlargement of the fish, game and shellfish resources of the state and for the promotion of public fishing and shooting."

Budget Allocations. Our organizations remain concerned with the differences between appropriated amounts approved by the Legislature and the lower amounts that are ultimately allocated by the Division of Budget. These differences, as well as slowness in making such allocations, are directly impacting the fish and wildlife of our state. A simple delay in approving a budget allocation can result in a one-year delay in program rollout.

For instance, Cayuga Lake has a sea lamprey problem. For those who aren't aware, lamprey are a type of invasive species. They have no enemies and feed off of fish, especially trout in freshwater lakes. The

trick to control of the population is yearly treatment. If a year is missed, you have a whole generation of lamprey that will be able to spawn, allowing the lamprey to continue to spawn and feed. Although funding for sea lamprey control has been authorized in the state budget, actual allocation of the funds has not occurred, and therefore Cayuga Lake has not received lamprey treatment. This is directly due to the lack of funding and staff at the DEC. Sea lamprey continue to have a noticeable impact on trout in Cayuga Lake.

Invasive species simply do not wait for budget allocations. Our organizations request that the Division of Budget recognize that funds approved by you for these programs should be allocated and made available as soon as possible.

Other priorities. To provide access for all New Yorkers to New York State lands while maintaining current roadways and access. Provide access and support for the full funding to reopen some of the 60 currently closed or partially closed roads on

1.4

state lands to include funding for access to historic fire towers. Current DEC operational staffing and funding levels have not kept pace with many maintenance issues such as culvert and bridge replacements. This is despite the assistance from local government in many areas. This situation has been further aggravated by the recent cycle of storms.

viable plans for ongoing maintenance while addressing the concerns of local government and the local economies that are affected.

Further, we are asking that the Legislature ensure that budgetary language ensure that the Conservation Fund be protected so as to ensure current and future assets and continued funding through federal funding sources such as Pittman-Robertson.

Support for current legislation. Our organizations also want to express our support for other initiatives from the Governor's budget. Deferred maintenance at fish hatcheries is proposed to be addressed

with \$4 million from the NY Works program.

Public access points would now be funded with
an additional \$6 million.

In addition, the proposed budget, which would allow for safe crossbow hunting and reduced setbacks for bow hunting, is greatly appreciated. In more suburban areas, deer control is simply not possible with traditional firearms. Bow and crossbow hunting provide effective alternatives to rifles for deer control, and realistic setbacks for bow and crossbow hunting make that even more of an option.

Lastly, the Executive Budget provides for legal protection for property owners, lessees or occupants who allow their property to be used for public recreation uses.

I can recall no other Governor in recent memory who has so vigorously supported and personally participated in the outdoor activities that New York State has to offer.

In closing, lastly I would like to thank you for your time today. Our organizations remain committed to working

1 with you and the Governor on behalf of all of the sportsmen and -women of New York. 2 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 4 Thank you. Senator Ritchie. 5 SENATOR RITCHIE: I just want to say 6 7 thank you for the trip down. Just a clarification. Chuck, I know 8 9 that you support legalizing crossbow, but there were a couple of different proposals 10 over the last couple of years, and I know 11 there were different iterations and maybe 12 13 some that you liked better. I just want to 14 make sure that the proposal that the Governor 15 has put in the budget is the proposal that 16 you are supporting. MR. PARKER: The New York State 17 18 Conservation Council has been in favor of the use of crossbows as a legal hunting implement 19 20 for a number of years. And we believe it 21 should be included in the archery season. 22 But we also believe that, you know, approve it as a legal hunting implement and let DEC 23

decide where it's going to be used.

SENATOR RITCHIE: All right, thank 1 2 you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very 3 The lack of questions only means that much. 4 the day is getting longer, not that your 5 issue is not very important. But thank you. 6 MR. CORR: Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Jessica 8 Ottney, director of government relations, 9 The Nature Conservancy. You've been here all 10 the time since I saw you on the elevator at 11 9:30, right? 12 And to be followed by Sean Mahar of 13 Audubon New York. 14 MS. OTTNEY MAHAR: Yes, thank you, 15 And we did ride the elevator Senator. 16 earlier, and you're all troupers, and we 17 appreciate you hanging in today and every 18 year when you do this. Thank you. 19 My name is Jessica Ottney Mahar, and 20 I'm the director of government relations at 21 The Nature Conservancy in New York. And I 22 promise I won't read my testimony. 23

24

take a look at it and, if there are details

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

you want to ask about, I can answer questions today or in the future through correspondence or I can come visit your offices.

I did just wants to point out a few issues and I wanted to first start by thanking all of you, because last year the environmental community celebrated the 20th anniversary of the Environmental Protection Fund, which was a really great moment to reflect on what we've all done together, what you helped create and steward through the years and what we've built together and how it's helped every community in New York Every county in New York State has benefited from the fund. And it was an opportunity that.you all and the Governor took together to begin restoring the fund, which was cut pretty drastically during the economic decline starting in 2008.

So we're very appreciative of the work that you did in the budget last year, including an agreement that will bring more revenue into the state through enhanced Bottle Bill enforcement, which was the source

of funding for an additional increase in the Governor's proposed budget this year. The Governor is proposing to increase the EPF to \$157 million, and we're pleased that that agreement is being implemented and we're urging to take steps to go even further.

When the EPF was created 20 years ago, a smart thing was done by state leaders They linked the EPF to the who enacted it: state's existing real estate transfer tax. And so for the last 20 years when property is transferred in New York State, a small amount of money is put from each transaction into this fund, and it raises a significant amount of money each year. In the good times it raises just about a billion dollars, and that's where it was prior to the economic crash. In the bad times, it went down to around \$400 million, which was a steep decline. But overall it's been providing the EPF a steady source of funding for really important programs across the state -- things like what we've heard about today, protecting our farms, funding water pollution control,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

reducing invasive species, protecting forest lands that provide wood products to our communities, and many more programs.

Municipal services like recycling.

well. There were a few bumps along the way; there was some money removed over the years to offset budget deficits. But things went well. And when the real estate transfer tax declined during the economic crisis, the EPF declined. And while that was painful, I'll say it was understandable. And now the good news is the real estate transfer tax is on the rise. In fact, the fiscal plan has it hitting a billion dollars again by fiscal year 2018, which is great news for everyone.

And so what we're asking is that over the course of this budget process and in the coming few budgets we start making a commitment to really restoring the EPF back to where it needs to be. In 2007, there was a law passed that would schedule increases for the EPF to \$300 million. Obviously that would be a big jump. That's not our ask this

year. But we're asking that the Legislature work with the Governor to take another look at this and see if we can continue to move the needle and move that number up in the budget this year.

A number of organizations are here today, and the number \$200 million is what we're advocating in this budget. And I've included a number of charts in my testimony that you can refer just to see the statistics on where the EPF appropriations have been and are scheduled to be under the currently proposed financial plan, and where the real estate transfer tax revenue is projected to go.

Deen another environmental use of the real estate transfer tax, and this was brought up in some of the questioning of Commissioner Martens earlier. Our last environmental bond act was in 1996. We haven't had one in quite some time. And most of that money has been spent. And as was discussed earlier, some of the spending reduction by DEC this year is

because they've spent down that '96 Bond Act.

And the debt service payments on that bond act are decreasing. And so that means we're needing less real estate transfer tax money for that debt service. And so what we're advocating is as you look to think about how we can recommit and continue to restore the EPF, you look at the real estate transfer tax and take that money that was a different kind of environmental investment and reinvest it in the EPF. And that's a way to move forward with funding some of these important programs.

The Nature Conservancy has enjoyed a really great partnership with New York State on a number of EPF programs. In my testimony I have some information about programs we'd like to see further enhanced should there be more money added to the EPF. We're very appreciative of the increases that were included under the \$4 million proposal by the Governor, and we hope we can again build on those, particularly for the Land Conservation Program, which is currently still only a

third of what it used to be.

We also want to call out specific support for a new suballocation, the Water Quality Improvement Program, for Suffolk County water quality. We're working with a number of other organizations on Long Island because they have a serious problem right now with nitrogen contamination in their groundwater. It's actually closing down fisheries, it's making the water dangerous for public health. It's a serious issue. The county executive in Suffolk County just came out and said this is going to be the number-one issue for his administration.

And what our science is showing is that it's pollution from septic systems that is leaching into the groundwater and getting into the bays. So the interconnectedness between the groundwater system and the surface waters there are allowing this nitrogen pollution to basically flow from the groundwater to the bays and cause these red tides and brown tides.

And so this \$2 million suballocation,

which will be matched by the county, will allow for some pilot programs of advanced treatment systems that can denitrify that water. And it's critically important for Suffolk County's public health and environmental health.

And then I just wanted to also acknowledge in this budget how important it is that the state agencies who administer the Environmental Protection Fund, they've done a tremendous amount of work to increase the pace of disbursements from their programs. Laurie Ten Eyck from Farmland Trust was talking about how some of these programs are slow to disburse money and there was this There's a backlog in a lot of backlog. programs in the EPF, and they've done a lot of work to address that. And in fact this year they're actually planning on spending \$6 million in excess of the appropriation, which is fantastic news. We hope they could even spend more and do more, and we'd encourage that trend in the future.

We also want to support the NY Works

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

funding that's provided for Parks and DEC in the Governor's budget, \$90 million for Parks to continue to work down their backlog of capital programs and \$40 million for DEC for a number of important projects, including the access initiative that we support.

And then I did just want to call your attention to the fact that, much like with the EPF, we had to do a lot of work to get those disbursements to match appropriations. DEC, their all-funds appropriation is actually going up this year, which is great. They need it. Their disbursements are scheduled to drop by \$200 million annually throughout the course of the fiscal plan, so looking a few years outward.

So I'd just encourage, as you all continue your conversations with the Governor, I know the staffing issue is something that, you know, really resides with him. But the staffing and operations and, you know, appropriations for the agency work is also really important. DEC staff are incredibly hardworking. Their mission is

critical to New York State's economy and to our public health. And so as we continue to have our economic recovery, these environmental agencies that have experienced significant cuts do need some restorations at the staffing level as well.

And then I apologize -- I'm going to chalk this up to being the mom of a toddler -- I had to create an addendum to my testimony. But on there you'll find we do support the changes to General Obligations Law and the legalization of crossbows. There's information in there about some studies we've done on New York forest regeneration, the significant impact deer are having on our forest health and possibly our timber economy. So we support the legalization of crossbows in order to give the state another tool in reducing the deer herd.

And then the General Obligations Law, this is really important for not only private landowners across the state but also for The Nature Conservancy. We're a landowner,

1.4

and we have some serious issues, and this causes us some serious stress. We actually had a preserve where we had a gentleman jump off of a 30-foot waterfall about a year ago and break his arm. And his lawyer called asking to talk to our lawyer, and they wanted to know why there wasn't a sign at the top of the waterfall saying it might be dangerous to jump off the top of a 30-foot waterfall.

some of the liability for landowners who allow recreational use of their property, including swimming, that would go a long way for not only individual private landowners but also organizations that really do work hard to make landscapes safe for people to enjoy, and open those private lands to the public for recreation.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very much.

The next speaker is Sean Mahar,
Audubon Society, followed by Adrienne
Esposito, Citizens Campaign for the
Environment.

1.6

1 MR. MAHAR: Good afternoon, Senator, 2 and other distinguished members of the Senate 3 and Assembly. Shall I begin? And I will 4 read word for word my entire testimony. 5 (Laughter.) You get demerits 6 SENATOR KRUEGER: 7 for that. I know I do. 8 MR. MAHAR: And I'll 9 beat the clock, too. 10 Anyway, thank you very much for 11 allowing me the opportunity to testify today 12 on the Governor's proposed budget. 13 I'll just start off by saying that we 14 are supportive of a number of initiatives in 15 the Governor's overall budget; I'll speak to 16 a number of them today. But on the whole, we 17 do strongly believe that the proposed levels 18 of funding for the environment need to be 19 increased overall in this budget. I think 20 when you look across New York, as a number of 21 my colleagues have testified today, demand 22 and public support for environmental spending 23 in this state is on the rise.

You know, for the past 20 years

and we really liked celebrating the

20th anniversary of the EPF with the

Legislature last year and doing some great

events out in our districts to really talk

about the importance statewide that the

Environmental Protection Fund has provided to

our communities. We know that these

communities really see the economic potential

of environmental investments and need to see

more of these resources keep flowing.

as a start in that direction. You know, in the last two years alone, the \$19 million increase last year, the \$4 million proposed increase this year is a start. And we're glad to see, as my colleague from The Nature Conservancy spoke about, the disbursements of EPF funds matching the appropriation.

We still need to see more money devoted to the Environmental Protection Fund overall. The needs across the state, as I said, are increasing. Our failing sewers are discharging billions of gallons of raw sewage every time it rains into local waterways. We

have farmland being lost at unprecedented rates. We have invasive species fouling our waters, our communities, our ecosystems. And the longer we wait to address these issues, the more costly they become to fix in the long run.

And that's why we've supported a \$200 million EPF investment in this year's budget. And as others have pointed out, with the real estate transfer tax really rebounding and projected to go above a billion dollars in the years to come, with the declining payments on the 1996 Bond Act, we believe that there's more than enough money in the New York State transfer tax to cover this increase and to devote more money towards the Environmental Protection Fund this year.

My testimony provides a few of the categories that we support the Governor's increased attention to this year. One that's not there that we'd like to work with the Legislature on is providing more money for private landowners to engage in conservation.

With the majority of New York in private ownership -- our forests, our farms, our grassland habitats -- the choices that private landowners make on their backyards can really make it or break it for a lot of different bird species and a lot of different wildlife species in general. And the more that we can work to incentivizing them doing good stewardship practices, we actually get a really big win for conservation and we also give them property tax relief as well.

So our proposal is to look at the Biodiversity Conservation and Stewardship line of the EPF and increase that to a million dollars and turn that into a grants program where an entity could administer grants to private landowners to implement habitat management plans on their property. This would also go towards providing matching funds for some of the federal farm bill programs and other federal programs that are trying to encourage the stewardship of private lands as well.

So we just see, again, a win/win for

conservation and property tax relief in the state.

Outside of the EPF, we do appreciate the Governor's broader push for new tax credits for private landowners, yet we didn't see any focus on working with private landowners on the conservation side of that. So as you continue to debate that proposal, we'd like to sit down with you more and discuss some opportunities to get tax credits that would incentivize conservation and, again, provide more incentives for private landowners to engage in conservation in the state.

I'll say overall too that we are very supportive of the Governor's proposal for the NY Works program. Again, the continued attention to the backlog of capital infrastructure needs at State Parks and DEC properties is really important. We appreciate your continued support of that initiative as well and want to see that maintained in the final budget.

In the last two years, over

. 24

\$1.5 million of the State Parks NY Works
funding has been dedicated towards habitat
and natural infrastructure stewardship, which
is really good and helps augment and leverage
some of the other EPF funding programs that
are out there, and also really helps to take
off our Audubon in the Parks initiative,
where we're working directly in state parks
to encourage more of this habitat restoration
to occur as well.

On the DEC side of NY Works this
year, we strongly support the Governor's
access initiative, trying to promote more
people getting outside and really improving
those opportunities, and we hope that that is
codified in the budget as well. One thing we
didn't see, though, was a similar proposal to
last year where they utilized some of the
NY Works funding for wastewater
infrastructure upgrades. I think that was a
really good capital grants investment that
the Governor proposed last year, and I know a
number of communities across the state were
really able to leverage that.

We really appreciate all the questions that you asked today of the commissioner and others on the focus of how we tackle this multi-billion-dollar crisis that's facing New York State. And we think the grants program to the NY Works program and the EPF's water quality improvements program are important to that equation, and we'd like to see more money dedicated towards that in the future and coming up with a longer-term solution to that crisis that's facing our state.

A few of the other things I'll just hit on briefly. We do support the New York Open for Hunting and Fishing initiative, especially the proposal to legalize the use of crossbows, limit landowner liability, and decrease the size threshold with which you can fire a bow or crossbow.

As The Nature Conservancy pointed out, overabundant deer are a big threat to forest health in the state, and that's a big threat to forest-breeding birds. And the more opportunities that we can provide to

1.5

hunters to help manage the state's deer herd is really important for conservation activities overall. So we strongly support this push by the Governor this year, and we hope to see that approved in the final budget.

One other program I wanted to flag, the Governor has proposed a \$30 million investment in Onondaga Lake communities for their revitalization. Onondaga Lake is an important bird area for Audubon New York, and we've invested a lot of time and effort into working to improve the habitat around the lake. And we hope that this program can also be leveraged to augment some of those efforts and improve some of the on-the-ground conservation that we're doing.

Also on the clean energy side of things, the Renewable Heat NY program is really an innovative approach, and we really appreciate the Governor's focus on sustainable forestry and trying to promote sustainable forestry through that initiative, and we hope that we can see more details on

that and get that codified as the budget gets finalized this year. 2 3 And I'll just close by saying, too, we put a lot of emphasis on state spending, 4 but the federal government does have an 5 important role to play in funding and 6 7 financing a lot of the infrastructure upgrades that we need to see in the state. 8 We really appreciate the help that a number 9 of you provided this area in sending a letter 10 11 to the President urging increased funding for 12 the Clean Water State Revolving Fund, Great 13 Lakes Restoration Initiative, Long Island Sound Program, and we just want to work with 14 1.5 you more in the future to make sure that 16 we're holding the federal government accountable and ensuring that they're 17 18 investing similarly in New York's 19 environment. 20 So thank you very much for your time. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very 22 much. Assembly? 23 24 Assemblyman Otis. ASSEMBLYMAN OAKS:

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Sean, you 1 mentioned the \$4 million for natural 2 infrastructure, I think is what you were 3 talking about. Do you know whether any of 4 last year's \$4 million was spent or how it 5 was spent? It's a question we can ask DEC, 6 7 but I'm wondering if you happen to know what they did with that. 8 MR. MAHAR: Which \$4 million are you 9 10 talking about? You mentioned ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: 11 water quality improvement money that was in 12 last year's budget that was not recurring in 13 this year's budget. So I'm just curious if 14 you have information about whether last 15 year's amount was allocated anywhere or not. 16 I know it was grouped in MR. MAHAR: 17 18 with the water quality improvement grants or the request for proposals that was announced 19 in the fall. And I haven't looked to see 20 exactly where it's been committed to yet, but 21

ASSEMBLYMAN OTIS: Okay, great. Thanks. Thank you for your testimony.

I'll look and get back to you on that.

22

23

1	MR. MAHAR: Thank you.
2	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
5	Let's see, it's Adrienne Esposito,
6	executive director of Citizens Campaign for
7	the Environment, followed by Laura Haight,
8	senior environmental associate, NYPIRG.
9	MS. ESPOSITO: Good afternoon,
10	members of the Legislature, and thank you for
11	hanging in there. We appreciate that.
12	My name is Adrienne Esposito. I'm
13	the executive director of Citizens Campaign
14	for the Environment. We're an 80,000-member
15	organization throughout New York State, with
16	five offices in New York and one more in
17	Connecticut for good measure.
18	I am not going to be redundant, I'm
19	going to raise issues you have not yet heard
20	today. So you'll be very happy you stayed,
21	with one exception.
22	I too will reiterate the very strong
23	call for increasing the Environmental
24	Protection Fund to \$200 million. Why would

an environmentalist do that, you might say to yourself. Well, we can tell you. Because we feel that the focus on jobs and the economy is the right focus by the Governor and by you as the Legislature. And thank you, because it's working. New York is coming back, and that is a success story.

However, we believe and we know people don't make their final decision on where to live and work based solely on employment opportunities. They base that decision on also what does that area or that region have to offer, what are the recreational opportunities. People look for those things such as clean beaches that are open. They look for hiking trails, they look for zoos, botanical gardens, community parks. They look for farm-fresh produce that's within reach. They look for open spaces.

They look for a better quality of life.

New York has to continue to offer that in order to be competitive in a highly competitive job market. And that's what EPF, the Environmental Protection Fund, does for

us.

1.5

So let's look at the numbers, shall
we? In fiscal year 2010-2011 the RETT, the
real estate transfer tax, generated
\$580 million. It grew, in the next four
years, up to \$816 million in fiscal year
2013-2014. That is a 40 percent increase in
growth, a 40 percent increase in four years.
However, the EPF only grew by 14 percent,
clearly not keeping pace with being prorated
by what the RETT is generating.

We're asking you to help us in the endeavor of getting an EPF back to where it can be and it should be. We believe that these dollars are not an expenditure of funds but rather an investment of funds -- in our jobs, in our economy, and in our health.

So you might be wondering, well, where in the EPF could we put more money. We can tell you that as well. Let's look at one line item no one has spoken about yet:

Great Lakes, Oceans and Estuaries. For instance, oceans provide a heck of a lot of dollars for here in New York. For example, a

NOAA study in 2010 estimated that New York's oceans, just the fishing and tourism industry alone, generate \$21.7 billion into our economy, equating to about 300,000 jobs.

That's a lot of money and a lot of jobs.

But on the other end of New York,

let's look at our Great Lakes. The

recreational fishing industry alone in the

Great Lakes, the sport fishing industry, is

valued at \$2.27 million, equating to

12,000 jobs.

best bang for our buck, let's look at our waterways. The Great Lakes, for instance, the DEC is right now updating and rewriting the Great Lakes Action Plan. That is a plan where we are looking at the challenges of the Great Lakes and what are the remedies, what are the solutions, and what are the activities to be implemented to restore the Great Lakes. This is very fortuitous because right now the federal government, as you may know, has just appropriated \$300 million to Great Lakes restoration. It is in the Great

Lakes Restoration Initiative, as it's called.

As New York does our Great Lakes

Action Plan, we will be well-positioned to

bring those federal dollars to here in

New York as matching dollars. So when we

invest in the Great Lakes, we can also obtain

federal dollars to invest in us here in

New York.

And what about those oceans? Well, just this month DEC gathered many experts to talk about whale monitoring in the New York Bight. You might say there they are, environmentalists bringing up the whales again. And that is true in this case. However, we know through one year of monitoring that whales do migrate past the New York Bight, including the rarest mammal on earth, which is the North Atlantic white whale. And also the blue whale.

This is important because if we are to site offshore wind farms, which we believe we should and we could, and it should be part of our New York State mandate, we need to know the migratory pathways of these species

to not interfere with them. So the DEC is now embarking on gaining the data to do so, and they'll need the funding for that in that line item.

Let's raise the issue of pesticides one more time, because it's worth it. There is a small increase in the EPF for pesticides. That's a good thing, and thank you for that. However, we need to get it right. When pesticides enter surface waters and drinking waters and our air, they are toxic. That is the very definition of a pesticide.

The DEC needs more staff. I heard different Senators and Assemblymembers earlier talk about the fact that we should be approving more products quicker. We would be okay with that, but the DEC needs staff to do that. Because if they only do it with the very few limited staff they have left in the pesticide department, then they'll be doing reviews that are not thorough enough. So a thorough review, we're good with. The staffing in the DEC needs to increase in

order to expedite and also do that in a thorough way that is meaningful and substantial for public protection of health.

I have to say one more thing, which is not in my testimony, but about the registry. And, you know, I've been around long enough, and I'm okay with admitting it, that I was there during the battle when the first registry legislation was passed. And the purpose of that registry wasn't to know where people bought their pesticides. All that tells us is that Walmart or Lowe's or Home Depot has a sale that week. That's all that tells us.

The purpose of finding out where pesticides are applied was so that breast cancer groups and scientists and academia could overlay areas of application with areas of cancer incidence. That's where it's valuable to us. To know where it was bought really has no value.

So if it's broken, we should fix it, but we shouldn't give it a placebo. We're not interested in a placebo, and I don't

think the breast cancer groups are interested in a placebo. And breast cancer is still around. In fact, it hasn't decreased. In fact, unfortunately and sadly and tragically, it has increased. In fact, groups that are now named 1 in 9 have to change their name to 1 in 8 -- or some say 1 in 7 -- to reflect the accurate statistics of what's being found today. So let's get it right and not do a placebo.

The next issue that has been touched on but not talked about, biotoxin monitoring. What the heck is that, you might say. Well, you know what, it's new. And the news isn't good. We have red tide, we have brown tide, we have blue-green algae, we have rust tide, we have a potpourri of toxic tides in freshwater bodies from upstate New York to Montauk in Long Island. And I'm sorry, but the news isn't good. Red tide is lethal. It does kill humans. Rust tide doesn't kill humans, but it kills fish.

Blue-green algae is a liver toxin.

It actually, we believe, killed a dog, a

Kirkland Reporting Service

1 healthy dog that went into a lake in Montauk. 2 And this could only happen, I know, in the 3 Hamptons, where they do an autopsy on the But two hours later, the dog was dead. 4 5 The people were distraught, they had an autopsy done. You know what? It was liver 6 7 failure. You know what? The lake had blue-green algae in it. Coincidence? 8 9 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Was the dog a 10 drinker? 11 (Laughter.) You know, I did not 12 MS. ESPOSITO: make that inquiry. I will follow up with 13 14 that and get back to you on that, Senator. 15 Thank you for asking. 16 (Laughter.) But the point with 17 MS. ESPOSITO: this is that we need to monitor for these to 18 keep us safe. We need to know what's in our 19 waterways, particularly the public and the 20 21 recreational waterways, and the drinking It's really concerning. 22 water sources. 23 The last thing I want to mention 24 quickly is pharmaceutical drugs. No one

mentioned that. It's an emerging issue, but it's one we can tackle. Right now, for instance, Citizens Campaign for the Environment did a study in Suffolk County. We found that 51 percent of the hospitals and the long-term healthcare facilities and the hospices were flushing their drugs, both the narcotics and also the non-narcotics. That is not safe disposal.

We know that 40 percent of drinking water supplies in America are now showing trace amounts of pharmaceutical drugs, just trace amounts. So we're on the precipice here of being able to stop a problem before it occurs.

We are asking you to do one thing. I know I'm over time, but give me 20 seconds. The DEC has a very successful program where they twice a year provide for hospitals and also long-term-care facilities and other care facilities a pickup of unwanted and expired pharmaceutical drugs. Twice per year. They piggyback on the DEA, the Drug Enforcement Agency's program where they do it twice a

year.

But unfortunately, the DEC is now only able to provide this in the Croton Watershed district, and also they're expanding it to Monroe County. Well, we think everyone needs equal protection. And we're asking you, members of the Legislature, to put a line item in the EPF of approximately \$800,000 so we can expand that drug pickup program.

Where did we get that from? Well, you know what, the long-term-care facilities and the hospitals told us they would love that. They'd use it in the New York City Watershed, they would use it on Long Island, they'd use it in Westchester, where we met with all of them. They feel that that would help them. This is not a suggestion that came from environmentalists sitting in their office, this is a suggestion that came directly from the businesses and the care facilities that have a need for safe disposal. I can talk to you more about that.

But in the end, I'd like to say that

1	thank you for your work and to remember that
2	the environment is in partnership with our
3	economy and with our jobs and with a healthy
4	New York. And we're happy to work with you
5	to continue to do this work. Thank you for
6	the opportunity to comment.
7	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
8	much.
9	We have a question.
10	SENATOR KRUEGER: Sorry, no, I want
11	to thank you for the testimony. And also for
12	being the first one today to bring up wind
13	power and the importance of New York State
14	continuing its exploration there. And I
15	appreciate very much that you're here saying
16	that, you know what, this can be a good thing
17	for Long Island, we just have to factor in
18	all the legitimate concerns.
19	MS. ESPOSITO: It's one of my
20	favorite topics. Yes.
21	SENATOR KRUEGER: So thank you for
22	your expertise.
23	MS. ESPOSITO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Laura Haight,

1	NYPIRG, and then Rocci Aguirre is on deck.
2	MS. HAIGHT: Good afternoon.
3	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Good
4	afternoon.
5	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Good afternoon.
6	MS. HAIGHT: Thank you for this
7	opportunity to testify today. My name is
8	Laura Haight. I'm senior environmental
9	associate with the New York Public Interest
10	Research Group.
11	I will of course summarize my written
12	comments. I have been well trained by you,
13	Chairman DeFrancisco.
14	First off, I'd like to talk about DEC
15	staffing issues. As the state's economy
16	improves, we should be restoring the agencies
17	charged with protecting our resources and
18	public health. The DEC is at an all-time
19	staffing low, and as a result their ability
20	to enforce the laws to protect our air and
21	water has declined precipitously and the
22	agency is increasingly relying on industry
23	self-monitoring.
24	Unfortunately, this budget adds only

one full-time staff position to the DEC. We absolutely need to reinvest in the DEC's professional workforce in order to protect

New Yorkers and respond to the everincreasing natural and manmade disasters as our aging infrastructure crumbles and severe storms like Hurricane Sandy and Irene become more frequent.

As previous speakers have mentioned, the state's environmental funding needs far outstrip the funding proposed in the Governor's budget. We appreciate the Legislature's leadership in ensuring that the EPF throughout the years has been funded, and we hope that you will work to restore funding in the EPF this year to a level of at least \$200 million.

Within the individual EPF categories we urge you to increase funding for municipal recycling programs. This program is at all-time low of \$7 million. This is a program that provides fifty-fifty matching grants for municipalities to support both their recycling and their household hazardous

waste collection programs, and this is the only source of assistance to these cash-strapped local governments to operate these programs. There's a very long waiting list for these grants as a result.

It's also important for New York to maintain its commitment to the shared resources of the Interstate Chemicals Clearinghouse, and we would request that the \$100,000 be specifically earmarked for the ICC, as it has been previously, rather than subsumed in the Pollution Prevention Institute's line item.

The environmental community has
identified numerous potential sources of
revenue to pay for these programs. In
addition to tapping existing sources of
revenue such as the real estate transfer tax,
the state should consider creative proposals
such as a fee on plastic and paper disposable
bags in New York, which would generate
\$100 million or more in new revenue while
also reducing litter and pollution in our
communities. And kudos to CCE for leading

1.3

the charge on this initiative.

Another source of funding for the EPF is the Bottle Bill. The state is now collecting more than \$100 million a year from unclaimed deposits in New York. Of that, \$19 million is now directed to the EPF under the agreement from last year. We believe, of course, that a hundred percent of that should go to the EPF.

But there's other ways that the
Bottle Bill can be used to generate more
funding. For one thing, we could get a lot
more money if we enforced the program more
aggressively. New York should follow the
example of California, which has invested in
additional auditing staff to track down over
700 companies that have not reported the
deposits they've been collecting. According
to the Container Recycling Institute,
New York is losing out on as much as
\$96 million a year in revenues because of
underreporting of deposits initiated on
beverages sold here.

The Legislature should also expand

the Bottle Bill to include sugared waters,
teas, sports drinks and other noncarbonated
beverages. While the primary purpose of this
would be to reduce litter and increase
recycling, this could generate an additional
\$12 million a year, according to CRI.

Finally, we need to do a comprehensive review of the DEC's prorating fees to identify funding gaps and see whether New York's fees are in line with other states'. For instance, the DEC has spent probably in the hundreds of thousands of dollars over the past 10 years reviewing a proposal by Chemical Waste Management to build a new landfill in Niagara County. And this is all at taxpayers' expense, because there's no application fee for siting or expanding hazardous waste facilities in New York.

I wanted to talk about Superfund financing. There are currently more than 800 toxic waste sites awaiting cleanup through the state's Superfund program, and more sites are getting added to the list

every year. Unfortunately the 10-year bonding authority for the State Superfund expired last March.

The Governor has proposed including \$90 million in this year's budget to extend that program, but that's just for one year. That's a radical shift from how the Superfund has been financed in the past, and this is going to have very serious impacts on the program. As you know, it was initially financed through a bond act in 1996. That funding, when it expired in 2001, when that happened, cleanups virtually ground to a halt for several years before the Legislature finally refinanced the Superfund in 2003 with 1.2 billion bonding over 10 years.

The state needs to make a long-term commitment towards the cleanup of its most toxic hazardous waste sites, not subject the program to the vagaries of year-to-year budget appropriations. So we urge the Legislature to extend the state's bonding authority for another 10 years to support Superfund and ERP cleanups.

We also have concerns that the proposed funding level will not allow for the timely cleanup of these toxic waste sites.

They're proposing an amount which is 29 percent less than previous Superfund levels, and we believe it should be restored to \$120 million a year and include sufficient agency staff so that those resources can get out the door and we can start cleaning these toxic waste sites and restoring the communities that have been impacted so badly for so many years.

We join with others in raising our voice in opposition to the elimination of funding for the Brownfield Opportunity Area program. This is an important program, a key competent of the Brownfield Cleanup Program. According to New Partners for Community Revitalization, 42 eligible applicants were turned away in October due to lack of BOA funds, and there's an estimated 70 more communities that are seeking to apply to that program. So we urge that that funding be restored.

On the Brownfield Cleanup Program, we commend the Governor for advancing a proposal to reform this program, including many of the reforms that our groups have been calling for for many years. But since we're NYPIRG, we do have a lot of concerns about the details.

These include -- and I could provide more information later -- the criteria for eligibility for the tangible property credits. They need to be revised to allow for affordable housing projects and projects consistent with BOAS. In addition, we do have concerns about the language on priority economic development projects and think they need to be tightened.

The proposal removes, very imprudently, some of the BCP cleanup and public participation requirements while allowing even more toxic Superfund sites into the program. The proposal eliminates bonus credits for Track 1 cleanups, despite the law's stated preference for permanent site cleanups.

The proposed fast-track voluntary

program offers weaker cleanup requirements as an incentive for participation. This is simply not acceptable for sites that will be receiving a liability release from the state at the completion of the project.

And the proposal eliminates the Brownfield Advisory Board, which could play an important role in helping improve the program. I'm not aware that that board has met.

So there are provisions that we support. We do support the termination of sites that do not complete their cleanups within the specified time periods. And we do support extending the hazardous waste generator fee exemption to additional cleanups in the State of New York.

But we do question whether these proposed reforms will go far enough to contain the runaway costs of this program, and we believe you should review the tax caps that were imposed in the 2008 amendments and see whether they need to be amended.

So in conclusion, we urge the

Legislature to really engage with the

Governor's office on this to ensure that the final brownfield reform package is fiscally prudent, environmentally protective, and socially and geographically equitable.

I have a number of other items; I'll go through several of them quickly. But our other big-ticket item here is the proposal to what I would consider gut the state's Pesticide Reporting Program. We strenuously oppose this proposal to eliminate pesticide use reporting.

The pesticide use data collected through New York's 1996 Pesticide Reporting Law has led to groundbreaking scientific research and informed a number of important public policy decisions interesting at the state, local and federal level. This proposal would gut this program, shutting down opportunities for important medical and scientific research, and making the remaining data collection of marginal utility.

We question why the Governor is seeking an increase in EPF funding for this

program, from \$1 million to \$1.2 million, while proposing to scale back the program so significantly. So we urge you to reject that proposal and instead pursue ways to make data collection more efficient and data itself more accessible. And we certainly have ideas for that, and some of them are embodied in legislation that Assemblyman Englebright has advanced in the past.

We'd like to see funding for a clean-energy roadmap for New York that's described in our comments. Of course we were glad to see no funding for fracking in this budget. And we do urge -- it's not in the budget now, but for the past three years it's ended up in the final budget, what has been this delay in the implementation of the state's Diesel Emissions Reduction Act, the DERA. This is an important legislation that there's no excuse for delaying it. The technology exists. The company that manufactures the technology is right here in New York, in Corning.

New York has the second-worst air

quality in the country when it comes to 1 diesel, and the impacts on children's health 2 and the elderly and people with heart disease 3 or lung disease cannot be understated. 4 There's no excuse, and really it's time to 5 stop kicking the can down the road and allow 6 DERA to go into effect, as it should have 7 been at the end of I believe it was 2010. 8 Thank you very much. 9 Thank you. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 10 The next speaker, Rocci Aguirre, 11 director of conservation, Adirondack Council, 12 followed by Robin Dropkin. 13

> Could I just go through these names and see if you're all here? Robin Dropkin? Tracy Brown? Here. Katherine She's here. Nadeau? Here. Eric Kulleseid? Okay. Bicking? Okay. And Roger Downs. troupers. More troupers.

> > All right, you're on.

MR. AGUIRRE: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman DeFrancisco, Chairman Farrell, and honored legislators. My name is Rocci Aguirre, and I'm the conservation

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

director at the Adirondack Council. We appreciate the time to come down and testify and get out of the North Country and enjoy some of your warm weather down here.

The Adirondack Council is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit organization that is dedicated to ensuring the ecological integrity and wild character of the Adirondack Park -- clean air, clean water, large wilderness areas, and we really work to be a part of vibrant communities and support thriving farms and working forests.

We would like to echo many of the comments that you've heard from our partners and colleagues previously about the efforts by the Senate and Assembly and Governor to restore modest increases in the Environmental Protection Fund. We appreciate your actions and leadership and feel that this has been extremely helpful in the Adirondacks. On that level we'd like to thank you.

The restoration of the EPF is a funding source that focuses on key issues in the Adirondacks that are of importance to the

council, including land acquisition, clean water, parks and community projects, and have proven to be not only a great investment for the North Country but also critical to the lifestyles and the livelihoods of the communities there.

We can do more. The modest increases in the EPF reflect that the substantial move forward of the \$4 million, from \$153 million to \$157 million, is a great first step. And in the North Country in particular, we see projects that come out of these funds leveraged against private and local community efforts. A great example is funding by the local North Country communities to put out boat-wash stations as they seek to combat invasive species.

A TPL study found that for every dollar spent from the EPF that there was \$7 of economic impact. And up in the North Country, the EPF equals clean water, and that does equal more jobs.

As we have detailed in our written testimony, there are multiple priorities that

are addressed in this year's budget that the Adirondack Council is concerned with. The most important of these priorities is the funding of the EPF. And we congratulate you and the Governor on turning around a \$10 billion budget deficit and making \$500 million available to invest in priorities while providing tax cuts.

As such, we are disappointed that some of the \$500 million and other previously dedicated Clean Water Clean Air funding wasn't directed to the EPF, where funding has been slashed in recent years to help balance the budget.

Without changes, the state will miss real opportunities to create jobs; enhance tourism, recreation and local agriculture; reduce water and air pollution; and conserve New York's most precious natural resources.

We ask along with our colleagues and partners that you restore the EPF to \$200 million and, while doing so, develop a plan to fund New York's clean water infrastructure projects in the Adirondacks and across the

1.0

state.

Under the proposed 2014-2015 budget, modest increases in some areas are offset by reductions in others. And as you've heard the numbers on the real estate transfer tax, in the coming year the state will pay \$12 million less to the 1996 environmental bondholders in 2014 than it did in 2013. Rather than using this savings to further restore the EPF, this budget proposal transfers the savings to nonenvironmental spending.

The modest restoration from \$153 million to \$157 million still leaves the EPF far below the 2009 level of \$250 million. We know New York can do better. We are confident that clean water, open space, parks, green jobs and the Adirondacks are a priorities for the Governor and Legislature.

We strongly support the proposal in the Legislature for a Clean Water, Clean Air, Green Jobs bond act and suggest that we need more discussion of how to provide assistance to local communities struggling with funding

upgrades for clean water infrastructure.

In addition to a \$200 million EPF, some of our top priorities include, in order to quickly reverse the trend of the massive backlog that has been created, we believe that the Open Space line should be funded at \$30 million this year, including \$26.75 million for land acquisition. At \$4.6 million, the Invasive Species line is the same as last year; but with a \$200 million EPF, this should be \$10 million.

In terms of conservation impact, addressing invasive species is given a paramount priority in the North Country, and demand for funding for programs far outstrips the supply.

Of particular concern in this year's proposed budget are the impacts to the staffing and operational abilities of the environmental agencies that are so integral to life in the Adirondacks. As you've heard, we believe, like others, that at the DEC additional resources are critically needed across all divisions but are of particular

Kirkland Reporting Service

importance within the Natural Resources and Public Protection Offices.

The current statewide force in the Lands and Forest Division has been steadily decreasing over time. Current staff levels now are lower than they were in 1985. And with a half-million more acres to manage, and more on the way, those staffing needs only become more paramount.

Operating statewide with 60 percent of their command structure and 50 percent of their administrative structure. This pushes the department to be reactive rather than proactive. And in the North Country, that's just unacceptable.

On a positive note, we applaud the \$5 million in bonded NY Works money that will be spent on equipment and vehicle replacements, and the \$21 million to be spent on repairs to DEC facilities, including \$2 million to be spent on our crippled air-monitoring network. This is a positive first step towards what should be a longer

plan to revive the DEC fleet and facilities. 1 2 Finally, for the APA, the smallest of the state agencies, we request that the six 3 vacant positions in regulatory affairs, 4 planning and enforcement be reinstated and 5 6 filled immediately to expand and improve 7 community outreach and proactive education to prevent compliance issues. 8 In closing, on behalf of the 9 10 Adirondack Council and our membership, I thank you for your core time and your efforts 11 12 to restore funding for clean water, open 13 space, and keeping the Adirondack Park --14 your Adirondack Park -- wild for everyone. 15 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. Robin Dropkin, executive director of 16 17 Parks and Trails New York, followed by Tracy 18 Brown, Riverkeeper. 19 MS. DROPKIN: Good afternoon. 20 for hanging in there. 21 I'm Robin Dropkin, executive director of Parks & Trails New York. PTNY was founded 22 in 1985 to support the state park system. 23

the almost 30 years since, our influential

reports and advocacy efforts have been critical to keeping parks open and to protecting New York's natural and recreational resources.

As you know, our 214 state parks and historic sites are significant economic drivers. The tourism dollars generated by parks are critical, especially to upstate communities. According to an independent study that we commissioned, the New York State park system boosts the state's economy \$2 billion annually. That's about a 5-to-1 return on investment.

Parks also provide many other
benefits, strengthening New Yorkers' health
and fitness, protecting communities from the
impacts of extreme weather events, preserving
the state's heritage for future generations.

Because of their additional benefits, most assessments of the impacts of parks underestimate their true value. But when most New Yorkers think of parks, they don't think about return on investment, all they know is that they love their parks. When

parks were threatened with closure, hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers rallied to their support. For the past two years, on the first Saturday in May, more than 6,000 New Yorkers have taken time out of their busy weekends to volunteer for I Love My Park Day and help clean up and beautify state parks and historic sites.

It's thanks in large part to this outpouring of support, including support from the Legislature, that parks have remained open and are beginning to thrive again.

Because parks are loved by so many

New Yorkers, they're one of the most visible

and symbolic of government programs. When

parks look shabby and neglected, New Yorkers

notice and feel less proud of being

New Yorkers. And since rebuilding a park

restroom or putting a new roof on a visitor

center can be done quickly and at a fraction

of the cost of rebuilding a bridge or a

highway underpass, park improvements offer

government a tangible way to show New Yorkers

and the world that New York is on the move

again.

Parks are an integral part of the state's infrastructure. The Governor and Legislature have recognized this by including parks in recent efforts to rebuild the state's infrastructure and economy. The \$179 million investment made by the state over the last two years has made it possible for State Parks to begin to address the estimated \$1 billion backlog in critical park infrastructure needs.

Flagship parks like Niagara Falls, which only a few years ago were called shabby and underfinanced by the New York Times, are beginning to receive vital makeovers. And visitors are clearly noticing the improvements. Visitation is now up to more than 16 million annually.

However, challenges remain.

According to a report by the Comptroller,

almost every park and historic site in the

system has some infrastructure need, from

crumbling buildings to outdated water, sewer

and electrical systems.

The \$90 million in new park capital funding through the NY Works program proposed in the Executive Budget recognizes this need. NY Works funds will be used to maintain and rehabilitate aging state park facilities, half of which are over 50 years old. With an investment of \$90 million annually, the backlog in capital needs can be addressed over a roughly 10-year period.

The Executive Budget maintains the

State Parks operations budget. Given

New York's recent fiscal challenges, we
appreciate that the agency's operations

budget has been held steady. However, rising

costs outside of the agency's control mean

that even a level operations budget will have
deleterious results.

The parks operations budget has been cut 23 percent since the economic crisis -more than most agencies. And it's operating today with 1500 fewer staff than it was in 2008. The effects can be felt on the ground, with reduced hours, days and seasons, fewer programs and workers, fewer park police, and

less maintenance, not to mention fewer resources dedicated to natural resource protection and the visitor experience.

serving more and more visitors but being given fewer and fewer resources with which to do so. It just doesn't add up. More parks plus more visitors equals less resources.

While capital improvements are critically important, without sufficient funding for operations the agency is left struggling to achieve its mission.

I just wanted to add about another creative way to advance the future of the parks system, by taking a closer look at the role of park and historic site Friends groups. Active and engaged grassroots organizations already contribute significantly to the stewardship of the state park system, raising tens of millions of dollars and logging hundreds of thousands of hours of volunteer assistance. Finding ways to strengthen and further engage these organizations will reap large benefits,

enabling them to leverage more private and federal funding, marshal more volunteer power, and better fill the growing gap in government support.

Over the years, PTNY has developed a suite of resources and opportunities for Friends groups, ranging from capacity-building grants to intensive technical assistance. But clearly more could be done.

Environmental Protection Fund, of which we're particularly interested in the stewardship funds for state parks and forests and the municipal park program. The increase of \$4 million of course is very welcome, but it falls short of what is needed to meet the growing demand across the state. In a year when New York has its first budget surplus since the recent economic decline and significant investments are being made in many other sectors, we call on the Legislature to not miss the opportunity to increase investment in the environment.

As you know, EPF programs are very

popular. A recent survey showed that a 1 majority of voters in every part of the state 2 support enhancing funding for the 3 environment. Therefore, we urge you to work 4 with your colleagues to provide enhanced 5 funding -- ideally \$200 million, as you've 6 heard before -- for the EPF in the state 7 budget. 8 So PTNY looks forward to continuing 9 to work with the Legislature and the 10 administration to protect and preserve the 11 legacy of our great park system, as well as 12 to strengthen the network of parks, trails 13 and greenways across the state. 14 Thank you very much for hanging in 15 there. 16 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you for 17 hanging in there as well. 18 Thank you. CHAIRMAN FARRELL: 19 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very 20 21 much. Tracy Brown, from Riverkeeper. On 22 deck, Katherine Nadeau, Environmental 23 Advocates of New York. 24

MS. BROWN: Good evening. Thanks for the opportunity to submit testimony on behalf of Riverkeeper.

Riverkeeper is dedicated to defending the Hudson River and the drinking water supply of 9 million New York City and Hudson Valley residents. So as Riverkeeper's representative, I'm going to focus on water-quality issues and I'm just going to address four issues, two of which haven't been brought up yet today.

As you may or may not realize,

New York is very unique in that it's the only
state that has Great Lake, bay and ocean
beaches. And many people call us the
"Saudi Arabia of water." And it is this
abundance of clean water that is one of the
things that continues to attract businesses
and residents to our communities. It's a
hugely valuable resource.

I'm going to start with something that has been addressed today a couple of times, our need for wastewater infrastructure investment.

2.

I think other people have made the case well that this is a large problem that we've inherited from decades of reduced federal funding. A system that once was based on grants from the federal government is now based on loans that municipalities need to take out on their own. And because of this shift across many years of a reduction of funding, we've gotten ourselves in a bad spot where our systems have really gotten rundown and now we're in catch-up mode.

So we need some really creative thinking and some big thinking to jump-start our investment in wastewater infrastructure, and that is not evident in the proposed budget that the Governor has given us.

The Sandy federal dollars do include some money for wastewater infrastructure downstate; \$1.6 billion has been identified for that. And that's great for downstate communities. But if you look at the total price of \$36 billion that it has been projected by the DEC that we need, that's

really just a drop in the bucket.

Some specific actions that we recommend that can be taken are, first of all, addressing this problem of local communities being really hamstrung and unable to pursue bonds and handle debt service to start to tackle their local wastewater infrastructure needs.

So we would like to urge the committee to pass a law that would exempt wastewater infrastructure investments and water infrastructure investments from the 2 percent tax cap. That would start to give communities some breathing room to make investments and start to address their local problems.

I'm going to move on, in the interests of time. Another topic that wasn't addressed specifically today that is central to water quality is water-quality monitoring and assessment. There is a program at the DEC, the Ambient Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Program, which gives us all of the data that we use to make our assessments of

1.4

how healthy are our waterways and where do we invest our precious and few clean-water dollars.

That budget has been chipped and chipped and chipped away, and last year I was alarmed to hear, when the sequester cuts came through, that the DEC was down to the point of having \$640,000 for the statewide water-quality monitoring program, and they had to cut it by another third. So right now that program is operating with \$440,000. just to give you a point of reference, Riverkeeper does a program where we sample, on the Hudson River, a 150-mile-long estuary once a month in the recreational season, and our budget is 50 percent of what the state is spending for all the water-quality monitoring for the entire state.

So we're in a tough spot where here on one hand you have all these people calling for improvements, some people are lucky enough to have some dollars to invest in water-quality improvements, but at the same time we're heading towards this data blackout

1

2

3

where we're not able to really say in a meaningful way how those investments are working.

So you can spend money to take sewage contamination out of the water, but if you have no sewage contamination monitoring, which right now we do not -- pathogen monitoring was completely eliminated in that last cut -- how do you know if that money was spent well? How do you know if it really is safe for people to get in that water or a good investment to build a waterfront park in Albany?

This cut, this one-third cut included the complete elimination of pathogen testing, pesticide testing, and PCB testing. And we have many waterways across the state that are already listed as being impaired for those pollutants. And we are obligated by EPA to track that and report on that, and I for one am not really sure how we're going to do that without this data. So I just wanted to highlight that.

Another part of this program that

actually disappeared years ago, during the cuts that we've been seeing over the course of multiple years since the economic downturn, is the funding to go out and do spot testing for our permitted dischargers. So we have our SPDES-permitted dischargers who are allowed to discharge industrial waste and municipal wastewater into our waterways but, because of the lack of funding, they are self-sampling and self-reporting on what their discharges are. They're reporting if they're in compliance with their permits.

system, we have a trust-and-don't-verify
system right now. Which is fine for a lot
of, you know, probably the vast majority of
dischargers, who do the right thing and do
correct reporting and do correct monitoring.
But all you need is a handful of bad actors
out there and you end up like West Virginia,
with all of a sudden surface-water supplies
or recreational waterways going completely
offline overnight because somebody hasn't
discharged correctly because somebody isn't

1.8

following their permit because someone hasn't maintained their facility and there isn't enough boots on the ground to go out and inspect and make sure that all of these facilities are up to snuff and operating the way that they need to.

So we really are running a very serious risk when we lose data and we lose inspections.

This Ambient Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Program, as I mentioned, it's used to manage our listings of impairments, so 303(d) listings, 305 listings. It's used to track the waterways that are already polluted and struggling, to see how they're doing with TMDLs. It's also used to track and monitor how we're doing with our invasive aquatic species, an issue that other groups brought up today, and harmful algae blooms, as well as compliance with SPDES permits.

So it's very important, and I urge you to look at this part of the budget and put something back in for DEC to really have a fully funded Water Quality Monitoring and

1.8

Assessment Program.

The third item I'm going to talk about is somewhat related. On the good news front, two sessions ago, with the help of many people in this room and many people outside of this room, we passed the Sewage Pollution Right to Know Law. And that law was the first step towards saying, okay, we know we have this wastewater infrastructure, it's having a lot of failures, we need to start with transparency, we need to start by letting the public and the agencies that are responsible for these systems get better insight into where are the failures and, as a result, where is it safe and unsafe to get into the water.

So that law went into effect this

May, and it's been very interesting to see it

work. One of the things that we're getting

out of this law, even though it's still in a

Phase 1 implementation -- and there's a

Phase 2 that's going to come online for this

recreational season. But the Phase 1

implementation has included reporting of

waste infrastructure system failures. So pipes breaking, unexpected accidental releases from our systems.

And in the first eight months it went into effect, it documented over 100 million gallons of sewage that was dumped into the waterways, from the Great Lakes out to the Atlantic Ocean, the Hudson River, our lakes and streams.

So that's helpful. That's more than we knew about previously. And I think the DEC is finding it useful to have that data, and it's helping to inform the wastewater infrastructure investments that we are able to make at this time.

But what it hasn't been capturing so far is the sewage that's discharged from our combined sewer systems. And our combined sewer systems are the ones in the old cities across the state where you have your sewage lines using the same line as your rainwater. So when it rains, you have an automatic bypass of combined raw sewage and stormwater into the waterways.

1.5

1.7

That volume, over the same eight months, would have been 22 billion gallons of sewage and stormwater, and that was not recorded by Sewage Right to Know, and the public has not been notified of that much larger volume of sewage pollution.

So what we would like to see happen, in order to help the communities that have these combined sewer systems do a better job notifying the public, is we'd like to see some money appropriated for the installation of monitoring technology or prediction.

You can make predictive models where you basically say if it rains half an inch, that means in my town the CSOs are going to deploy. If it rains a quarter of an inch, then in that neighborhood they're going to deploy. And based on these models, you can start to notify the public when sewage is going into the waterway. And that is the intent of the Sewage Right to Know Law. But because the communities, a lot of them don't actually know when their systems are dumping sewage, they're saying that that's a reason

not to report through this law. 1 So we're hoping we can provide some 2 funding for those communities to track those 3 systems to do full notification. And it will 4 have the dual benefit of them getting a 5 better handle on how to reduce the frequency 6 7 of those overflows. And then finally, the last issue I 8 want to talk about briefly, which has --9 10 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Briefly. Briefly. Because you've got to glance at 11 12 your clock. MS. BROWN: Very briefly, sorry. 13 -- is DEC's staffing levels. 14 So just in general, we all know and 15 have heard that with less staff we're going 16 to have less enforcement, we're going to have 17 less data about what's happening in our 18 environment, and we're going to have less 19 opportunity to make the smart investments and 20 get the most for our money. It's really a 21 situation where you spend less now, and it 22 costs you later down the line. 23

24

So thank you for your attention and

for your continued hard work on these important issues. 2 Thank you very CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: 3 much. 4 Katherine Nadeau, policy director, 5 Environmental Advocates of New York, followed 6 by Eric Kulleseid, Alliance for New York 7 State Parks. 8 MS. NADEAU: Thank you. So I'm 9 Katherine Nadeau. I'm the policy director 10 for Environmental Advocates of New York. 11 And I just want to open my remarks 12 with a very sincere thank you to 13 Senators Tkaczyk, Krueger, DeFrancisco, 14 Assemblyman Farrell, Assemblyman Oaks, and 15 Assemblyman Walter for spending the day 16 listening to these really important issues 17 and thinking about and I know taking back to 18 your discussions and deliberations what 19 you've learned here to try and make sure that 20 New York is on the right path with this 21 22 budget. In our analysis of the Governor's 23

24

proposal, he lays a good foundation for where

we can go with our environmental protections.

But there's still a lot more that the

Legislature can and should do to make sure

that New York is protecting and conserving

our environmental resources.

amount of discussion on staffing here today, and this is something that Environmental Advocates has spent years tracking and documenting what happens when the agency undergoes years and years of staff cuts. And in the testimony that you have in front of you, I've got a chart there showing how the staff has been reduced over the decades.

We're getting down to historic low staffing levels at the department, and they're back down to numbers that they were at in the 1970s when the department was first formed.

Now, in the amount of time that the department has been around, they've only gotten more responsibilities, not less. And these are the important responsibilities of caring for our air quality, our water quality and our communities.

1.7

So in his budget proposal the

Governor put forward a modest staff increase,

one new staffer at the agency. Now, you

know, this is a staff increase for sure. But

when we are advocating for more, we're

certainly hoping for bigger than that.

And when we look at the Governor's budget, one of the things that concerns us most is not what's happening this year but what's going to happen in the outyears. The Governor is projecting having surpluses in the next couple of years. And the only way to hit those is by maintaining flat agency budgets and flat staffing levels.

Well, we know about pension costs
that are likely to rise as the workforce
retires. We know about negotiated salary
increases at the agency this year and next.
And this is going to add up to real and
actual cuts if we stick with this type of a
plan going forward. And those cuts will put
our communities at risk.

So looking into the outyears, I want to flag this for your attention and ask that

you make sure that the agency has the staff and the resources it needs.

On the brownfields issue, we've heard quite a bit this today. The Governor's package sets us on the right path towards reforming a program that for far too long has been completely off-target and out of control. The program has spent over a billion dollars to clean up just about 150 sites statewide, out of thousands that need attention. And so setting up a system of reforms is certainly necessary at this point.

redevelopment and remediation credits, but
they need to be put to work in the
communities that need them most and that most
need these type of incentives. So of the
Governor's proposal, we support separating
the eligibility for the redevelopment tax
credits from the remediation credits. We
support pathways towards getting these
credits that are based on need and community
revitalization. And we support moving

projects out of the program which have lingered and left the state on the hook for billions of dollars in tax liability.

When we look at what can still be done, the BOA program, the Brownfield Opportunity Area, needs to be funded. That funding was cut in the Governor's proposal and needs to be restored.

And the EZ program that's been established in this proposal sets lower cleanup standards for any sites that are accepted into the EZ plan. All brownfield sites need to be cleaned up to high standards to protect our communities and to protect our environment.

On Superfund, the Governor is proposing a \$90 million appropriation to continue that program. And we support funding Superfund cleanups for sure. But as Laura testified, from NYPIRG, this is a program that needs a long-term investment. These are long-term projects, and a long-term refinancing is what's needed here.

On the EPF, we certainly support the

Governor's proposed \$4 million increase, but there's still more that needs to be done. A \$200 million appropriation for the Environmental Protection Fund will make sure that the programs that have demonstrated job creation and community investment over the years will continue to move forward and that the state will be able to do more with those good programs.

The Governor's budget proposal proposes gutting the Pesticide Reporting Program. We completely oppose these changes and hope the Legislature will too.

One thing not included in the

Governor's budget, but what we've seen in the

last couple of budgets that have been

finalized and enacted, are extensions for the

DERA program. And this is the Diesel

Emissions Reduction Act. This was passed in

2006. It was a widely heralded law that will

decrease air pollution, which will decrease

environmental impacts and also provide a lot

of good health impacts as far as making sure

that we are preventing things like asthma

attacks and respiratory illness.

But the program was supposed to be fully implemented in 2010. For the last couple of years, however, the budgets have included one-year extenders, continuing to extend this program along and prevent the program from being fully implemented. This year we call on the Legislature to stop those extenders and to make sure that the Diesel Emissions Reduction Act lives up to its promise.

On fracking, you know, the science is still out on this. The Governor has committed to getting the answers, to getting the science before making any decisions, and that's reflected in this budget proposal.

And we're happy about that because he's not proposing any new programs, not proposing any permitting or staff for fracking.

However, one of the things that we've called for for a couple of years now, and continue to call for, is an appropriation for a health impacts assessment. While Dr. Shah and the Department of Health are looking into

health impacts of fracking, right now it's being done in a way that doesn't involve the public, doesn't involve independent scientists or researchers, and is behind closed doors.

A health impact assessment is a process laid out by the CDC as well as the World Health Organization, and it's one that will assess baseline community health while also pointing to potential problems that could come if the state were to allow fracking. So we continue to call for that appropriation in the budget.

And the final issue I just would like to raise here is with NY Works. The department has been awarded \$40 million under the NY Works program, something that we certainly support putting money on the ground for projects to further environmental protections. But one thing that caught our eye was as part of the appropriations the Governor's office said that they were including \$2 million for air monitors and air-monitoring programs.

1.1

We certainly support monitoring air pollution. I mean, this is necessary under state and federal law. But it also gives really timely and needed information for people who suffer from asthma, respiratory illness, to just literally plan their day. So these air monitors are desperately needed over at the agency.

But our one concern is that this is a basic operating cost. This is something that is central to the agency's mission and should be paid for through operating funds. Air monitors are unlikely to survive even the bond terms. I mean, these are pieces of equipment that have a life on them.

And so we would encourage the Governor and the Legislature, as you continue what goes for capital projects versus what goes for agency operations, to make sure that basic day-to-day operations are being absorbed by the agency so that capital funding can be put into some of these bigger projects that we all support.

So these were the issues that I

1	wanted to flag for you. And again, I thank
2	you so much for your time and attention this
3	afternoon and this evening.
4	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And thank you
5	for hanging in there. It's been a long
6	today.
7	CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you.
.8	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very
9	much.
10	Eric Kulleseid, Alliance for New York
11	State Parks. And then Andy Bicking.
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	MR. KULLESEID: Senate Finance Chair
14	DeFrancisco and Assembly Ways and Means Chair
15	Farrell, plus the members with staying power
16	still here, thank you for the opportunity to
17	discuss Governor Cuomo's proposed budget as
18	it relates to State Parks and the
19	Environmental Protection Fund. I'm Eric
20	Kulleseid, senior vice president at the
21	Open Space Institute and executive director
22	of its Alliance for New York State Parks
23	program.
24	My testimony falls into two short

parts, the first related to the proposed capital budget for State Parks, the second to agency operations and the EPF.

We remain deeply grateful to members of the Legislature for their strong support for state parks in many ways over the course of the past several years.

As you may know, after decades of deferred maintenance and underinvestment at New York State parks, Governor Cuomo has made a sustained commitment to restoring state parks and addressing a capital backlog still nearing \$1 billion. The \$90 million for state parks repairs and improvements in the proposed spending plan is a continuation of Governor Cuomo's commitment to improving state parks. Having appropriated more than \$200 million over the past two years for state park restorations, the Governor, with the support of the Legislature, recognizes the value of parks both as recreational and cultural destinations and as economic drivers.

Never in the history of the New York

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

State parks system has there been this level of sustained investment to upgrade and restore our parks. These investments are transforming a once beleaguered system and restoring our greatest natural treasures as sources of pride, community vitality, and economic strength. I really encourage you all to go to Niagara Falls, the nation's oldest state park. The transformation underway there of its historic and breathtaking landscapes is truly remarkable.

On behalf of the 60 million people who visit state parks each year and the businesses and workers that benefit from the economic activity they spur, we appreciate all Governor Cuomo and the Legislature have done to address the nearly \$1 billion backlog. We look forward to working with you all to secure this latest installment of parks capital funding.

On the operations side, I echo others who have spoken today. This year's budget by and large freezes funding to last year's levels. For an agency that's seen its budget

cut by 20 percent over recent years, facing rising costs for both personal and nonpersonal services, and is serving a growing number of visitors, it's difficult to argue the agency is truly being held harmless.

We once again credit the commissioner for leading the Office of Parks during lean times. She effectively confronts challenges head-on and is committed to rethinking the way the agency functions. She's embraced Alliance fundraising efforts, has supported the idea of repurposing unused state park assets where appropriate, is partnering with local governments and not-for-profits, and is seizing opportunities to promote more public/private partnerships.

As I mentioned, the Alliance is a program of the Open Space Institute, an organization long associated with the expansion of state parks, forest preserves, and natural areas. In fact, over the course of our 40-year history we have had a hand in conserving a full 10 percent of New York's

335,000 acres of parkland, not to mention the work we've done on state forest preserves and wildlife lands.

Much of this work has been achieved thanks to the Environmental Protection Fund. The EPF has been key to helping OSI add to, protect and buffer dozens of state parks, including Thacher, Moreau, Sterling Forest, Fahnestock and Minnewaska. In fact, last year we got to the point where we and our partners have more than doubled the size of Minnewaska State Park Preserve near

New Paltz, with the end being a 21,000-acre preserve less than 100 miles from New York City.

I tell you this just to underscore
the critical importance of the EPF. We are
grateful for the funding level that has been
on the uptick over recent years, and for the
\$4 million increase to the EPF. Regrettably,
New York's environmental funding needs
greatly exceed this level. From protecting
water supplies, family farms and parkland -and I will say I worked on the Indian Ladder

Farm, that was our easement that we did with the Ten Eyck family -- and helping local communities deal with an array of waste disposal issues, including hazardous materials, a strong EPF is fundamental to the protection of the state's natural resources and a robust economy for this and future generations. As such, I respectfully urge an increase in the EPF to \$200 million.

And then just as I expressed concern about staffing levels and stagnant operating funding at State Parks, there is a compelling case to be made for the Department of Environmental Conservation, which is similarly struggling to provide services for the public on its own lands.

In closing, and on behalf of the more than 60 million people who visit our state parks each year, we express our gratitude for your support for the state parks, and from the Governor.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you. Thank you for hanging in as well.

Andy Bicking, Scenic Hudson, to be followed by our featured speaker, the last speaker, the featured speaker of the day, the closer, Roger Downs, Sierra Club.

MR. BICKING: Good afternoon,

Senators and members of the Assembly. Thank
you very much, as always, for the invitation
to provide you testimony about this year's
budget once again.

By way of introduction, Scenic Hudson is working from the tip of Manhattan Island to the foothills of the Adirondacks to restore the Hudson River, its riverfront, majestic vistas and landscapes as an irreplaceable public and natural resource.

The comment was made earlier today about policy recommendations from one of my peer organizations not being made in an office. And my message today to you from Scenic Hudson is also not made from an office. We are very active and engaged in working in the riverfront communities along the Hudson to advance a variety of capital projects which we are either catalyzing,

coordinating or managing to benefit the environment and promote compatible economic development in the Hudson Valley. This work takes place with municipalities and many partners in the nongovernmental sector.

Thanks to your leadership and the leadership of your colleagues in the Legislature and the Governor's office, as well as the work of countless grassroots groups and municipalities, New York State now recognizes that there are immense economic benefits associated with capital investments made in the environment.

My testimony covers several new points of interest, compelling studies which have been completed in recent years. I just want to draw your attention to a few of the points here.

The first is that both the Mid-Hudson and Capital District Regional Economic

Development Councils have acknowledged the importance of capital investments in the environment, specifically the Environmental Protection Fund, to achieve their regional

economic development strategies.

I'd also like to comment briefly upon the important role of agriculture in economic development and its synergy with capital investment funds in the state budget. As you well know, agriculture is a very critical industry in the state. There are data in my testimony talking about gross output, number of jobs, et cetera. But it's important to note that from a Hudson Valley perspective, according to the National Young Farmers Coalition, more than 70 percent of our working farms are going to change hands in the next 20 years. It is critical that we have these highly productive farms to protect our food supply and secure the Hudson Valley and New York City's foodshed.

And conservation easements remain a critical tool to enable this to happen, creating access to land and putting cash directly into the hands of farmers.

Many of you are familiar with the recent study completed by Scenic Hudson over the summer. The Foodshed Conservation Plan

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

for the Hudson Valley and New York City
identified 614 farms encompassing nearly
164,000 productive acres in 11 counties to be
part of a strategic effort at conservation in
the region. Nearly one-third of these
high-priority farms in the Hudson Valley
would cost approximately \$250 million to
protect over the next 10 years. And while
that is a significant number, when one
considers that its costs would be shared
among federal, state, New York City, local
and private partners, it becomes an
achievable goal.

I'd like to briefly touch upon the Governor's track record on the environment overall. Our experience with working with these capital funds program through the Economic Development Councils and in partnership with local communities has been very positive. During the Governor's first three years in office he's provided strong leadership at creating transparency and effectiveness in many of these funds.

We've also seen very strong

management of the budget, reducing a \$10 billion deficit to where we now have a \$2 billion surplus. And it is the core premise of my testimony to you today that you please consider investing some of this surplus back into capital funds for the environment.

It's not surprising that the Environmental Protection Fund is one of my organization's top-tier interests. And I'd like to touch upon a few points of local and regional interest today without trying to be redundant on what many of my colleagues have stated earlier.

We are very enthusiastic about what the Governor has done for the EPF over his term of office, at first holding it harmless, then investing \$19 million between last year and this year. But we do feel and join with our partners in recognizing that there are many unmet needs in the region and strongly supportive of a \$200 million EPF in the year ahead. And we'd like very much to work with you in that context.

2.0

I've touched briefly upon the importance of agricultural protection. I would only add to that that we have been very successful in leveraging funding from multiple sources on this. We just this morning have seen in the House of Representatives a new Farm Bill which has passed which has \$1 billion for the Agricultural Land Easement Program, which has been a significant source of matching funds for conservation easements in the Hudson Valley.

Doth a farmland protection and open space perspective we are seeing renewed investment at the local level. Just yesterday evening Ulster County Executive Michael Hein announced the county's first-ever commitment to open space and recreation through a \$3 million bond. And we are seeing similar advances made in Dutchess County and hope to hear news from County Executive Marc Molinaro on that front in the very near future.

These funds, in addition to helping

Kirkland Reporting Service

our farms, can also be advancing open space goals. The Governor has proposed a \$2.5 million increase in this category overall. We're grateful for that. However, we do note that funding for state land acquisition, the primary use of this fund, decreases because of the relative increase or addition of subcategories in the Open Space/Land Conservation line.

The benefits of open pace conservation are well-documented. I will not go into details about those now, other than to state that there are unfortunately diminishing opportunities to match state investments with federal funds. While Pittman-Robertson funds at the federal level seem to be remaining consistent, the popular Highland Conservation Act and Coastal Estuary Land Protection Program, both matching funds for state land acquisition, have not found support in Congress as a whole despite the fact that they've been strongly supported by New York's delegation.

Investment and land acquisition

1.1

through the EPF would be met with support in many local communities, as I indicated earlier, in Dutchess and Ulster County. And I would also like to note that as part of this line item, the Conservation Partnership Program is critically important to building the capacity of land trusts in New York State to help achieve open space goals articulated in both the Open Space Plan and the Hudson River Estuary Action Agenda.

I mentioned earlier one point of concern about a new category of funding put into the Open Space/Land Conservation line.

That is the Resiliency Planting Program.

We'd like to recommend that this be moved to a more appropriate category of the

Environmental Protection Fund. And I say that as an organization that's a huge fan of this program, which was born in the Hudson Valley. Otherwise known as "Trees for Tribs," it's involved in stewardship of riparian areas by planting native plants to bring back the habitat and protect water quality in those areas.

Again, it is fundamentally, as we see it, a stewardship and water-quality-based program, not an open space acquisition program. And as such, we do recommend that its presence in the Open Space line not come at the cost of state land acquisition dollars.

We've appreciated the support from the Legislature in recent years about Hudson Estuary Management funding. We do feel that an increase in funding for this category of the EPF is critical.

I'll note that there's an emerging federal partnership opportunity collaboration between the Army Corps of engineers, New York State DEC and DOS and many not-for-profit partners that can lead the Hudson Valley Watershed and Estuary to a position that we've seen for other major waterways in the country, like the Chesapeake Bay or the Puget Sound, which benefit from tens of millions of dollars in federal investment.

And, Senator Krueger, as I know your heart is very much in New York Harbor, I will

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

say that this program is being developed to be compatible with the existing harbor restoration plans.

So these things would be interlocking and really I think be a huge win for New York State if we were able to bring them about.

And that can be realized through an uptick in funding for the Hudson River Estuary Program in this year's budget.

Municipal parks and waterfront revitalization are also very important for the Hudson Valley. There are many regional trail network and park development projects underway building off the success we've seen in the Walkway over the Hudson, as well as communities that are thinking ahead about how to respond to sea level rise so that the critical infrastructure in harm's way of flooding and rising sea levels can be moved or protected in an appropriate way. testimony does describe a half dozen or so communities that are taking steps to develop subregional trail networks as well as sea level rise plans.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The last point in my testimony relates to the solid waste category of the EPF, specifically the increase the Governor has proposed for the Natural Resource Damage Assessment line item. We do believe that this is justified at this time. Specifically, as New York State's trustees and federal trustees concerned with the management of Hudson River PCBs and the eventual natural resource damage claim move towards some sort of settlement, it is critical to be supporting the agency work to do the science and the research so that New York State is prepared to receive settlement funds for the Hudson Estuary when they do come.

So thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today. In summary, we appreciate your historic support of environmental capital projects in New York State, specifically the Environmental Protection Fund, and hope to work with you to find ways to increase it to \$200 million this year. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FARRELL: Thank you very 1 2 much. CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: And you had a 3 lot of material there, and you were able to 4 actually say it extemporaneously, and I want 5 to compliment you. 6 Senator Krueger has a question, I 7 believe. 8 And I know because SENATOR KRUEGER: 9 of the time we're all almost nervous to ask 10 questions. So, one, I also appreciate the 11 depth and everybody's points with the 12 desperate need for DEC to have adequate 13 staffing. I mean, that is clearly a theme 14 that I walk away with today. 15 But specifically on the Hudson River 16 17 and the importance of ensuring we're doing what we can up and down, in New York City, as 18 you pointed out, we're very focused on how 19 can we potentially use some of the Sandy 20 funds to shore up and protect our waterway 21 system to also protect ourselves, so to 22

And I'm just wondering whether the

speak, moving forward.

23

non-New York City side of Sandy funds are in any way, shape or form being used to complement the recommendations you and some of the other groups on water were making today.

MR. BICKING: Yes, they are being used. I can't specify at this time exactly how or where. I could get back to you on that.

I think, like many regions in the North Atlantic, we would like to be using more of them, of course. But we have seen them as an effective tool for the state agency to advance the work that it's done on disaster recovery as well as sea level rise planning.

SENATOR KRUEGER: If you give me information, I will certainly follow up with state agencies. Because certainly, speaking for New York City, we have found that evaluating the damage that was done and potentially can be done by future storms, many of the right answers are the smart environmental proposals that haven't been

1	done on our shoreline and in our estuaries
2	and in the areas right there in the five
3	boroughs.
4	So we're definitely looking seriously
5	at it as a long-term, environmentally smart
6	and also protective model for our future.
7	And the whole state should be viewing it that
8	way.
9	MR. BICKING: Right. Well, I agree
10	with you, and we'll certainly follow up with
11	the project information that we have.
12	SENATOR KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you.
14	And the closer, Roger Downs from the
15	Sierra Club.
16	MR. DOWNS: So we're finally alone.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. DOWNS: So I'm Roger Downs. I'm
19	the conservation director for the Sierra Club
20	Atlantic Chapter. We are a volunteer-led
21	environmental organization of 38,000 members
22	statewide committed to protecting New York's
23	air, water and remaining wild places.
24	I'd like to echo the comments of my

colleagues. We commend you all for overseeing this apparent economic resurgence. And, you know, I think that there's a lot of hope, if indeed there are surpluses, that we can put some of that back into the environment.

Over the past six years of New York's economic recovery, the Department of Environmental Conservation has shouldered disproportionate cuts to staffing and funding. Now that the Governor is projecting a budget surplus, New York can no longer afford to keep environmental spending at austerity levels, not when our true prosperity relies upon the protection of our air, the purity of our drinking water, and the health of our communities.

The Sierra Club has had deep concerns for the past few budget cycles with respect to the cumulative impacts that the disproportionately deep cuts through these multiple budget cycles have had on New York's environmental agencies. Even if we have stopped the bleeding in terms of staffing

losses, funding cuts have chained DEC employees to their desks. There's not enough money to finance travel, inspections, emergency response, toxic cleanups, testing equipment, and public outreach. We would like to see the surplus money reinvested in restoring DEC staffing and funding.

We also stand with our colleagues in asking for a fully funded \$200 million EPF that's funded with proceeds partially from a resurgent real estate transfer tax that we know is robust right now.

So instead of going over what has been gone over before in detail, I want to talk about something that's maybe slightly uncomfortable. And I don't mean any offense, but the Sierra Club is very supportive of campaign finance reform in the budget. We really feel that the toxic money in politics is one of the most critical environmental issues facing New Yorkers.

We support the public financing of elections in the 2014-2015 Executive Budget because we know that without a Legislature

committed to doing the people's business, it's extremely difficult to implement needed environmental protections and initiatives.

For years the environmental community has watched key legislation die in committee -- not because there hasn't been popular public support, not because it's not common-sense legislation, but because of the millions of dollars in industry lobbying and campaign contributions have insulated decisionmakers from doing the right thing.

We understand that most legislators are committed to serving their constituents first. But with so much special interest money flooding campaigns, elected officials have almost no choice but to concentrate on those that fill their coffers with a single check. And I don't doubt that there isn't a single person here that regrets the amount of time that they have to spend on fundraising. You probably despise it. You want to spend a lot more time with your constituents. And we feel that this is a system that we can work on to move forward.

Kirkland Reporting Service

While not perfect, we're excited about what we've seen in New York City. Participating candidates are relying more heavily on financing from small donors, thanks to 6-to-1 matching donations of \$175 or less. As a result, we're seeing greater and more diverse participation with more donors and candidates from low and moderate-income backgrounds. With the passage of a publicly financed campaign system in the budget, good candidates can spend more time worrying about the issues that matter to the constituents, not fundraising.

Using New York City's system as a model, nonpartisan experts from the Campaign Finance Institute estimate the price at about \$25 million to \$30 million a year for a four-year cycle. That's \$250 per New Yorker per year.

The alternative? Well, looking at least at the past few legislative sessions when we haven't even been able to get a single environmental superbill onto a

committee agenda in the Senate, we're concerned about, you know, the environmental repercussions. Not to mention a government that caters to an industry lobby rather than to the public.

So we urge you to keep this in the budget, work with the Governor on getting a more robust campaign finance platform.

So the last thing I want to talk about, and it was mentioned by the commissioner -- we were very excited to hear about the Governor's Executive Order that he passed last night, but there is a real problem with Bakken crude oil coming in by the billions of gallons into New York every year.

The 2014-2015 Executive Budget proposal provides funding available for five additional freight rail safety inspectors, doubling DOT's current inspection capability on the state's equipment and 3,500 miles of track encompassing freight, rail and Amtrak. And we urge you to keep this in the budget.

I just want to describe the situation

1.5

a little bit. We have been successful as an environmental community in stopping a lot of pipelines from the Bakken area, but companies are now looking to use the rail as an alternative pipeline. And while we've been pushing for tighter regulations, these railcars barrel through New York with very little oversight or regulation. We know that the 111 cars that they use are puncture-prone and derail frequently. This summer, in July, in Lac Megantic, Quebec, one of these trains that could have been destined for Albany derailed, slammed into a town and killed 47 people, incinerated 30 buildings.

We know that now the federal government has reclassified Bakken shale crude as having a much lower flash point. It is incredibly flammable. Just after Christmas, in Casselton, North Dakota, a train collision led to a tremendous fireball and they had to evacuate a 5-mile area. The pictures are incredible.

Now, imagine this in Albany. We have the Port of Albany now that last year

accepted about 1.2 billion gallons of this crude. And all you have to do is go down to the Port of Albany; you'll be overwhelmed by the amount of tankers. These tankers rest beside major housing developments, in some cases 25 feet from the tracks. But they also barrel past the DEC building, the Federal Courthouse, in the half-mile evacuation zone. If there was an explosion, we'd have to evacuate the entire Empire State Plaza, the Capitol, countless schools and neighborhoods.

This is a tremendous concern. And so we applaud the Governor for issuing this Executive Order.

But I do want to point out that this does not necessarily relieve the DEC of its current duties. I mean, part of the problem is that Global Partners, LLC, the company that has been bringing this oil into the Port of Albany where it is transferred to ships, has had to receive permits from the DEC, Title 5 air permits.

We believe DEC had a responsibility not only to look at the air emissions but,

1.4

under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, to look at the broader implications of this that have tremendous impact on public health and safety.

In each case, the DEC issued a negative declaration suggesting there's no environmental impact. And at the time the applicant said, "We want to increase crude oil transport into the Port of Albany, double it, to about 2.8 billion gallons." And yet, they said, "We will not increase rail traffic." This was not questioned, it was issued a neg dec, and it went forward.

Cuomo administration's new commitment to directing various agencies to strengthen the state's oversight of shipments of petroleum products, but we want to make sure that the DEC still does an EIS for the Port of Albany. They have a heating facility that they are looking at now that perhaps could allow these companies to accept now tar sands from Alberta. They need to heat it up because when it arrives at the Port of Albany it is

1 the consistency of asphalt. So these are huge concerns, and I 2 3 thank you for listening to them. Because of course New York gets very little economic 4 benefit from this. This is high risk, 5 incredible risk, and very little economic 6 7 benefit. We are in the middle of Point A to Point B. And if we look at what happened in 8 Lac Megantic, there's over \$200 million in 9 cleanup costs, and the company shipping the 10 oil decided to go bankrupt. And so they are 11 not liable in any way, or they can't recover 12 those costs. What will happen if this 13 14 happens in Albany? So thank you very much. 15 CHAIRMAN DeFRANCISCO: Thank you very 16 17 much. Now, to the great thrill of our 18 stenographer, this concludes the testimony 19 for the day. And thank you for your 20 unbelievable service. Unbelievable. 21 SENATOR KRUEGER: 22. Thank you. (Whereupon, the joint budget hearing 23 24 concluded at 5:26 p.m.)